



Conditional Probability

Introduction to Data Science Algorithms
Jordan Boyd-Graber and Michael Paul
SLIDES ADAPTED FROM PHILIP KOEHN

How do we estimate a probability?

• Suppose we want to estimate $P(w_n = \text{"home"}|h = go)$.

How do we estimate a probability?

• Suppose we want to estimate $P(w_n = \text{"home"} | h = go)$.

home	home	big	with	to
big	with	to	and	money
and	home	big	and	home
money	home	and	big	to

How do we estimate a probability?

• Suppose we want to estimate $P(w_n = \text{"home"} | h = go)$.

home	home	big	with	to
big	with	to	and	money
and	home	big	and	home
money	home	and	big	to

Maximum likelihood (ML) estimate of the probability is:

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \frac{n_i}{\sum_k n_k} \tag{1}$$

Example: 3-Gram

Counts for trigrams and estimated word probabilities

the red (total: 225)

word	C.	prob.
cross	123	0.547
tape	31	0.138
army	9	0.040
card	7	0.031
,	5	0.022

- 225 trigrams in the Europarl corpus start with the red
- 123 of them end with cross
- \rightarrow maximum likelihood probability is $\frac{123}{225} = 0.547$.

Example: 3-Gram

Counts for trigrams and estimated word probabilities

the red (total: 225)

word	C.	prob.
cross	123	0.547
tape	31	0.138
army	9	0.040
card	7	0.031
,	5	0.022

- 225 trigrams in the Europarl corpus start with the red
- 123 of them end with cross
- \rightarrow maximum likelihood probability is $\frac{123}{225} = 0.547$.
- Is this reasonable?

The problem with maximum likelihood estimates: Zeros

 If there were no occurrences of "bageling" in a history go, we'd get a zero estimate:

$$\hat{P}(\text{"bageling"}|go) = \frac{T_{go,\text{"bageling"}}}{\sum_{w' \in V} T_{go,w'}} = 0$$

- \rightarrow We will get $P(g \circ | d) = 0$ for any sentence that contains go bageling!
- Zero probabilities cannot be conditioned away.

Add-One Smoothing

- Equivalent to assuming a uniform prior over all possible distributions over the next word (you'll learn why later)
- But there are many more unseen n-grams than seen n-grams
- Example: Europarl 2-bigrams:
 - 86,700 distinct words
 - \circ 86,700² = 7,516,890,000 possible bigrams
 - but only about 30,000,000 words (and bigrams) in corpus

More about this later ...

- MLE vs. MAP (Estimation)
- Bayesian interpretation: prior of distribution
- Fancier smoothing (Knesser-Ney, neural models)

That's it!

- Next time: Language model lab
- Homework 1