

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
Southern Division**

**MARVIN TUTT,
Plaintiff,**

Civil Action No. _____

v.

**REGINA ROBINSON, et al.,
Defendants.**

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF GOOD FAITH PROPOSALS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MARVIN TUTT,
Plaintiff,

v.

REGINA ROBINSON, et al.,
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 25cv2006

**NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ALL GOOD FAITH PROPOSALS AND
ALTERNATIVE RESOLUTIONS**

TO ALL DEFENDANTS:

Plaintiff Marvin Tutt hereby provides formal notice that all previous good faith proposals, suggested alternative resolutions, and non-monetary relief options are **WITHDRAWN EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY**.

WHEREAS:

1. Plaintiff previously proposed various good faith resolutions including:
 - Relief through contracts
 - Absolving individual defendants including Regina Robinson
 - Systemic reforms in lieu of monetary damages
 - Alternative pathways to resolve this matter
2. These proposals were extended despite Plaintiff's documented injuries, in the spirit of resolution and reform.

3. Since making these proposals, Defendants have:
 - Engaged in state-sponsored cyber attacks
 - Facilitated foreign intelligence targeting
 - Continued daily surveillance and harassment
 - Demonstrated consciousness of guilt through behavior changes
4. The Maryland Attorney General explicitly refused to investigate documented civil rights violations, abandoning their duty to protect Maryland citizens.
5. Defendant Regina Robinson appears to be acting in bad faith, selectively ignoring critical communications, while promptly responding and physically appearing when it serves her personal and/or financial interests.

Cyber attacks were against:

<https://github.com/Caia-Tech/for-world-builders>

<https://github.com/Caia-Tech/the-burden>

<https://github.com/Caia-Tech/caiatech.com>

theburden.org

caiatech.com

NOW THEREFORE:

All previous good faith proposals are withdrawn. Plaintiff will proceed seeking full damages of \$3.2 billion and all injunctive relief outlined in the Amended Complaint. This withdrawal is necessitated by Defendants' escalating misconduct, bad faith conduct, and rejection of reasonable resolution.

The bridge Defendants burned was built from Plaintiff's good faith. That bridge no longer exists.

Dated: June 30, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Marvin Tutt
owner@caiatech.com