



tut &lt;marvindtutt@gmail.com&gt;

**PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt**

10 messages

tut &lt;marvindtutt@gmail.com&gt;

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

**REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)****1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)**

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

**2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)**

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

**3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)**

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

**LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE**

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

**FORMAT & FEES**

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized

estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

## RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

## ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute "communications made in...mediation."
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

## CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt  
5407 Brinkley Road  
Temple Hills, MD 20748  
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

---

**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
To: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:43 PM



### Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to  
**publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov** because the  
address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ *This link will take you to a third-party site*

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002253.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.668Z 08DE1E95E545142C]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov)

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; [mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com](https://mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com). (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1, the server for the domain [mdcourts.gov](https://mdcourts.gov).)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002253.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.668Z 08DE1E95E545142C]

Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 10:43:04 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

To: [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov), [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov), [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 13:42:52 -0500

Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.

- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

## LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

## FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

## RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

## ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

## CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt  
[5407 Brinkley Road](#)  
Temple Hills, MD 20748  
Email: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

---

**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
To: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:43 PM



**Address not found**

Your message wasn't delivered to  
**mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov** because the address couldn't  
be found, or is unable to receive mail.

**LEARN MORE**

▲ This link will take you to a third-party site

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002251.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.810Z 08DE20755242A590]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov)

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; [mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com](https://mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com). (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1,  
the server for the domain [mdcourts.gov](https://mdcourts.gov).)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002251.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.810Z 08DE20755242A590]

Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 10:43:04 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

To: [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov), [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov), [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 13:42:52 -0500

Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

## 2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

## 3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

## LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

## FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

## RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

## ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

## CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt  
5407 Brinkley Road  
Temple Hills, MD 20748  
Email: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

To: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Dear Marvin Tutt,

The Office of the Public Access Ombudsman is in receipt of your PIA request dated November 10, 2025.

Sincerely,

Kentiara Moore



The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. This email may not serve as a contractual agreement unless explicit written agreement for this purpose has been made. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

---

**From:** tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 10, 2025 1:43 PM  
**To:** [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov); [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov); PIA.Ombuds  
<[pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)>  
**Subject:** PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

You don't often get email from [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com). [Learn why this is important](#)

**Caution:** This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

[Quoted text hidden]



**Bralove-Scherr, Alisa** <abralove@oag.state.md.us>  
To: "marvindtutt@gmail.com" <marvindtutt@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>

Thu, Nov 13, 2025 at 1:26 PM

Dear Mr. Tutt,

We reviewed your PIA request and have and determined that we do not have any records that reference you. We understand that in Part 2 of your request, you specify that you are only interested in matters involving you. Is the same true for Part 1 of your request or do you want records that are general and do not involve you?

If you are requesting records in Part 1 for matters that do not involve you, please explain what you have in mind when you request "Administrative Metadata".

Thank you in advance for helping us to better understand your request.

Sincerely,

-Alisa

**Alisa Bralove-Scherr**  
Deputy Public Access Ombudsman

*Public Access Ombudsman*  
200 Saint Paul Place  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202  
410-576-6358  
[abralove@oag.maryland.gov](mailto:abralove@oag.maryland.gov)  
<https://piaombuds.maryland.gov>

The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

---

**From:** tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 10, 2025 1:43 PM  
**To:** [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov); [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov); PIA.Ombuds  
<[pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)>  
**Subject:** PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

You don't often get email from [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com). [Learn why this is important](#)

**Caution:** This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**tut** <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

Thu, Nov 13, 2025 at 3:29 PM

To: "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <[abralove@oag.state.md.us](mailto:abralove@oag.state.md.us)>

Dear Ms. Bralove-Scherr,

Thank you for your note.

Given my documented interactions with Maryland state agencies and courts, including multiple PIA requests filed in 2025 and knowledge of the OAG's contact with PAO, the absence of any responsive records would be implausible and warrant further inquiry.

Scope (Part 1): Yes—Part 1 is limited to matters involving me. For July 1, 2025—present, please search for items that contain (any of my identifiers) and (any of the names/agencies below) in the same item (co-occurrence limited).

This is to ensure a reasonable search.

My identifiers (any):

- \* "Marvin Tutt" OR "Marvin D. Tutt" OR "Tutt, Marvin" OR "Tutt"
- \* [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)
- \* 5407 Brinkley Road, Temple Hills, MD 20748
- \* Case refs: 8:25-cv-02006, 25-1950, C-08-FM-22-000821, 380172531

Names/agencies (any):

- \* Andrea Khoury (also "Khoury, Andrea"; Family Magistrate; former DSS counsel)
- \* William Greer
- \* Child Support Administration (CSA); DSS/Department of Human Services
- \* Maryland Judiciary (Charles County Circuit Court)
- \* Public Access Ombudsman (PAO); PIA Compliance Board (PIACB)
- \* Misty Metzgar
- \* Deborah Chasanow
- \* Theodore Chuang
- \* Robinson

Records sought = administrative metadata only (not mediation content):

- \* PAO case/registry number (if assigned)
- \* Date opened / date closed
- \* Referring party name (individual or agency)
- \* Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- \* Subject tag/category
- \* Disposition (e.g., resolved; referred to PIACB; closed – no jurisdiction)
- \* PIACB referral (yes/no + date)

I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) / GP §4-101(k)(3)(ii); only non-confidential administrative routing data. If any field is considered confidential, please segregate and produce the remainder and cite the specific authority for any redaction/withholding.

Systems/locations to search (for transparency):

PAO case/registry or intake logs; shared mailboxes [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov) and [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us); Exchange/Outlook (subject/from/to); Teams/SharePoint/OneDrive; and any ticketing/CRM used for intake.

If no responsive items exist, please provide: (1) a no-records certification, (2) systems searched + search terms used, and (3) PAO's retention schedule for case/registry entries and intake metadata.

Overbreadth: This request is narrowly tailored by time (7/1/2025–present), subject (co-occurrence with my identifiers), and fields (admin metadata only). If any portion is burdensome, please identify which system/field is at issue and propose a narrowing; I'll refine promptly.

Electronic delivery (PDF for docs; CSV/Excel for logs) and staged production are fine. My fee-waiver request remains on file.

If PAO does not log inquiries that do not become cases, please confirm that practice. If any potentially responsive material is maintained in another OAG/PAO mailbox or unit (e.g., Public Access Unit) rather than a PAO case file, please include that in the search.

Please provide the retention schedule for PAO case/registry entries and intake metadata for the period at issue.

Thank you,  
Marvin D. Tutt  
[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**tut** <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:28 AM

To: [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov), [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov), [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <[mailer-daemon@googlemail.com](mailto:mailer-daemon@googlemail.com)>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:29 AM

To: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)



## Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **[mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov)** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ *This link will take you to a third-party site*

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [BL02EPF0001B417.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:08.031Z 08DE241DDCBF2C73]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov)

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; [mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com](https://mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com). (2a01:111:f403:f900::1, the server for the domain [mdcourts.gov](https://mdcourts.gov).)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [BL02EPF0001B417.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:08.031Z 08DE241DDCBF2C73]

Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 05:29:08 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

To: [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov), [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov), [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 08:28:55 -0500

Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

On Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

#### REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

##### 1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

##### 2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content;

produce administrative routing/outcome data).

### 3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

## LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in...mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

## FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

## RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

## ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

## CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt  
[5407 Brinkley Road](#)  
Temple Hills, MD 20748  
Email: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

---

**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
To: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:29 AM



## Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ This link will take you to a third-party site

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:07.604Z 08DE242A4D1A8256]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov)

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; [mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com](https://mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com). (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1, the server for the domain [mdcourts.gov](https://mdcourts.gov).)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:07.604Z 08DE242A4D1A8256]

Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 05:29:07 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>

To: [mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov](mailto:mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov), [publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov](mailto:publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov), [pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us](mailto:pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 08:28:55 -0500

Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

On Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM tut <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing "Marvin Tutt," "Marvin D. Tutt," or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)

- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

## 2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

## 3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

## LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in...mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

## FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

## RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

## ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

## CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt  
[5407 Brinkley Road](#)

Temple Hills, MD 20748  
Email: [marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)

---

**tut** <[marvindtutt@gmail.com](mailto:marvindtutt@gmail.com)>  
Draft To: Mail Delivery Subsystem <[mailer-daemon@googlemail.com](mailto:mailer-daemon@googlemail.com)>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 10:31 AM

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]