

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
Southern Division**

**MARVIN TUTT,
Plaintiff,**

Civil Action No. _____

v.

**REGINA ROBINSON, et al.,
Defendants.**

NOTICE OF LITIGATION FUNDING

Plaintiff Marvin Tutt hereby provides notice to the Court and all parties that he is seeking litigation funding to prosecute this action. This notice is filed for transparency and to create a public record.

I. CASE OVERVIEW FOR FUNDING CONSIDERATION

A. Claims and Damages

- **Claims:** Civil rights violations (42 U.S.C. § 1983), constitutional violations, fraud, conspiracy
- **Defendants:** Multiple government agencies and officials
- **Damages Sought:** \$1,200,000,000 (\$120M compensatory, \$1,080M punitive)
- **Criminal Referrals:** FBI and HHS OIG investigating parallel criminal matters

B. Evidence Strength

- **Documentary Evidence:** Co-plaintiff filing unprecedented in Maryland history
- **Ongoing Violations:** Weekly garnishments based on phantom income
- **Witness Testimony:** Former employer confirms garnishment impossibility
- **Paper Trail:** Email admissions of inability to explain calculations

C. Settlement Potential

- **Early Resolution Window:** Currently open before discovery
- **Settlement Conference:** Motion pending
- **Defendant Exposure:** Escalates dramatically post-discovery
- **Robinson Resolution:** Plaintiff has offered to settle Robinson's liability for \$3M

- **Note to Funders:** If any defendant accepts early settlement, funding needs may be reduced or eliminated

II. FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

A. Use of Funds

1. **Case Prosecution:** \$300,000
 - Expert witnesses on damages
 - Deposition costs (20+ witnesses)
 - Document management systems
 - Court reporters and transcripts
 - Service and filing fees
2. **Living Expenses:** \$200,000
 - 24-month basic support during litigation
 - Housing separate from elderly grandmother
 - Transportation costs
 - Medical care for stress-related conditions
3. **Security Measures:** \$100,000
 - Given magnitude of claims against government
 - Protection for family members
 - Secure communications
 - Document preservation

Total Funding Sought: \$600,000

B. Acceptable Terms

- **Structure:** Non-recourse advance only
- **Return:** Fixed multiple ONLY (e.g., borrow \$600K, maximum repayment \$1.8M at 3x)
- **NO PERCENTAGE OF RECOVERY:** Funders receive zero percent of any settlement or judgment
- **Absolute Cap:** Repayment capped at agreed multiple regardless of case outcome
- **Control:** Plaintiff maintains case control
- **Ethics:** Full compliance with Maryland rules

C. Non-Negotiable Terms

- **Settlement Authority:** Plaintiff retains 100% control over all settlement decisions
- **No Approval Rights:** Funders cannot veto, delay, or influence settlements
- **Early Resolution:** Plaintiff may accept reasonable settlements at any time

- **Litigation Control:** All strategic decisions remain solely with Plaintiff
- **Competitive Process:** Plaintiff will compare multiple funding offers

D. Ideal Funding Partner

Plaintiff seeks funding partners who:

- Understand the value of early resolution
- Support efficient justice over prolonged litigation
- Offer competitive rates recognizing case strength
- Respect plaintiff autonomy in case management
- Provide transparent, straightforward terms

III. CASE STRENGTHS FOR FUNDERS

A. Liability Factors

1. **Clear Documentation:** Government filed as co-plaintiff with private citizen
2. **Ongoing Harm:** Weekly garnishments continue during litigation
3. **No Viable Defense:** Mathematical impossibility of phantom income
4. **Judicial Participation:** Magistrate fresh from defendant agency

B. Collectibility

1. **Government Defendants:** Deep pockets, insurance coverage
2. **Multiple Defendants:** Several sources of recovery
3. **Federal Claims:** Fee-shifting under 42 U.S.C. § 1988
4. **Settlement Pressure:** Criminal investigation overlay

C. Timeline

1. **Discovery:** 6-9 months
2. **Motions:** 3-4 months
3. **Trial:** 12-18 months if no settlement
4. **Settlement Window:** Next 30-60 days optimal

IV. PLAINTIFF'S BACKGROUND

- **Education:** Pre-med student disrupted by conspiracy
- **Career:** Pivoted to software engineering, secured DoD contractor position
- **Technical Skills:** Capable of managing complex electronic discovery
- **Litigation Experience:** Successfully identified conspiracy through pattern recognition
- **Current Status:** Unemployed due to impossible dual mandates

V. RISK FACTORS

In the interest of full disclosure:

1. **Pro Se Status:** Currently self-represented (may engage counsel for specific tasks)
2. **Governmental Immunity:** Some defendants may assert qualified immunity
3. **Complex Litigation:** Multiple defendants and claims
4. **Settlement Contingency:** Early resolution depends on defendant cooperation

VI. VERIFICATION

I, Marvin Tutt, verify under penalty of perjury that:

- The case information provided is accurate
- The funding will be used for legitimate litigation expenses
- I will comply with all applicable rules regarding litigation funding
- I maintain complete control over case decisions

VII. CONTACT INFORMATION

Litigation funding companies may contact:

Marvin Tutt

Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Phone: [To be provided to serious inquiries]

All funding communications are confidential and protected by common interest privilege.

Note to Funders: Plaintiff is actively soliciting and comparing multiple funding offers. Early proposals with competitive terms will receive priority consideration. Plaintiff values transparency, competitive rates, and respect for plaintiff autonomy in settlement decisions.

VIII. SETTLEMENT AND FUNDING INTERACTION

Plaintiff is actively exploring settlement with all defendants. Should any defendant accept early settlement that provides sufficient resources for case prosecution, litigation funding may become unnecessary. Funders should note:

- Settlement discussions are ongoing
- Plaintiff has offered to resolve Robinson's liability for \$3,000,000
- Any substantial settlement may eliminate or reduce funding needs
- Funders are encouraged to act promptly given this dynamic situation

IX. CONCLUSION

This notice serves to inform all parties of Plaintiff's intent to secure funding for proper prosecution of these substantial claims. Litigation funding enables access to justice against well-resourced government defendants while maintaining Plaintiff's independence and control.

However, Plaintiff's preference is early settlement, which would benefit all parties and potentially eliminate the need for litigation funding entirely.

For Potential Funders: Plaintiff is actively comparing funding offers and seeks competitive terms that respect plaintiff autonomy. Funders who support efficient resolution and offer favorable rates will receive priority consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Marvin Tutt
Plaintiff, Pro Se
[Address filed under seal]
Date: _____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on _____, 2025, I will serve a copy of this notice on all defendants via [method of service].

Marvin Tutt