



tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

17 messages

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)**1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)**

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized

estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt
5407 Brinkley Road
Temple Hills, MD 20748
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
To: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:43 PM



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to
publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov because the
address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ *This link will take you to a third-party site*

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002253.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.668Z 08DE1E95E545142C]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1, the server for the domain mdcourts.gov.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002253.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.668Z 08DE1E95E545142C]

Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 10:43:04 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 13:42:52 -0500

Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.

- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt
[5407 Brinkley Road](#)
Temple Hills, MD 20748
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
To: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:43 PM



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to
mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov because the address couldn't
be found, or is unable to receive mail.

LEARN MORE

▲ This link will take you to a third-party site

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002251.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.810Z 08DE20755242A590]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1, the server for the domain mdcourts.gov.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002251.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-10T18:43:04.810Z 08DE20755242A590]

Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 10:43:04 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 10 Nov 2025 13:42:52 -0500

Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing "Marvin Tutt," "Marvin D. Tutt," or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., "child support," "federal court," "OAG")
- Disposition (e.g., "resolved," "referred to PIACB," "closed – no jurisdiction")
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in... mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt
5407 Brinkley Road
Temple Hills, MD 20748
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

To: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Dear Marvin Tutt,

The Office of the Public Access Ombudsman is in receipt of your PIA request dated November 10, 2025.

Sincerely,

Kentiara Moore



The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. This email may not serve as a contractual agreement unless explicit written agreement for this purpose has been made. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 1:43 PM
To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov; publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov; PIA.Ombuds
<pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us>
Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

You don't often get email from marvindtutt@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

[Quoted text hidden]

Bralove-Scherr, Alisa <abralove@oag.state.md.us>
To: "marvindtutt@gmail.com" <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>

Thu, Nov 13, 2025 at 1:26 PM

Dear Mr. Tutt,

We reviewed your PIA request and have and determined that we do not have any records that reference you. We understand that in Part 2 of your request, you specify that you are only interested in matters involving you. Is the same true for Part 1 of your request or do you want records that are general and do not involve you?

If you are requesting records in Part 1 for matters that do not involve you, please explain what you have in mind when you request "Administrative Metadata".

Thank you in advance for helping us to better understand your request.

Sincerely,

-Alisa

Alisa Bralove-Scherr
Deputy Public Access Ombudsman

Public Access Ombudsman
200 Saint Paul Place
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
410-576-6358
abralove@oag.maryland.gov
<https://piaombuds.maryland.gov>

The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 1:43 PM
To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov; publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov; PIA.Ombuds
<pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us>
Subject: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

You don't often get email from marvindtutt@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

[Quoted text hidden]

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Thu, Nov 13, 2025 at 3:29 PM

To: "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>

Dear Ms. Bralove-Scherr,

Thank you for your note.

Given my documented interactions with Maryland state agencies and courts, including multiple PIA requests filed in 2025 and knowledge of the OAG's contact with PAO, the absence of any responsive records would be implausible and warrant further inquiry.

Scope (Part 1): Yes—Part 1 is limited to matters involving me. For July 1, 2025—present, please search for items that contain (any of my identifiers) and (any of the names/agencies below) in the same item (co-occurrence limited).

This is to ensure a reasonable search.

My identifiers (any):

- * "Marvin Tutt" OR "Marvin D. Tutt" OR "Tutt, Marvin" OR "Tutt"
- * marvindtutt@gmail.com
- * 5407 Brinkley Road, Temple Hills, MD 20748
- * Case refs: 8:25-cv-02006, 25-1950, C-08-FM-22-000821, 380172531

Names/agencies (any):

- * Andrea Khoury (also "Khoury, Andrea"; Family Magistrate; former DSS counsel)
- * William Greer
- * Child Support Administration (CSA); DSS/Department of Human Services
- * Maryland Judiciary (Charles County Circuit Court)
- * Public Access Ombudsman (PAO); PIA Compliance Board (PIACB)
- * Misty Metzgar
- * Deborah Chasanow
- * Theodore Chuang
- * Robinson

Records sought = administrative metadata only (not mediation content):

- * PAO case/registry number (if assigned)
- * Date opened / date closed
- * Referring party name (individual or agency)
- * Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- * Subject tag/category
- * Disposition (e.g., resolved; referred to PIACB; closed – no jurisdiction)
- * PIACB referral (yes/no + date)

I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) / GP §4-101(k)(3)(ii); only non-confidential administrative routing data. If any field is considered confidential, please segregate and produce the remainder and cite the specific authority for any redaction/withholding.

Systems/locations to search (for transparency):

PAO case/registry or intake logs; shared mailboxes publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov and pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us; Exchange/Outlook (subject/from/to); Teams/SharePoint/OneDrive; and any ticketing/CRM used for intake.

If no responsive items exist, please provide: (1) a no-records certification, (2) systems searched + search terms used, and (3) PAO's retention schedule for case/registry entries and intake metadata.

Overbreadth: This request is narrowly tailored by time (7/1/2025–present), subject (co-occurrence with my identifiers), and fields (admin metadata only). If any portion is burdensome, please identify which system/field is at issue and propose a narrowing; I'll refine promptly.

Electronic delivery (PDF for docs; CSV/Excel for logs) and staged production are fine. My fee-waiver request remains on file.

If PAO does not log inquiries that do not become cases, please confirm that practice. If any potentially responsive material is maintained in another OAG/PAO mailbox or unit (e.g., Public Access Unit) rather than a PAO case file, please include that in the search.

Please provide the retention schedule for PAO case/registry entries and intake metadata for the period at issue.

Thank you,
Marvin D. Tutt
marvindtutt@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:28 AM

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:29 AM

To: marvindtutt@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ *This link will take you to a third-party site*

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [BL02EPF0001B417.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:08.031Z 08DE241DDCBF2C73]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; [mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com](https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors). (2a01:111:f403:f900::1, the server for the domain [mdcourts.gov](https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors).)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [BL02EPF0001B417.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:08.031Z 08DE241DDCBF2C73]

Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 05:29:08 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 08:28:55 -0500

Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

On Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing “Marvin Tutt,” “Marvin D. Tutt,” or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)
- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., “child support,” “federal court,” “OAG”)
- Disposition (e.g., “resolved,” “referred to PIACB,” “closed – no jurisdiction”)
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content;

produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications “made in and relating to a request for mediation.” The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant’s/referring party’s identity would reveal communications “made in...mediation.” As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute “communications made in...mediation.”
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt
[5407 Brinkley Road](#)
Temple Hills, MD 20748
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
To: marvindtutt@gmail.com

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 8:29 AM



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

[LEARN MORE](#)

▲ This link will take you to a third-party site

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:07.604Z 08DE242A4D1A8256]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov

Action: failed

Status: 5.4.1

Remote-MTA: dns; mdcourts-gov.mail.protection.outlook.com. (2a01:111:f403:f90e::1, the server for the domain mdcourts.gov.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied. For more information see <https://aka.ms/EXOSmtpErrors> [SA2PEPF00002252.namprd09.prod.outlook.com 2025-11-15T13:29:07.604Z 08DE242A4D1A8256]

Last-Attempt-Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 05:29:07 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov, publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov, pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2025 08:28:55 -0500

Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

On Mon, Nov 10, 2025 at 1:42 PM tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Public Access Ombudsman,

This is a request under the Maryland Public Information Act for non-confidential, administrative records maintained by your office. I am not seeking mediation communications protected by GP §4-1B-04(d) or §4-101(k)(3)(ii).

REQUESTED RECORDS (July 1, 2025 – Present)

1. Case Log / Registry Entries (Administrative Metadata Only)

For any matter referencing "Marvin Tutt," "Marvin D. Tutt," or case identifiers associated with me, please provide:

- Date opened / Date closed
- Referring party name (individual or agency)

- Responding party name (agency/custodian)
- Subject category/tag (e.g., "child support," "federal court," "OAG")
- Disposition (e.g., "resolved," "referred to PIACB," "closed – no jurisdiction")
- Whether referred to the PIA Compliance Board (yes/no + date)

Exclude: mediation communications, party statements, settlement terms, or any content protected by GP §4-1B-04(d).

2. Referral Documentation (Administrative Routing Only)

- Intake forms or equivalent records showing who initiated contact with PAO regarding matters involving me (name, agency, contact info).
- Referral letters/emails to the PIA Compliance Board (if any) concerning me — header metadata only (To/From/Date/Subject), not mediation substance.
- Closure notices or disposition letters sent to referring/responding parties (please redact mediation content; produce administrative routing/outcome data).

3. PAO Policies / Procedures (Public Records)

Current PAO case-handling procedures describing:

- How party identities are recorded in case logs.
- When/how matters are referred to PIACB.
- Retention schedules for case metadata vs. mediation communications.

LEGAL BASIS FOR DISCLOSURE

- GP §4-1B-04(d) protects communications "made in and relating to a request for mediation." The identity of the referring party, dates, and disposition codes are administrative routing data, not mediation substance.
- As a general principle, courts distinguish between (a) confidential mediation content and (b) the fact that mediation occurred, who requested it, and the outcome — which are often public.
- If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant's/referring party's identity would reveal communications "made in...mediation." As a party, I have a strong interest in knowing who invoked PAO processes concerning me.

FORMAT & FEES

- Please provide electronic delivery: PDF for documents; CSV/Excel for case logs.
- I request a fee waiver under GP §4-206(e) because disclosure serves the public interest (government accountability), and I am indigent (IFP status in federal court, Case No. 8:25-cv-02006).
- The first two hours are free under GP §4-206(c). If the search would exceed two hours, please provide an itemized estimate before proceeding. I will narrow the request if costs exceed \$25.

RECORDS PRESERVATION

Please preserve potentially responsive records (including emails, case files, and system logs) while this request is pending, consistent with your obligation to maintain public records.

ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

If you believe §4-1B-04(d) bars any disclosure:

1. Explain specifically how case-log fields (date, referring party, subject tag) constitute "communications made in...mediation."
2. Segregate and produce all administrative routing data that is reasonably severable.
3. If you view party identity as categorically confidential, please cite specific legal authority for that position.

If you view this request as overbroad:

I have limited it to seven months, to matters involving my name, and to administrative fields. If further narrowing is needed, please specify which fields or date ranges are problematic and I will refine promptly.

CONTACT

Marvin D. Tutt
5407 Brinkley Road

Temple Hills, MD 20748
Email: marvindtutt@gmail.com

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Draft To: Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Sat, Nov 15, 2025 at 10:31 AM

[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

PIA.Ombuds <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Mon, Nov 24, 2025 at 3:41 PM

To: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>, "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

Dear Mr. Tutt,

Please see our attached final response to your PIA request of November 10, 2025.

Thank you,

-Alisa

Alisa Bralove-Scherr
Deputy Public Access Ombudsman

Office of the Public Access Ombudsman
200 Saint Paul Place
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
410-576-6358
abralove@oag.maryland.gov
<https://piaombuds.maryland.gov>

The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 15, 2025 8:29 AM
To: mdcourts.pao@mdcourts.gov; publicaccess.ombudsman@mdcourts.gov; [PIA.Ombuds](mailto:PIA.Ombuds@pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us)
<pia.ombuds@oag.state.md.us>

Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

Upon reflection, I realize my July 1 start date may miss relevant records. Please amend my request to include January 1, 2025 forward. The narrow timeframe was intended to reduce burden, but I now believe earlier records are essential.

[Quoted text hidden]



Final Response to Marvin Tutt.pdf

580K

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 24, 2025 at 5:07 PM

To: "PIA.Ombuds" <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>, "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

Dear Ms. Kershner,

Thank you for your November 24, 2025 response and the attached retention schedules.

However, your response did not address several specific requirements from my original request. I am following up to ensure compliance with the MPIA.

1. You Did Not Follow My Segregation Instructions

My original request stated:

"If any field is considered confidential, please segregate and produce the remainder and cite the specific authority for any redaction/withholding."

You located an email referencing me but withheld it entirely. You did not segregate non-confidential portions. Header metadata (To/From/Date/Subject) is not mediation content — it is administrative routing data.

Please produce header metadata for the email(s) you located, or explain specifically how To/From/Date/Subject constitutes "communications made in and relating to a request for mediation" under GP § 4-1B-04(d).

2. You Did Not Provide the Certification I Requested

My original request stated:

"If no responsive items exist, please provide: (1) a no-records certification, (2) systems searched + search terms used, and (3) PAO's retention schedule for case/registry entries and intake metadata."

You provided the retention schedule. You did not provide:

- * A formal no-records certification
- * A list of all systems searched
- * The search terms used

You stated you searched an "Access database" and "a spreadsheet." My request specified: "PAO case/registry or intake logs; shared mailboxes; Exchange/Outlook (subject/from/to); Teams/SharePoint/OneDrive; and any ticketing/CRM used for intake."

Please confirm which of these systems were searched, the search terms used, and certify that the search was comprehensive.

3. You Did Not Explain How Header Metadata Is Protected

My original request stated:

"If PAO contends §4-1B-04(d) bars disclosure of who filed or referred a matter involving me, please provide a written explanation of how disclosure of the complainant's/referring party's identity would reveal communications 'made in... mediation.'"

You cited GP § 4-1B-04(d), GP § 4-101(k)(3)(ii), and CJP §§ 3-1801–3-1806, but you did not explain how these apply to header metadata.

Please provide the written explanation I requested.

4. The Mediation Contradiction

You invoke mediation confidentiality to withhold the email, yet you also state no case log, registry entry, or intake record exists for any matter involving me.

Please clarify: Was a mediation matter opened involving me?

* If yes — provide the administrative metadata I requested (date opened, referring party, disposition). This is not mediation content.

* If no — explain the legal basis for invoking the Maryland Mediation Confidentiality Act for a non-mediation email.

5. Vaughn Index

For any records withheld in full or in part, please provide a Vaughn index that:

* Describes each document withheld

* Identifies the specific exemption claimed for each

* Explains how the exemption applies to that specific document

This is standard MPA practice when exemptions are claimed.

6. Confirmation of Completeness

You identified "an email" (singular). Given my documented interactions with Maryland agencies, courts, and officials in 2025 — including multiple PIA requests and ongoing federal litigation — I find it implausible that only one record exists.

Please confirm under oath or affirmation that a comprehensive search of all OAG and PAO systems returned only one email referencing my name, identifiers, or case numbers.

I understand PAO's important role in facilitating transparency and resolving disputes. If any portion of this request remains unclear, I am happy to discuss by email to reach a reasonable resolution.

I appreciate your attention to these outstanding items and look forward to your response within ten (10) business days.

Importantly, I am seeking to negotiate favorable solutions for all parties — and that includes your office, the OAG, and everyone involved. There is a path forward that works for all of us. I believe we can work together. I am open to dialogue. I am choosing not to use tools I have at my disposal. I am open to narrowing claims, removing parties, and reaching agreements that protect everyone involved — including your office. I respectfully ask that this request receive careful, individualized attention. My previous request was not fully addressed, and I need this one to be read and responded to completely. If ten (10) business days is not enough time to provide a thorough response, I am willing to extend that timeframe. Please let me know what works for your office.

Marvin D. Tutt marvindtutt@gmail.com 5407 Brinkley Road Temple Hills, MD 20748

[Quoted text hidden]

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 24, 2025 at 8:27 PM

To: "PIA.Ombuds" <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>, "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

I would like to advocate for your offices and provide unique expert assistance on legal defense and systems engineering. Partners?

[Quoted text hidden]

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 24, 2025 at 11:09 PM

To: "PIA.Ombuds" <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Cc: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>, "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

Dear ombudsman/future clients/colleagues

This message is to inform you that I have experience engineering for US intelligence (NGA), and extensive evidence of systemic fraud and abuse from Maryland agencies in my possession. Below is an automated response from your own office that states there has been an increase in mediation requests. This response only began to appear directly after I informed over 600 officials of systemic fraud, and publicly distributed the evidence through the internet. Further, the OAG's website began giving response codes explicitly signalling that their servers were being overloaded from too many requests. This means that it is statistically impossible for your office to not hold the records that I'm requesting, given the other facts.

The email I'm sending to you right now has not been shared with the officials or the fourth circuit. I am not upset, I promise. I want to help you. Your systems, policy, and personnel are costing the state valuable taxpayer resources. Not only do your offices require my technical expertise to insulate itself and clients from further litigation, I am needed for political optics. I do not wish to allow more harm, I only wish to help. The evidence I found is only the tip of many icebergs, and Maryland staff is too compartmentalized to identify systemic holes. I am offering this duty out of necessity, and love for the American people.

-Marvin D. Tutt
Pro Se
Temple Hills, MD



PIA.Ombuds
to me

Sat, Nov 15, 8:29 AM (9 days ago) ☆ ☺ ↶ ⋮

Thank you for contacting the Office of the Public Access Ombudsman. This email serves as confirmation of receipt.

For PIA dispute mediation assistance requests, please allow 3 to 5 business days for your request to be reviewed and intake completed. **Note: Due to a recent increase in mediation requests, the Office may need additional time to complete intake. We thank you for your patience and understanding as we work diligently to process your request and place you in the Ombudsman's queue.** You can learn more about the Ombudsman's mediation program at <https://news.maryland.gov/mpiaombuds/request-mediation/>. You will hear from a member of the Office should there be any questions or if more information is needed.

For all other inquiries including training requests, please allow 1 to 3 business days for a member of the Office to review and respond to you.

Thank you,
The Office of the Public Access Ombudsman
410-576-6560

[Quoted text hidden]

Kershner, Lisa <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>

Tue, Nov 25, 2025 at 1:06 PM

To: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>, "PIA.Ombuds" <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Cc: "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

Dear Mr. Tutt,

We received your several email of Nov. 24th and will reply further after the Thanksgiving holiday.

Thank you for your interest in our program and the PIA.

Lisa A. Kershner

Public Access Ombudsman

200 Saint Paul Place

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

p: 410-576-7037

lkershner@oag.state.md.us

<https://piaombuds.maryland.gov>

The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. This email may not serve as a contractual agreement unless explicit written agreement for this purpose has been made. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error,

please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2025 11:10 PM
To: PIA.Ombuds <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>
Cc: Kershner, Lisa <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>; Bralove-Scherr, Alisa <abralove@oag.state.md.us>; Hallameyer, Teena <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>; Moore, Kentiara <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>
Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

You don't often get email from marvindtutt@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

Dear ombudsman/future clients/colleagues

This message is to inform you that I have experience engineering for US intelligence (NGA), and extensive evidence of systemic fraud and abuse from Maryland agencies in my possession. Below is an automated response from your own office that states there has been an increase in mediation requests. This response only began to appear directly after I informed over 600 officials of systemic fraud, and publicly distributed the evidence through the internet. Further, the OAG's website began giving response codes explicitly signalling that their servers were being overloaded from too many requests. This means that it is statistically impossible for your office to not hold the records that I'm requesting, given the other facts.

The email I'm sending to you right now has not been shared with the officials or the fourth circuit. I am not upset, I promise. I want to help you. Your systems, policy, and personnel are costing the state valuable taxpayer resources. Not only do your offices require my technical expertise to insulate itself and clients from further litigation, I am needed for political optics. I do not wish to allow more harm, I only wish to help. The evidence I found is only the tip of many icebergs, and Maryland staff is too compartmentalized to identify systemic holes. I am offering this duty out of necessity, and love for the American people.

-Marvin D. Tutt

Pro Se

Temple Hills, MD

PIA.Ombuds
to me

Sat, Nov 15, 8:29 AM (9 days ago) ☆ ☺ ↶ ⋮

Thank you for contacting the Office of the Public Access **Ombudsman**. This email serves as confirmation of receipt.

For PIA dispute mediation assistance requests, please allow 3 to 5 business days for your request to be reviewed and intake completed. **Note: Due to a recent increase in mediation requests, the Office may need additional time to complete intake. We thank you for your patience and understanding as we work diligently to process your request and place you in the Ombudsman's queue.** You can learn more about the Ombudsman's mediation program at <https://news.maryland.gov/mpiaombuds/request-mediation/>. You will hear from a member of the Office should there be any questions or if more information is needed.

For all other inquiries including training requests, please allow 1 to 3 business days for a member of the Office to review and respond to you.

Thank you,
The Office of the Public Access **Ombudsman**
410-576-6560

[Quoted text hidden]

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 25, 2025 at 2:31 PM

To: "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>

Cc: "PIA.Ombuds" <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>, "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.state.md.us>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>

Thank you, please take your time and enjoy the holidays.

[Quoted text hidden]

PIA.Ombuds <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>

Wed, Dec 3, 2025 at 3:19 PM

To: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Cc: "Bralove-Scherr, Alisa" <abralove@oag.maryland.gov>, "Hallameyer, Teena" <thallameyer@oag.maryland.gov>, "Moore, Kentiara" <KMoore@oag.maryland.gov>, "Kershner, Lisa" <lkershner@oag.maryland.gov>

Dear Mr. Tutt,

Please see our final response, attached.

As we note in our letter, if you have additional questions, you are welcome to schedule a call with us.

Sincerely,

-Alisa

Alisa Bralove-Scherr

Deputy Public Access Ombudsman

Office of the Public Access Ombudsman

200 Saint Paul Place

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

410-576-6358

abralove@oag.maryland.gov

<https://piaombuds.maryland.gov>

The information contained in this communication (including any attachments) may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender indicating that it was received in error and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system.

From: tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 2:31 PM
To: Kershner, Lisa <lkershner@oag.state.md.us>
Cc: PIA.Ombuds <PIA.Ombuds@oag.maryland.gov>; Bralove-Scherr, Alisa <abralove@oag.state.md.us>; Hallameyer, Teena <thallameyer@oag.state.md.us>; Moore, Kentiara <KMoore@oag.state.md.us>
Subject: Re: PIA request - Marvin D. Tutt

Caution: This is an external email. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact your IT Department

[Quoted text hidden]



Final Response to Tutt - 12-3-25.pdf

381K