IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND Southern Division

MARVIN TUTT, Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 8:25-cv-02006-TDC

V.

REGINA ROBINSON
CHARLES COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION
CHARLES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND
STATE OF MARYLAND
SHARA GABRIELLE HENDLER, ESQ.
ANDREA KHOURY
MISTEY L. METZGAR
1-30 Jane/John Does And/Or Entities
THEODORE D. CHUANG

MOTION FOR U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE ON JUDGE CHUANG IN INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** **FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND** **MARVIN TUTT** *Plaintiff,*

V.

STATE OF MARYLAND, et al.

Defendants.

Case No.: 8:25-cv-02006-TDC

MOTION FOR SERVICE BY U.S. MARSHAL

Plaintiff Marvin Tutt respectfully moves this Court to direct the U.S. Marshal to serve the Second Amended Complaint on Defendant Theodore D. Chuang in his individual capacity.

I. THE UNPRECEDENTED SITUATION

This may be the first case in federal jurisprudence where a litigant has sued their presiding judge in the same case the judge is managing. This creates unique service challenges requiring Marshal involvement.

II. LEGAL BASIS

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3), the court must order service by U.S. Marshal when the plaintiff is authorized to proceed in forma pauperis. Additionally, the sensitive nature of serving a federal judge in his individual capacity warrants official service.

2

III. INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY SERVICE REQUIRED

Defendant Chuang is sued in his INDIVIDUAL capacity for:

- Conspiracy with state defendants
- Deprivation of civil rights under color of law
- Actions outside judicial immunity

This requires personal service, not service on the court.

IV. WHY MARSHAL SERVICE IS NECESSARY

- 1. **Conflict of Interest**: Court clerk cannot serve their judge
- 2. **Sensitive Nature**: Serving a federal judge requires official process
- 3. **Clear Record**: Marshal service creates indisputable proof
- 4. **Safety Concerns**: Avoids any appearance of confrontation
- 5. **Procedural Propriety**: Ensures proper service

V. PROPOSED SERVICE INSTRUCTIONS

The U.S. Marshal should:

- 1. Serve Judge Chuang personally at the courthouse
- 2. Clearly state service is in INDIVIDUAL capacity

- 3. Provide copy of Second Amended Complaint
- 4. Complete proof of service
- 5. File return with the court

VI. THE LOGICAL IMPOSSIBILITY

This motion creates a paradox:

- Judge Chuang must rule on how to serve himself
- If he denies, he protects himself from service
- If he grants, he enables his own lawsuit
- Either way proves the structural conflict

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- 1. **ORDER the U.S. Marshal** to serve Theodore D. Chuang individually
- 2. **WAIVE service fees** due to indigency
- 3. **EXPEDITE service** given ongoing harm
- 4. **NOTE the obvious conflict** requiring immediate recusal

The Court (presumably not Judge Chuang) should recognize the impossibility of a judge managing his own service of process.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Marvin Tutt
Marvin Tutt
Pro Se Plaintiff
Email: owner@caiatech.com
Date: July 15, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I hereby certify that on July 15, 2025, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion
for U.S. Marshal Service upon the following parties via certified mail and first-class mail:
Charles County Child Support Administration
200 Kent Avenue
La Plata, MD 20646
Charles County Department of Social Services
200 Kent Avenue

La Plata, MD 20646

State of Maryland

c/o Office of the Attorney General

200 Saint Paul Place

Baltimore, MD 21202

Charles County, Maryland

200 Charles Street

La Plata, MD 20646

Shara Gabrielle Hendler, Esq.

c/o Charles County Child Support Administration

200 Kent Avenue

La Plata, MD 20646

Andrea Khoury

c/o Charles County Circuit Court

200 Charles Street

La Plata, MD 20646

Mistey L. Metzgar

c/o Charles County Circuit Court

200 Charles Street

La Plata, MD 20646

Note: Service on Judge Chuang in his individual capacity awaits Court order.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Marvin Tutt

Marvin Tutt

Pro Se Plaintiff

Date: July 15, 2025