



tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Inquiry re: Complaint against Charles Co. DSS and Magistrate Khour

2 messages

Civil Rights <CivilRights@oag.state.md.us>
Reply-To: Civil Rights <CivilRights@oag.state.md.us>
To: Marvin Tutt <marvindtutt@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 2:36 PM

Good afternoon,

Thank you for contacting the Civil Rights Division of the Maryland Office of the Attorney General (OAG).

The Civil Rights Division works to create equal opportunity by addressing violations of State and federal civil rights laws through investigation, litigation, and public education. The OAG's Civil Rights Division does not represent individuals harmed by civil rights violations or have authority to address complaint against government agencies. It seeks to address patterns of misconduct, discriminatory policies, or widespread conduct. In most of our cases, the discrimination will have harmed, or poses a risk of harm to multiple persons.

If the Office has reason to believe that there has been a civil rights violation, it may seek restitution, a court order to stop further violations, and/or civil penalties.

The inquiry that you made falls outside of our jurisdiction. The Civil Rights Division does not have authority to advise or represent individuals or address complaint against government agencies or its employees. You may want to contact a private attorney regarding your inquiry. If you wish to consult with private counsel, please contact the legal services listed on the [People's Law Library](#) or the [Maryland Pro Bono Resource Center](#) for referrals.

Sincerely,

Maryland Office of the Attorney General Civil Rights Division
<https://www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov/Pages/Civil/default.aspx>

tut <marvindtutt@gmail.com>
To: Civil Rights <CivilRights@oag.state.md.us>

Fri, Jun 27, 2025 at 2:42 PM

Dear Civil Rights Division,

Thank you for your response. I must respectfully document the following for the federal record:

Your office states it "seeks to address patterns of misconduct, discriminatory policies, or widespread conduct" affecting multiple persons. My federal case (25cv2006) demonstrates exactly such patterns:

1. **Unprecedented Statewide Pattern:** Maryland's first-ever government agency filing as co-plaintiff with a private citizen - establishing precedent affecting EVERY Maryland citizen
2. **Federal Workforce Pattern:** State interference with federal contractors, affecting thousands of cleared personnel throughout Maryland
3. **Systematic Agency Coordination:** Cross-database denials of benefits (SNAP, Medicaid, UI) using phantom income - affecting all recipients subject to garnishment
4. **Judicial Conflict Pattern:** Agency employees becoming magistrates presiding over their former employer's cases with zero cooling period
5. **Educational Persecution Pattern:** Targeting parents pursuing court-mandated education - affecting all

criminal defendants with rehabilitation requirements

Your response that this "falls outside our jurisdiction" is noted. The Civil Rights Division of Maryland apparently does not consider:

- Government conspiracy against citizens
- Systematic denial of due process
- Weaponization of child support enforcement
- Destruction of educational opportunities

...to be civil rights matters warranting investigation.

This response will be included in my federal case documentation as evidence of Maryland's refusal to address systematic civil rights violations even when presented with clear evidence of patterns affecting multiple populations.

For the record: I have \$203 and cannot afford the private attorney you suggest. I suppose civil rights are only for those who can afford them in Maryland.

Respectfully documenting,

Marvin Tutt Federal Case 25cv2006 Pro Se Plaintiff

[Quoted text hidden]