Final Model ADD precinct The first thing that I will be doing in the final model is to add the precinct feature. This is good for my predictions because people generally live closer to people that are of the same ethnicity. To my understanding, each precinct governs one particular area in the city. Therefore precinct is a good feature because people generally want to work at places not too far from home, which means that officers who work in the same precinct are likely to be of the same ethnicity. I will be using OneHotEncoder for this column. After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.73. ADD rank_abbrev_now - rank_abbrev_incident I think that how much promotions or how fast the promotions are for an officer is a good feature for predicting the ethnicity of officers. To do this, I would first transform the columns into numbers representing the rank hierarchy and then perform the substraction. After that, I will be using FunctionTransformer for the output. After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.77. **ADD Binary outcome_description** It is possible that the outcome of the incident is related to the ethnicity of the officer. It is likely that officers of one ethnicity are more likely to make arrests than those of another ethnicity. I will be transforming the outcome_description column to a binary column, with 1 meaning arrest and 0 meaning no arrest. I will use FunctionTransformer. After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.78. ADD first_name and last_name Last names usually carry tons of information about people's origin. For example, people from Africa would have different last names than people from Asia. I will be using OneHotEncoder for these columns. After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.96. Search I performed a search using GridSearchCV. The model I used is a Pipeline with DecisionTreeClassifier. The best parameters are :{max_depth: 2500, min_samples_leaf: 2, min_samples_split: 5}. **Fairness Evaluation** My interesting subset of data is the mos_age_incident column. I will treat any age > 40 as old and <= 40 as young. Thus I have a young subset and an old subset, which will be my X and Y. For my parity measures, I will be using recall since false negatives are important in my model. In other words, I care more about false negatives than false positives. I want to know more the proportion of the two groups that are predicted correctly. My null hypothesis is that the sensitivities of the two groups are the same(my model is fair), and my alternative hypothesis is that the sensitivities of the two groups are different(my model is unfair). I will be using difference in means as my test statistic. I got a p-value of 0.944 which means that I cannot reject my null hypothesis which means that my model is fair. Code In [1]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np import os import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from sklearn.preprocessing import FunctionTransformer from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer from sklearn.preprocessing import OrdinalEncoder from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV %matplotlib inline %config InlineBackend.figure format = 'retina' # Higher resolution figures Introduction In [2]: # checking out the dataset df = pd.read_csv('allegations_202007271729-Copy1.csv') df.head() Out[2]: unique_mos_id first_name last_name command_now shield_no complaint_id month_received year_received month_closed year_closed ... mos_age_incid 10004 Jonathan Ruiz 078 PCT 8409 42835 7 2019 2020 ... 10007 John Sears 078 PCT 5952 24601 11 2011 8 2012 ... 1 2012 ... 2 10007 John Sears 078 PCT 5952 24601 11 2011 10007 7 3 John Sears 078 PCT 5952 26146 2012 2013 ... Sierra 8 2 10009 078 PCT 24058 40253 2018 2019 ... Noemi $5 \text{ rows} \times 27 \text{ columns}$ In [3]: # checking out the features(columns) df.columns Out[3]: Index(['unique_mos_id', 'first_name', 'last_name', 'command_now', 'shield_no', 'complaint_id', 'month_received', 'year_received', 'month_closed', 'year closed', 'command at incident', 'rank abbrev incident', 'rank_abbrev_now', 'rank_now', 'rank_incident', 'mos_ethnicity', 'mos gender', 'mos age incident', 'complainant ethnicity', 'complainant_gender', 'complainant_age_incident', 'fado_type', 'allegation', 'precinct', 'contact reason', 'outcome description', 'board disposition'], dtype='object') In [4]: # drop rows with missing values df = df.dropna(axis = 0) In [5]: # checking out the target df['mos ethnicity'].unique() Out[5]: array(['Hispanic', 'White', 'Black', 'Asian', 'American Indian'], dtype=object) **Baseline Model** In [6]: # Numeric columns and associated transformers num feat = ['mos age incident'] num_transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler()) # Categorical columns and associated transformers cat_feat = ['board_disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender', 'complainant_ethnicity'] cat transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown = 'ignore'))]) # preprocessing pipeline (put them together) preproc = ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', num_transformer, num_feat), ('cat', cat_transformer, cat_feat)], remainder = 'drop') pl = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preproc), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]) In [7]: | # split the dataset X = df.drop('mos_ethnicity', axis = 1) y = df.mos_ethnicity X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3) # 70% training and 30% test # train the model pl.fit(X train, y train) # score for the model pl.score(X_test, y_test) Out[7]: 0.5663969538315088 In [8]: # look into each individual feature and their importances importance = dict(zip(X.columns, pl.named_steps['clf'].feature_importances_)) for i in list(df.columns.drop(['mos_ethnicity', 'mos_age_incident', 'board_disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender ', 'complainant ethnicity'])): importance.pop(i) importance Out[8]: {'mos_gender': 0.0024161749025932537, 'mos_age_incident': 0.01374031518291352, 'complainant_ethnicity': 0.005253176526791074, 'contact reason': 0.01103227666092285, 'board_disposition': 0.0069556292156181965} **Final Model ADD** precinct After adding the precinct feature, the score of my model improved to 0.73, which means that precinct is indeed a good feature for my model. num feat = ['mos age incident'] num transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler())]) # Categorical columns and associated transformers cat feat = ['board disposition', 'contact reason', 'mos gender', 'complainant ethnicity', 'precinct'] cat transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle unknown = 'ignore'))]) # preprocessing pipeline (put them together) preproc = ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', num_transformer, num_feat), ('cat', cat_transformer, cat_feat)], remainder = 'drop') pl = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preproc), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]) In [10]: # split the dataset X = df.drop('mos ethnicity', axis = 1) y = df.mos ethnicity X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(X, y, test size=0.3) # 70% training and 30% test # train the model pl.fit(X_train, y_train) # score for the model pl.score(X_test, y_test) Out[10]: 0.7315564017134698 ADD rank abbrev now - rank abbrev incident It turns out that the ranks have something to do with the officer's ethnicity. After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.77 In [11]: def helper(row): if row in ['POM', 'POF', 'PO', 'PSA', 'SRG']: return 0 elif row in ['SGT', 'SSA', 'SDS', 'SCS']: elif row in ['DT3', 'DT2', 'DT1', 'DTS', 'DCS', 'DI']: return 2 elif row in ['LT', 'LSA', 'LCD',]: return 3 elif row in ['INS', 'CPT']: return 4 elif row in ['DC', 'AC']: return 5 else: return 6 def helper2(cols): return (cols.iloc[:,0].apply(helper) - cols.iloc[:,1].apply(helper)).to_frame() In [12]: # Numeric columns and associated transformers num_feat = ['mos_age_incident'] num transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler())]) # rank columns and associated transformers rank transformer = FunctionTransformer(helper2) rank feat = ['rank_abbrev_now', 'rank_abbrev_incident'] # Categorical columns and associated transformers cat_feat = ['board_disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender', 'complainant_ethnicity', 'precinct'] cat_transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle unknown = 'ignore'))]) # preprocessing pipeline (put them together) preproc = ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', num_transformer, num_feat), ('cat', cat_transformer, cat_feat), ('rank', rank_transformer, rank_feat)], remainder = 'drop') pl = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preproc), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]) In [13]: # split the dataset X = df.drop('mos_ethnicity', axis = 1) y = df.mos ethnicity X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3) # 70% training and 30% test # train the model pl.fit(X_train, y_train) # score for the model pl.score(X_test, y_test) Out[13]: 0.7749881009043312 ADD Binary outcome_description After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.78, which means that this feature is a good feature. In [14]: **def** helper3(row): if 'Arrest' in row: return 1 else: return 0 def helper4(cols): return (cols.iloc[:,0].apply(helper3)).to frame() In [15]: # Numeric columns and associated transformers num feat = ['mos age incident'] num_transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler())]) # rank columns and associated transformers rank transformer = FunctionTransformer(helper2) rank_feat = ['rank_abbrev_now', 'rank_abbrev_incident'] # outcome column and associated transformers out_transformer = FunctionTransformer(helper4) out feat = ['outcome description'] # Categorical columns and associated transformers cat_feat = ['board_disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender', 'complainant_ethnicity', 'precinct'] cat transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown = 'ignore'))]) # preprocessing pipeline (put them together) preproc = ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', num_transformer, num_feat), ('cat', cat_transformer, cat_feat), ('rank', rank transformer, rank feat), ('out', out_transformer, out_feat)], remainder = 'drop') pl = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preproc), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]) In [16]: # split the dataset X = df.drop('mos ethnicity', axis = 1) y = df.mos ethnicity X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3) # 70% training and 30% test # train the model pl.fit(X train, y train) # score for the model pl.score(X_test, y_test) Out[16]: 0.780580675868634 ADD last_name After adding this feature, the score improved to 0.96, which means that this feature is a good feature. In [17]: # Numeric columns and associated transformers num feat = ['mos age incident'] num transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler()) # rank columns and associated transformers rank transformer = FunctionTransformer(helper2) rank_feat = ['rank_abbrev_now', 'rank_abbrev_incident'] # outcome column and associated transformers out transformer = FunctionTransformer(helper4) out_feat = ['outcome_description'] # Categorical columns and associated transformers cat_feat = ['board_disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender', 'complainant_ethnicity', 'precinct', 'last_name'] cat_transformer = Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknown = 'ignore'))]) # preprocessing pipeline (put them together) preproc = ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', num_transformer, num_feat), ('cat', cat_transformer, cat_feat), ('rank', rank_transformer, rank_feat), ('out', out transformer, out feat)], remainder = 'drop') pl = Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', preproc), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]) In [18]: # split the dataset X = df.drop('mos ethnicity', axis = 1) y = df.mos_ethnicity X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3) # 70% training and 30% test # train the model pl.fit(X train, y train) # score for the model pl.score(X_test, y_test) Out[18]: 0.959662065683008 Search The best parameters are :{max_depth: 2500, min_samples_leaf: 2, min_samples_split: 5} In [19]: parameters = { 'clf__max_depth': [None, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500], 'clf__min_samples_split':[2,5,7,15,20], 'clf__min_samples_leaf':[2,5,7,15,20] In [20]: search = GridSearchCV(pl, parameters, cv = 5) search.fit(X_train, y_train) Out[20]: GridSearchCV(cv=5, estimator=Pipeline(steps=[('preprocessor', ColumnTransformer(transformers=[('num', Pipeline(steps=[('scaler', StandardScaler())]), ['mos age incident']), ('cat', Pipeline(steps=[('onehot', OneHotEncoder(handle_unknow n='ignore'))]), ['board disposition', 'contact_reason', 'mos_gender', 'complainant ethnicity', 'precinct', 'last_name']), ('rank', FunctionTransformer(func=<function helper2 a</pre> t 0x7fc0b8a98430>), ['rank abbrev now', 'rank_abbrev_incident']), ('out', FunctionTransformer(func=<function helper4 a</pre> t 0x7fc0b8be2670>), ['outcome_description'])])), ('clf', DecisionTreeClassifier())]), param_grid={'clf__max_depth': [None, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500], 'clf min samples leaf': [2, 5, 7, 15, 20], 'clf__min_samples_split': [2, 5, 7, 15, 20]}) In [28]: best = search.best_params_ best Out[28]: {'clf max depth': 2500, 'clf__min_samples_leaf': 2, 'clf__min_samples_split': 5} **Fairness Evaluation** I will first divide the dataset into the two groups that I mentioned. In [22]: pre = pl.predict(X test) fair = pd.DataFrame() fair['age'] = X_test['mos_age_incident'].apply(lambda x :'old' if x > 40 else 'young') fair['predict'] = pre fair['actual'] = y_test fair['compare'] = fair['predict'] == fair['actual'] fair.groupby('age')['compare'].mean() Out[22]: age old 0.958763 0.959766 young Name: compare, dtype: float64 I can see that there is a small difference between the two groups. Now I need to know if this difference is significant. I will use a significance level of 0.05. In [23]: obs = fair.groupby('age')['compare'].mean().diff().abs().iloc[-1] obs Out[23]: 0.0010034126983040625 In [24]: n repetitions = 500 differences = [] for _ in range(n_repetitions): shuffled age = (fair['age'] .sample(replace=False, frac=1) .reset_index(drop=True) shuffled = (fair .assign(**{'Shuffled age': shuffled age}) group means = (shuffled .groupby('Shuffled age') .mean() .loc[:, 'compare'] difference = group_means.diff().abs().iloc[-1] differences.append(difference) np.count nonzero(differences >= obs) / n repetitions Out[24]: 0.944 Since I got a p value larger than 0.05, I cannot reject my null hypothesis which means that my model is fair.

Summary of Findings

the predictions that are correct, I will be the using accuracy of the model.

definitely improve this score by adding new features in my final model.

The prediction question that I'm attempting regarding the dataset is predict the officer ethnicity given information about the allegations. This would be a

Upon looking at the dataset, I discovered that I have to classify my prediction into Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, American Indian as these are the unique

For my baseline model, I would like to use board_disposition, contact_reason, mos_gender, complainant_ethnicity and mos_age_incident as my features.

OneHotEncoder to transform them into sparse matrices. For the quantitative feature, I will standardize it using StandardScaler. My baseline model has an

complainant_ethnicity appears to be the most important with a score of 0.01, and contact_reason appears to be the least important with a score of 0. However,

this does not mean that contact_reason does not matter because I trained the model using all columns, in which some of them are raw(there was no feature engineering done on those columns). As I start to add more features in my final model, these importances will change. As of the performance of my baseline

model, I think it is decent given a score of 0.57, which means that my predictions are correct 57% of the time. Ideally, I want to build a model that has a score as close as possible to 1, which means that 100% of my predictions are correct. However, given the score of my baseline model, I think it is not bad and I can

Among those 5 features, every feature is nominal except for mos_age_incident, which is a quantitative feature. For nominal features, I will be using

accuracy of 0.57 provided by the R^2 score. Upon looking into the importance of each individual feature in my baseline model, I found that

classification problem because my target column would be categorical. Before diving in to prediction, I will drop rows in the dataset that have missing values.

variables in my target column mos_ethnicity. As of evaluating the model, since I care about how many times my predictions are correct, i.e. the proportion of

Introduction

Baseline Model