Problem Set 5

COSC 290 Spring 2018

Caio Brighenti

 $\mathrm{April}\ 2,\ 2018$

1 Problem 1: G_n and F_n

Claim: Let $P(n) := G_n = F_{n+3} - 1$. The claim is that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq -1} : P(n)$.

Proof. We will prove by strong induction on n.

Base cases: n = -1 and n = 0. For the first case, $G_{-1} = F_2 - 1$, and by the definition of F_n and G_n , $F_2 = 1$ and $G_{-1} = 0$, giving us 0 = 1 - 1, thus the case is true for n = -1. For the second case, $G_0 = F_3 - 1$, so 1 = 2 - 1, thus the second case is also true.

Inductive case: Let $n \ge 1$. We will show $[P(-1) \land P(0) \land ... \land P(n)] \implies P(n+1)$.

- Given: Assume $P(-1) \wedge ... \wedge P(n-1) \wedge P(n)$ is true.
- Want to show: P(n+1) is true.

Since P(n-1) is true, we have $G_n = F_{n+2} - 1$. Since P(n) is true, we have $G_{n-1} = F_{n+3} - 1$.

We will use this fact to show P(n+1):

$$\begin{split} P(n) &:= G_n = F_{n+3} - 1 & \text{definition of P(n)} \\ P(n+1) &:= G_{n+1} = F_{n+4} - 1 & \text{inductive hypothesis} \\ G_{n+1} &= G_n + G_{n-1} + 1 & \text{definition of G} \\ F_{n+4} &= F_{n+3} + F_{n+2} & \text{definition of F} \\ P(n+1) &:= G_n + G_{n-1} = F_{n+3} + F_{n+2} - 2 & \text{substituting/rearranging terms} \end{split}$$

By the claim, the following are given to be true:

$$G_n = F_{n+3} - 1$$
$$G_{n-1} = F_{n+2} - 1$$

By adding the two, we get:

$$G_n + G_{n-1} = F_{n+3} + F_{n+2} - 2$$

This is exactly equal to the rearranged inductive hypothesis for P(n+1). Thus, the inductive hypothesis must be true.

2 Problem 2: G_n lower bound

Claim: Let $P_2(h) := G_h \ge 2^{h/2}$. The claim is that $\forall h \in \mathbb{N}^{\ge 0} : P_2(h)$.

Proof. We will prove by strong induction on h.

Base cases: h = 0 and h = 1. For the first case, $G_0 = 1$, and $2^{0/2} = 1$, giving us $1 \ge 1$ and thus the first case is true. For the second case, $G_1 = 2$, and $2^{1/2} = \sqrt{2}$, giving us $2 \ge \sqrt{2}$, thus the second case is also true

Inductive case: Let $h \geq 2$. We will show $[P_2(0) \wedge P_2(1) \wedge ... \wedge P_2(h-2) \wedge P_2(h-1)] \implies P_2(h)$.

- Given: Assume $P_2(-1) \wedge ... \wedge P_2(h-2) \wedge P_2(h-1)$ is true.
- Want to show: $P_2(h)$ is true.

Since $P_2(h-2)$ is true, we have $G_{h-2} \ge 2^{(h-2)/2}$. Since $P_2(h-1)$ is true, we have $G_{h-1} \ge 2^{(h-1)/2}$.

We will use this fact to show $P_2(h)$:

$$P_2(h) := G_h \geq 2^{h/2} \qquad \text{definition of } P_2(\mathbf{h})$$

$$G_h = G_{h-2} + G_{h-1} + 1 \qquad \text{definition of G}$$

By substituting G_{h-2} and G_{h-1} with the given cases, we get:

$$G_h \ge 2^{(h-2)/2} + 2^{(h-1)/2} + 1$$

It also follows that $2^{(h-2)/2} + 2^{(h-1)/2} + 1 > 2^{h/2}$, for all $h \ge 1$. This is because $2^{(h-2)/2}$ is equal to exactly half of $2^{h/2}$, and because $2^{(h-1)/2} > 2^{(h-2)/2}$. Thus $2^{h/2}$ must be smaller than $2^{(h-2)/2} + 2^{(h-1)/2} + 1$, as it is a number exactly half of $2^{h/2}$ being added to a number bigger than half of $2^{h/2}$, plus one. Thus, if:

$$2^{(h-2)/2} + 2^{(h-1)/2} + 1 > 2^{h/2}$$

And:

$$G_h > 2^{(h-2)/2} + 2^{(h-1)/2} + 1$$

It follows that:

$$G_h > 2^{h/2}$$

Thus, the claim must be true.

- 3 Problem 3: lower bound on height balanced binary trees
- 4 Problem 4: false lower bound on binary trees
- 5 Problem 5: bound on height
- 6 Example of proof by induction

This is an example proof, provided in LaTeX so that you may copy its basic formatting.

Claim: Let $P(n) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. The claim is that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1} : P(n)$.

Proof. We will prove by weak induction on n.

Base case: n = 1. In this case $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \sum_{i=1}^{1} i = 1$ and $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{1 \times (1+1)}{2} = 1$. Thus P(1) is true.

Inductive case: Let $n \geq 2$. We will show $P(n-1) \implies P(n)$.

- Given: Assume P(n-1) is true.
- Want to show: P(n) is true.

Since P(n-1) is true, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{(n-1)((n-1)+1)}{2}$$

We will use this fact to show P(n):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i\right) + n$$
 definition of summation
$$= \frac{(n-1)((n-1)+1)}{2} + n$$
 inductive hypothesis
$$= \frac{(n-1)n+2n}{2}$$
 rearanging/simplifying terms
$$= \frac{n^2 - n + 2n}{2} = \frac{n^2 + n}{2}$$
 algebra
$$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$