

# **Problem Set 6**

*COSC 290 Spring 2018*

Caio Brighenti

April 15, 2018

# 1 Problem 1: Equivalence classes

## 1.1 DLN 8.110

$R_1$  is an equivalence relationship if and only if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- *Reflexivity*: If  $A$  is an empty set, then the  $A = A = \emptyset$ , so  $\langle A, A \rangle \in R_1$ . If  $A$  is not an empty set, then the greatest element in  $A$  will equal the greatest element in  $A$ , because  $A = A$ , thus  $\langle A, A \rangle \in R_1$ . Therefore  $R_1$  is reflexive.
- *Symmetry*: In the case that  $A = \emptyset$  and  $\langle A, B \rangle \in R_1$ , then by the second condition  $B = \emptyset$ . Therefore, also by the second condition,  $\langle B, A \rangle \in R_1$ . In the case that  $\langle A, B \rangle \in R_1$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ , the greatest element in  $A$  must equal the greatest element in  $B$ . This means that the greatest element of  $B$  must equal the largest element in  $A$ , and so  $\langle B, A \rangle \in R_1$ , making  $R_1$  symmetric.
- *Transitivity*: If  $\langle A, B \rangle \in R_1$  and  $\langle B, C \rangle \in R_1$ , and  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $A = B = \emptyset$ , and thus  $B = C = \emptyset$ . Therefore, if  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are all empty sets, then  $A = C = \emptyset$ , and so  $\langle A, C \rangle \in R_1$ . In the case that  $A$  is not an empty set, then the greatest element in  $A$  must equal the greatest element in  $B$ . Since  $\langle B, C \rangle \in R_1$ , then the greatest element in  $B$  must equal the greatest element in  $C$ . Thus, the greatest element in  $A$  must equal the greatest element in  $C$ , therefore  $\langle A, C \rangle \in R_1$ . This means that the relation must be transitive.

Since the relation  $R_1$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is an equivalence relationship. The equivalence clauses are as follows:

- $\{\emptyset\}$
- $\{\{0\}\}$
- $\{\{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$
- $\{\{2\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\}\}$
- $\{\{3\}, \{0, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\}$

## 1.2 DLN 8.111

$R_1$  is an equivalence relationship if and only if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.  $P = \mathcal{P}(\{0, 1, 2, 3\})$ .

- *Reflexivity*: The sum of the items in  $A$  will always equal the sum of items in  $A$ . Thus, for every  $A \in P$ ,  $\langle A, A \rangle \in R_2$ . Therefore, the relation is reflexive.
- *Symmetry*: If the sum of items in  $A$  equals the sum of items in  $B$ , the reverse must also be true. Therefore, for every  $A, B \in P$ , if  $\langle A, B \rangle \in R_2$ , then  $\langle B, A \rangle \in R_2$ . This means that  $R_2$  is symmetric.
- *Transitivity*: If  $\langle A, B \rangle \in R_2$ , then the sum of elements in  $A$  equals the sum of elements in  $B$ . If  $\langle B, C \rangle \in R_2$ , then the sum of elements in  $B$  must equal the sum of elements in  $C$ . Thus, the sum of elements in all three sets ( $A, B$ , and  $C$ ) must be equal, giving us  $\langle A, C \rangle \in R_2$ . This means that the relation  $R_2$  is transitive.

Since the relation  $R_1$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is an equivalence relationship. The equivalence clauses are as follows:

- $\{\emptyset\}$
- $\{\{0\}\}$
- $\{\{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$
- $\{\{2\}, \{0, 2\}\}$

- $\{\{3\}, \{0, 3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\}\}$
- $\{\{1, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3\}\}$
- $\{\{2, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}\}$
- $\{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\}$

### 1.3 DLN 8.113

Etc.

## 2 Problem 2: DLN 8.84

**Claim:** The claim is that for any relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  that is both irreflexive and transitive,  $R$  must also be asymmetric.

*Proof.* We will prove by assuming the claim is false and showing a contradiction.

- *Given:* Assume a relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  is irreflexive, transitive, and not asymmetric.
- *Want to show:* The assumption leads to a contradiction.

By the definition of asymmetry, since  $R$  is not asymmetric there must be one pair  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$  such that  $\langle b, a \rangle \in R$ . By the definition of transitivity, if  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ , and  $\langle b, a \rangle \in R$ , then  $\langle a, a \rangle \in R$ . Thus, if  $R$  is not asymmetric and transitive, then we must have  $\langle a, a \rangle \in R$ .

By the definition of irreflexivity, for every  $a \in A$ ,  $\langle a, a \rangle \notin R$ . By the assumption,  $R$  is not asymmetric and is transitive, thus  $\langle a, a \rangle \in R$ , and is also irreflexive, thus for every  $a \in A$ ,  $\langle a, a \rangle \notin R$ . These two statements are in direct contradiction, therefore if  $R$  is both irreflexive and transitive, it must be asymmetric, proving the claim.

□

### 3 Problem 3: DLN 8.130

Replace this with your answer to problem 3.