### Small Area Estimation with R

Unit 4: EBLUP estimators

V. Gómez-Rubio

Department of Mathematics Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

useR! 2016 27th June 2016, Stanford University

## Mixed-effects models for SAE

#### Mixed-effects models

- Random effects can help in the fitting of the model by accounting for different types of hidden structures
- They can be thought of as if they measured the effects of unobserved covariates

#### Small Area Estimation

- Random effects at the area level
- Spatial correlation between areas
- Temporal correlation

## Area level model with random effects

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_i = \mu_i + \epsilon_i; \ \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \hat{\sigma}_i^2)$$

$$\mu_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\overline{X}_{i} + Zu_{i}; \ u_{i} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u}^{2}); \ i = 1, ..., K$$

- $\bullet$   $u_i$  are the random effects
- $\sigma_u^2$  is the variance of the random effects
- Z reflects the structure of the random effects
- They are specified at the area level
- This model can be fitted because  $\hat{\sigma}_i^2$  is known
- Estimation can be done either by Maximum Likelihood (ML) or Restricted ML (REML)

### Model structure and estimation

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_i \sim N(\mu, V)$$

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_K)^T = X^T \beta$$
  $V = \operatorname{diag}(\hat{\sigma}_1^2, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_K^2) + \sigma_u^2 Z I_K Z^T$ 

 $\beta$  can be estimated by

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1}) X^T V^{-1} \hat{\overline{Y}}$$

 $\sigma_u^2$  is usually not known and it is plugged-in the previous equation. The small area estimate becomes

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{M,i} = \hat{\beta} X_i + \hat{u}_i$$

## Estimation of the random effects

#### **EBLUP** estimator

- The random effects can be estimated in different ways
- A common way of estimating them is by means of (Empirical) Best Linear Unbiased Predictors (EBLUP)
- EBLUP estimator are a linear combination of the observed values:

$$\hat{u}_i = GZ^T V^{-1} (\hat{\overline{Y}} - X\beta)$$

- V in the unconditional variance of the response
- G is the variance of the random effects
- In addition, EBLUP estimators are taken so that they minimise the Mean Square Error

## Estimation of the MSE of the estimates

When computing the variance of the estimates we have to take into account the following:

$$MSE[\hat{\overline{Y}}_{M,i}] \approx G1 + G2 + 2 \cdot G3$$

- Uncertainty about the small area estimate (G1)
- Uncertainty about  $\hat{\beta}$  (G2)
- Uncertainty about  $\hat{\sigma_u^2}$  (G3)
- Other terms may appear if the variance components have more parameters (like in the case of the Spatial EBLUP)

# Package nlme

- Linear mixed-effects models: 1me
- Generalized mixed-effects models: nlme
- Linear models with complex variance structure: gls
- The structure of the random effects can be defined by
  - the covariance structure
  - · correlation between the units
  - Different structures can be defined and combined

# Package **SAE2**

- Available from github
- Implements EBLUP estimators for area level models
- Under development!!
- Area level model with independent random effects
- Area level model with spatially correlated random effectos
- Provides estimates of the MSE of the small area estimates
  - Spatial EBLUP
  - The spatial random effects have a SAR structure (that I will discuss later)
- Includes a vignette with examples on how to use different methods using a simulated data set

# Example: Area level model

```
> library(SAE2)
> spam.options(eps=.0000001)
> dmm <- cbind(data.frame(REG = 1:8, DIREST = destdom$RMT85,</pre>
     DESVAR = destdom$se^2), REGCOV)
> dmmeblup <- EBLUP(DIREST ~ ME84, ~ DESVAR, data = dmm )</pre>
> dmmeblup
Call:
EBLUP(formula = DIREST ~ ME84, varformula = ~DESVAR, data = dmm)
Coefficients:
            [,1]
[1.] 81.27455635
[2.] 0.05871661
Variance of the random effects: 4857.405
Log likelihood: -264.1687
```

### Unit level

$$y_{ij} = \mu_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
;  $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ 


$$\mu_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + u_i; \ u_i \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

Small area estimates are provided by

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_{m,i} = \hat{\beta} X_i + \hat{u}_i$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{u}_i$  are computed similarly as for the area level case. Note that now matrices tend to be significantly larger.

## Example: Unit level mixed-effects model




```
> library(nlme)
> mm <- lme(RMT85 ~ ME84, random = ~ 1 | REG,
   data = dsmpcl)
> unitmm <- predict(mm, dmm)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
 Data: dsmpcl
 Log-restricted-likelihood: -145.3564
 Fixed: RMT85 ~ ME84
(Intercept)
                   ME84
 -6.372505
               0.138095
Random effects:
Formula: ~1 | REG
        (Intercept) Residual
StdDev: 0.001222797 20.33021
Number of Observations: 32
Number of Groups: 8
```

# Models with missing data

- Mixed-effects model can still be fitted when there are data from some areas missing by desing
- The fitting procedure is done as before, but with the reduced data set
- Small area estimates are produced as before
- However,  $\hat{u}_i = 0$  for the areas with no sample at all. Hence, in this areas the EBLUP estimator is reduced to a synthetic estimator

# Models with missing data



```
> mmmiss <- lme(RMT85 ~ ME84, random = ~ 1 | REG,
    data = dsmpc12)
> unitmmmiss <- predict(mmmiss, dmm)
> unitmmmiss[is.na(unitmmmiss)] <- predict(mmmiss, dmm,
     level = 0) [is.na(unitmmmiss)]
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
  Data: dsmpc12
  Log-restricted-likelihood: -144.2672
  Fixed: RMT85 ~ ME84
(Intercept)
  5.2739567 0.1315343
Random effects:
Formula: ~1 | REG
        (Intercept) Residual
StdDev:
           1.542383 20.58033
Number of Observations: 32
Number of Groups: 4
```

# Spatial models

#### Motivation

- Sometimes the data exhibit spatial autocorrelation that should be modelled in some way
- Covariates which show spatial structure can be used
- Any remaining spatial structure can be modelled by means of random effects
- The structure of the random effects should mimic the spatial configuration of the data
- The spatial random effects can follow different structures, but in general they are based on the adjacency between the areas. For example, neighbouring regions should have a higher correlation than regions that are further apart
- The spatial random effects are modelled at the area level

# Spatial structures

Pratesi & Salvati (2008) developed a Spatial EBLUP (SEBLUP) estimator based on a SAR specification for the random effects

# Simultaneously Autoregressive Models (SAR)

Recalling the area level model

$$\hat{\overline{Y}}_i = \mu_i + \epsilon_i; \ \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \hat{\sigma}_i^2)$$

If we model the area level mean as

$$\mu_i = \alpha + \beta \overline{X}_i + v_i$$

the SAR specification is as follows

$$v = \rho Wv + u$$
;  $u \sim MVN(0, \sigma^2 I)$ 

$$v = (I - \rho W)^{-1}u; \ v \sim N(0, \sigma^2[(I - \rho W)(I - \rho W))]^{-1}$$

# Example: Spatial EBLUP

```
> library(spdep)
> regnb <- poly2nb(swreg)</pre>
> W <- nb2mat(regnb, style="W")</pre>
>
> dmmseblup <- SEBLUP(DIREST ~ ME84, ~ DESVAR, data = dmm , W = W,
    met.hod = "REMI.")
> dmmseblup
Call:
SEBLUP(formula = DIREST ~ ME84, varformula = ~DESVAR, data = dmm,
    W = W, method = "REML")
Coefficients:
            Γ.17
[1.] 17.59511680
[2.] 0.05898785
Variance of the random effects: 9937.627
Log likelihood: -271.6073
```

# Spatial approach and Data 'missing' by design

- LeSage and Pace (2004) and Saei and Chambers (2005) discuss the issue of using spatial correlation to improve the estimation in small areas with 'missing' data.
- When the random effects are independent of each other, the estimate of the random effect in off-sample areas is 0
- Given that spatial random effects are correlated, EBLUP estimates of the random effects can be predicted in off-sample

#### Other model-based estimators

- Temporal models
   The structure of the random effects can be set so that every time period has an associated random effect.
- Space-time models
   Space and time random effects can be combined to produce space-time models

### References

- LeSage, J. P. and R. K. Pace (2004). Models for spatially dependent missing data. Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics 29(2), 233–254.
- Pratesi, M. and N. Salvati (2008). Small Area Estimation: the EBLUP estimator based on spatially correlated random area effects. Statistical Methods and Applications 17: 113–141.
- Saei, A. and R. Chambers (2005). Working paper m05/03: Empirical best linear unbiased prediction for out of sample areas. Technical report, Southampton Statistical Sciences Research Institute, University of Southampton.