

Telemetry Study Summary Framework

Date of last modification: November 20, 2020

| | |
|---|--|
| Point of Contact: | |
| Name: Laurie Earley | E-mail: laurie_earley@fws.gov |
| Phone: 530-355-3390 (mobile) | |
| Study objective: | |
| Survival and movement rates of hatchery-origin (progeny of captive broodstock) winter-run Chinook Salmon released in North Fork Battle Creek annually in March-April for the Battle Creek Jumpstart Project. Secondary objective is to determine residency within Battle Creek. | |
| Study Timing: | Study site(s): |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study Duration (years): 2019 – pilot; 2020-2023 – Study Release Dates (range): March-April | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collection site(s): Coleman NFH Tagging site(s): Coleman NFH Release location(s): North Fork Battle Creek |
| Fish | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Species-race: winter-run CS Life stage: pre-smolt Source: LSNFH / CNFH Size distribution (median & range): 80-105 mm | Size to tag (median & range): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weight: 6.5 g Length: >85 mm Fish Grading (hi/lo/no): potentially high |
| Tags and Tag Studies | |
| Transmitter Information | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type/model: ATS SS400 single battery Weight (gm): 0.30 g PRI/approx. life of tag: 5 sec PRI / 71 days Implant orientation (battery anterior/posterior): anterior Battery life (y/n & count): Y, 30 Tag retention (y/n and count): Y, 30 | |
| Tagging Plans/Metadata | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tagging environment (field or hatchery): Hatchery Biosecurity/disinfection methods: Established methods with CNFH Tagging protocol(s): NMFS/UCSC protocol and USGS Cook lab Deviations from protocols: None Implant procedure: Surgical placement of acoustic tag in peritoneal cavity. Two sutures. Staffing levels (taggers/data/assistants): Non-COVID 3/3/3; COVID 2/2/2 Tagger(s) experience level(s): 2 years of surgery experience Most recent tag training or evaluation: March 2019 | |
| Release Plans/Metadata | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Post tagging retention time (holding): 24 h + Release location (onsite/offsite): Offsite Transport details: CNFH distribution truck Release method: Tagged fish are returned to raceways and incorporated with all hatchery fish released | |
| Telemetry Receivers: | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Receivers maintained: 7 sites located in Battle Creek, each site with a Lotek and ATS receiver | |

| |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Receiver Deployment (e.g., year-round, study-based/seasonal – specific dates): Study-based deployment, Installed March 10 and removed Sept 15 (coupled with adult telemetry study using same receivers) Coordination with other studies/receivers needed? (Y/N, geographical extent): Y, coordinated acoustic telemetry receivers in Sacramento River, Delta, and Bay. Frequency of data download required: 90 d after release (download in June or July) and again at retrieval |
| <p>Survival estimate (per species or objective)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type (project, etc.): System survival estimated from release to Chipps Island. Value & SE: Mean = 0.174; 95% BCI = 0.211 – 0.140 Sample size/replicate: Dependent on the number of hatchery lots in the release group – 200 / 3, 300 / 2 # replicates: see above Analytical model: Cormack Jolly Seber (CJS) model fit within a Bayesian framework using R and Stan modelling software. |
| <p>Hypothesis test and results (if applicable)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> H₀: N/A H_a: N/A Conclusion: N/A |
| <p>Characteristics of estimate:</p> <p>Survival estimates were made using a Bayesian CJS model including reach level estimates for 20 reaches between release in Battle Creek and Chipps Island. Scaled estimates of survival (per 10 river kilometers), accounting for differences in reach lengths, showed little variation in survival among reaches with overlapping credible intervals. Tag battery life and tagging related shedding or mortality were assessed and deemed not to significantly influence survival estimates. Additionally, these data will allow assessment of movement rates and residency in Battle Creek and the Sacramento River.</p> |
| <p>Environmental/operating conditions (if applicable)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant discharge indices: Battle Creek and Sacramento River through emigration corridor Temperature: Battle Creek and Sacramento River TDG: N/A Treatment(s): Discharge, turbidity, water temperature, release group (see additional study template) |
| <p>Unique study characteristics:</p> <p>The 2019 study was an exploratory effort to determine feasibility, work on coordination and timing, and provide initial results to be used in determining an appropriate sample size for future efforts. Working with USGS Cook, WA office, to provide analytical expertise and study analysis. This study is unique because it provides insight on survival, travel time, and distribution of reintroduced fish. Additionally, these fish are released (early spring) into the Battle Creek later than the LSNFH release groups and are present at a time when there are no other AT fish migrating through the system.</p> |