CHAPTER 2

MEASURE THEORY

2.1 Rings and Algebras

In this section, we will define measures and see why they are necessary. In relation to functional analysis, measure theory is needed to formally define function spaces that complement the sequence spaces ℓ^p . We will be able to extend C[0,1] to a bigger set of functions by defining integration for more general spaces.

Intuitively, a measure on \mathbb{R} is a function $\mu \colon \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}) \to [0, \infty]$ such that

• for disjoint collection of countable sets $(A_i)_{i \in I}$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i\right) = \sum_{i\in I}\mu(A_i);$$

- for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\mu(x+A) = \mu(A)$ (translation-invariant); and
- the measure of the unit interval $\mu([0,1]) = 1$.

It turns out that it is not possible to find such a function. We will prove this by showing that satisfying the first 2 axioms implies that the third axiom cannot be satisfied.

So, assume that we have a measure function μ on \mathbb{R} satisfying the first two axioms. Then, define the equivalence relation \sim on [0,1] by

$$x \sim y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

From each equivalence class, choose a specific element x, and let N be the set containing all such elements. Now, for $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)$, define

$$N_r = \{x + r \mid x \in N, x \le 1 - r\} \cup \{x + r - 1 \mid x \in N, x > 1 - r\}.$$

We know that $N_r \cap N_q \neq \emptyset$ if and only if r = q, with

$$\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} N_r = [0,1).$$

Since the measure function μ is translation-invariant, we know that $\mu(N_r) = N$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ is countable with N_r disjoint for all $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, we find that

$$\mu([0,1)) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)}\right) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} \mu(N_r) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)} \mu(N).$$

The value $\mu(N)$ is a constant, so either $\mu(N)=0$, in which case $\mu([0,1))=0$, or $\mu(N)>0$, in which case $\mu([0,1))=\infty$. We would like to give the interval

[0,1) a non-zero finite value (in particular 1), and to do so, we cannot allow every subset of \mathbb{R} to be measurable.

We will now define the theory of rings and algebras, and later use this to define measurable functions, including the one we want in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.1.1. Let X be a set and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$ be a non-empty collection of subsets of X.

- We say that (X, A) is a ring if for all $A, B \in A$, $A \cup B \in A$ and $A \setminus B \in A$.
- We say that (X, \mathcal{A}) is an algebra if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- We say that (X, \mathcal{A}) is a σ -algebra if for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$, and for a collection $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of sets in \mathcal{A} , the union

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We will only be focusing on σ -algebras, but many of the results will hold for rings or algebras. A σ -algebra is an algebra, and an algebra is a ring. An example of a σ -algebra is $\mathcal{P}(X)$, for some set X. For any set $A\subseteq X$, the smallest σ -algebra containing A is denoted $\sigma(A)$. It is given by the intersection of σ -algebras containing A- this is always a σ -algebra. For a topological space X, the set $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denotes the Borel sets, which is the σ -algebra generated by open sets in X.

We want to define measurable functions on σ -algebras. This can be defined on a ring.

Definition 2.1.2. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ring, and let $\mu \colon \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ be a function.

- We say that μ is additive if for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ disjoint, $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$.
- We say that μ is σ -subadditive if for a collection of disjoint sets $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$$

if the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

• We say that μ is σ -additive if for a collection of disjoint sets $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$$

if the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

An example of a measurable function on the natural numbers $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is the counting measure i.e. m(A) = |A|. In this case, it is possible to define the measure on the entire set. We will later see that integration defined on this set will precisely give us the ℓ^p sequence spaces.

Now, let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of finite union of intervals in \mathbb{R} . This is a ring-the union of two unions is still a union, and the set difference of two intervals

is a union of intervals. However, it is not an algebra since it does not contain countable union of intervals. We can define the following measure μ on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. First, for an interval I_k , we define

$$\mu(I_k) = \begin{cases} \infty & I_k \text{ not bounded} \\ \sup I_k - \inf I_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, for a union of intervals

$$I = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I_k,$$

we can make it a disjoint union of intervals

$$I = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} J_k$$

(a possible choice is $J_1 = I_1$, $J_2 = I_2 \setminus J_1$, $J_3 = I_3 \setminus (J_1 \cup J_2)$ and so on). Then, we define

$$\mu(I) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(J_k).$$

By definition, μ is additive. It is also σ -additive. We will extend μ to the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ in the next section.

2.2 Outer Measure

In this section, we will define the concept of outer measure that allows us to extend a measure on a ring to the σ -algebra generated by the ring. First, we define the outer measure on every subset:

Definition 2.2.1. Let X be a set and let \mathbb{R} be a ring on X and μ a measure on \mathcal{R} . Define the map $\mu^* \colon \mathbb{P}(X) \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(I_k) \mid (I_k) \text{ collection in } \mathcal{R} \text{ s.t. } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_k \right\}$$

It turns out that μ * is not a measure on $\mathbb{P}(X)$, but we can restrict it to a measure on the σ -algebra generated by the ring.

We first show some properties about μ^* :

Proposition 2.2.2. Let X be a set, \mathcal{R} a ring on X and μ a measure on \mathcal{R} . Then,

- for $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, $\mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B)$;
- μ^* is σ -subadditive, i.e. for a collection $(A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of subsets of X,

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^* (A_k).$$

• μ^* extends μ , i.e. for $A \in \mathcal{R}$, $\mu^*(A) = \mu(A)$.

Proof.

4

• Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$. Then, if $(I_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a collection in \mathcal{R} such that

$$B\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}I_k,$$

then

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k.$$

So, by the definition of the infimum, we find that $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B)$.

• Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, by the definition of $\mu^*(A_k)$, we can find a collection $(I_n^{(k)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\mu^*(A_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_n^{(k)}).$$

Then, we know that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{(k)},$$

with

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_n^{(k)})$$
$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}$$
$$= \varepsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_k).$$

So,

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_k).$$

•

As we mentioned before, to make μ^* a σ -algebra, we need to restrict it to the σ -algebra generated by the ring. For example, for the set \mathbb{R} with the norm measure on the ring $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, we can generate the Lebesgue measure λ^* on the σ -algebra generated by the ring, which coincides with the Borel σ -algebra, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, which is the σ -algebra generated by the open sets in \mathbb{R} . Note that the Lebesgue-measurable sets $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with union those that have measure 0 in \mathbb{R} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ B \cup N \mid B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu^*(N) = 0 \}.$$

Pete Gautam

2.3 Measurable Functions

In this section, we will consider measurable functions- these will be equivalent to integrable functions, but will also allow the integral to be infinite.

Definition 2.3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and (X, \mathcal{B}, ν) be measure spaces, and let $f: X \to Y$ be a function. Then, f is measurable if $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

If $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, then this definition is equivalent to asking that $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We can even generalise this to other similar preimages.

Proposition 2.3.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- 3. $f^{-1}(-\infty, a]$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- 4. $f^{-1}[a, \infty)$ is measurable for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof. Since measurable sets form a σ -algebra, we know that it is closed under complements. Hence, we know that $f^{-1}(-\infty,a)$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}[a,\infty)$ is measurable, and similarly $f^{-1}(-\infty,a]$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(a,\infty)$ is measurable. So, we show $(1) \Longrightarrow (3)$ and $(3) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

(1) \Longrightarrow (3) Assume that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is measurable. Now, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we claim that

$$(-\infty, a] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a + 1/n)$$

We have $(-\infty, a) \subseteq (-\infty, a+1/n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, meaning that

$$(-\infty, a] \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a + 1/n).$$

Next, let $x \in (a, \infty)$. Define $\varepsilon = x - a > 0$. We can find an $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Hence,

$$a + \frac{1}{n} < a + \varepsilon = x,$$

meaning that $x \notin (-\infty, a+1/n)$. This implies that

$$x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a+1/n).$$

Hence,

$$(-\infty, a] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a + 1/n).$$

So,

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, a] = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a + 1/n)\right) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(-\infty, a + 1/n).$$

We know that $(-\infty, a+1/n)$ is measurable for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Since a σ -algebra is closed under countable intersection, it follows that $f^{-1}(-\infty, a]$ is measurable.

(3) \Longrightarrow (1) Now, assume that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}(-\infty, a]$ is measurable. Then, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we claim that

$$(-\infty, a) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a - 1/n].$$

We know that $(-\infty, a-1/n] \subseteq (-\infty, a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, meaning that

$$(-\infty, a) \supseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a - 1/n].$$

Now, let $x \in (-\infty, a)$. Let $\varepsilon = a - x > 0$. We can find an $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. In that case,

$$a - \frac{1}{n} > a - \varepsilon = x,$$

which implies that $x \in (-\infty, a - 1/n]$. Hence,

$$x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a - 1/n].$$

So,

$$(-\infty, a) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a - 1/n].$$

Using the same argument as above, we find that $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is measurable.

Using this result, we find that a continuous function is measurable- since $(-\infty, a)$ is open for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, its preimage $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is open, meaning that it is measurable.

We will now look at some simple results about measurable functions.

Proposition 2.3.3. Let $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions. Then,

- f + g is measurable;
- fg is measurable; and
- cf is measurable for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

• Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We claim that

$$(f+g)^{-1}(-\infty,a) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (f^{-1}(-\infty,r) \cap g^{-1}(-\infty,a-r)).$$

First, let $x \in (f+g)^{-1}(-\infty,a)$. In that case, f(x)+g(x) < a, meaning that f(x) < a - g(x). Since $\mathbb Q$ is dense in $\mathbb R$, we can find an $r \in \mathbb Q$ such that f(x) < r < a - g(x), i.e. f(x) < r and g(x) < a - r. That is, $x \in f^{-1}(-\infty,r) \cap g^{-1}(-\infty,a-r)$. Hence,

$$(f+g)^{-1}(-\infty,a)\subseteq\bigcup_{r\in\mathbb{Q}}(f^{-1}(-\infty,r)\cap g^{-1}(-\infty,a-r)).$$

Now, let $x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, r) \cap g^{-1}(-\infty, a - r)$. In that case, f(x) < r and g(x) < a - r, meaning that f(x) + g(x) < a. So, $(f + g)^{-1}(x) \in (-\infty, a)$. This implies that

$$(f+g)^{-1}(-\infty,a) \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{O}} (f^{-1}(-\infty,r) \cap g^{-1}(-\infty,a-r)).$$

Next, since f and g are measurable, and σ -algebras are closed under intersections and countable unions, we find that f + g is measurable.

• We first show that f^2 is measurable. So, let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If a < 0, then $(f^2)^{-1}(a,\infty) = \mathbb{R}$ is measurable. Otherwise,

$$(f^{2})^{-1}(a, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x)^{2} > a\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) < -\sqrt{a} \text{ or } f(x) > \sqrt{a}\}$$

$$= f^{-1}(-\infty, -\sqrt{a}) \cup f^{-1}(\sqrt{a}, \infty).$$

Since f is measurable, we find that f^2 is measurable. Now,

$$4fg = (f+g)^2 - (f-g)^2,$$

and since $(f+g)^2$ and $(f-g)^2$ are measurable, we find that fg is measurable.

• If c=0, then cf(x)=0 for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$, meaning that

$$(cf)^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & a \le 0 \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, cf is measurable. Otherwise, if c > 0, then

$$(cf)^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid cf(x) < a\}$$

= $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) < a/c\}$
= $f^{-1}(-\infty, a/c)$.

Since f is measurable, we find that $f^{-1}(-\infty, a/c)$ is measurable. Hence, cf is measurable. Finally, if c < 0, then

$$(cf)^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid cf(x) < a\}$$
$$= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -cf(x) > -a\}$$
$$= (-cf)^{-1}(-a, \infty).$$

Since -cf is measurable, we conclude that cf is measurable.

Proposition 2.3.4. *Let* $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be measurable. Then,*

- the function $f^+ = \max(0, f)$ is measurable;
- the function $f^- = \max(0, -f)$ is measurable; and
- ullet the function |f| is measurable.

Proof.

• By construction $f^+(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for $a \in (-\infty, 0)$, $(f^+)^{-1}(-\infty, a) = \emptyset$ is measurable. Now, for $a \in [0, \infty)$, we find that $f^+(x) = a$ if and only if $f(x) \leq a$, meaning that

$$(f^+)^{-1}(-\infty, a) = f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$$

is measurable. Hence, f^+ is measurable.

- Since $f^- = (-f)^+$, we find that f^- is measurable.
- Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $|f(x)| \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we find that $f^{-1}(a, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$ if $a \le 0$. Now, if a > 0, then

$$\begin{split} |f|^{-1}(a,\infty) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid |f(x)| > a\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) < -a \text{ or } f(x) > a\} \\ &= f^{-1}(-\infty, -a) \cup f^{-1}(a, \infty). \end{split}$$

Since f is measurable, both $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ and $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ are measurable. Hence, $|f|^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is measurable.

We now define inf and sup for a sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$(\inf f_n)(x) = \inf_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \qquad (\sup f_n)(x) = \sup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x).$$

It turns out that if (f_n) is a sequence of measurable functions, then $\inf f_n$ and $\sup f_n$ are measurable. In particular, the functions

$$(\limsup f_n)(x) = \sup_{n=1}^{\infty} \inf_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k(x) \qquad (\liminf f_n)(x) = \inf_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{k=n}^{\infty} f_k(x)$$

are measurable.

Pete Gautam

2.4 Simple Functions and Integration

In this section, we will cover simple functions and define integration for simple functions. Since simple functions can be used to approximate any measurable function, we will use this to define integration for measurable functions later.

Definition 2.4.1. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The *characteristic function* $\chi_E \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in E \\ 0 & x \notin E. \end{cases}$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(\chi_E)^{-1}(a,\infty) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & a < 0 \\ E & 0 \le a < 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, χ_E is measurable if and only if E is measurable.

We now define simple functions.

Definition 2.4.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We say that f is *simple* if there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $(E_j)_{j=1}^n$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \chi_{E_j}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

It turns out that a simple function is measurable if and only if each E_j is measurable.

Proposition 2.4.3. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a simple function, with

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \chi_{E_j}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $c_i = c_j$ if and only if i = j. Then, f is measurable if and only if E_j is measurable for all $1 \le j \le n$.

Proof. Assume that E_j is measurable for all $1 \le j \le n$. In that case, we know that χ_{E_j} is measurable for all $1 \le j \le n$. Since scalar products and finite sums of measurable functions is measurable, we conclude that f is measurable.

Now, assume that E_j isn't measurable for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. In that case, we know that

$$f^{-1}(c_j) = E_j$$

isn't measurable. We have

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, c_j] \setminus f^{-1}(-\infty, c_j) = f^{-1}(c_j),$$

so if f was measurable, then $f^{-1}(c_j)$ would also be measurable. Hence, f cannot be measurable. \square

Also, every (measurable) function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated pointwise by a sequence of simple (measurable) functions.

Proposition 2.4.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then, there exists a sequence of simple measurable functions $(s_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $s_k \to f$ pointwise.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $f \geq 0$, i.e. $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define the set

$$E_j^k = f^{-1}[\frac{j-1}{2^k}, \frac{j}{2^k}]$$

for $1 \leq k \leq 2^{2k}$, and let $E = f^{-1}(2^k, \infty)$. Then, define the function

$$s_k = \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2k}} \frac{j-1}{2^k} \chi_{E_j^k} + 2^k f^{-1}(E).$$

Since the intervals are getting finer and spanning a larger interval (without bound), we find that $s_k \to f$ pointwise. Moreover, since open sets are Borel, we find that E_j and E are measurable for $1 \le j \le 2^{2k}$. So, s_k are simple measurable for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$.

We now define integration.

Definition 2.4.5. Let s be a simple function and A a measurable function, with

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \chi_{E_i},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j$. We define the integral of s over A by

$$\int_A s \ d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \mu(A \cap E_i).$$

For a measurable function $f \geq 0$, we define the *integral*

$$\int_A f \ d\mu := \sup \left\{ \int_A s \ d\mu \mid 0 \le s \le f \text{ simple measurable} \right\}.$$

If the value is finite, we say that f is *integrable*. For a measurable function f, we say that f is *integrable* if $f^+ = \max(0, f)$ and $f^- = \max(0, -f)$ are both integrable, with integral

$$\int_A f \ d\mu := \int_A f^+ \ d\mu - \int_A f^- \ d\mu.$$

The set $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mu) = L^1$ the collection of Lebesgue-integrable functions.

We will now show some properties about integration.

Proposition 2.4.6. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then, the integral

$$\int_A f \ d\mu = 0$$

for every $f \in L^1$ if and only if $\mu(A) = 0$.

Proof. First, assume that $\mu(A)=0$. In that case, for $0\leq s\leq f$ simple measurable, with

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \chi_{E_i},$$

we know that $\mu(A \cap E_i) \leq \mu(A) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$, and so

$$\int_A s \ d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \mu(A \cap E_i) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\int_A f \ d\mu = \sup\{0\} = 0.$$

Now, assume that the integral

$$\int_A f \ d\mu = 0$$

for every $f \in L^1$. In that case, let f be the constant 1 function. Since this is simple measurable, we find that

$$0 = \int_A f \ d\mu = 1 \cdot \mu(A \cap \mathbb{R}) = \mu(A).$$

Proposition 2.4.7. Let $f, g \in L^1$.

1. Then, $f + g \in L^1$, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f + g \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

2. If $f \leq g$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

3. The function $|f| \in L^1$, with

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| \ d\mu.$$

4. If

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\rbrace) = 0,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

Proof. We will not prove (1) yet.

2. First, assume that $0 \le f \le g$. In that case, for $0 \le s \le f$ simple measurable, we also have $0 \le s \le g$. Hence, by the supremum property, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

Now, if $f \leq g$, then we have $f^+ \leq g^+$ and $f^- \geq g^-$, meaning that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^+ \ d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^- \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^+ \ d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^- = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

3. By definition, if $f \in L^1$, then $f^+, f^- \in L^1$, meaning that $|f| = f^+ + f^- \in L^1$. Moreover,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^+ \ d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^- \ d\mu \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^+ \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^- \ d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| \ d\mu.$$

4. Let

$$E = \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}).$$

In that case, $(f-g)^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})=E$. Hence, for $0\leq s\leq |f-g|$, we also have $s^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})\subseteq E$, i.e. $\mu(s^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}))=0$. This implies that for $t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $\mu(s^{-1}(t))=0$. So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} s \ d\mu = \sum_{t \in s(\mathbb{R})} t \cdot s^{-1}(t) = \sum_{t \in s(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})} t \cdot s^{-1}(t) = \sum_{t \in s(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})} 0 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f-g| \ d\mu = \sup\{0\} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$0 \le \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} f - g \ d\mu \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f - g| \ d\mu = 0,$$

meaning that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f - g \ d\mu = 0.$$

So,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

2.5 Convergence Theorems

In this section, we will cover some convergence theorems. They tell us when, given a sequence of measurable functions $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, do we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu$$
?

It turns out that Lebesgue integration is more flexible than Riemann integrationthe equality above holds in more cases for Lebesgue integration than in Riemann integration. To see this, enumerate $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$. Define the functions $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by $f_k = \chi_{E_k}$, where

$$E_k = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}.$$

Then,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \ dx = 0$$

since E_k is finite. Now, we have $f_k \to f$ pointwise, where $f = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$. However, the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \ dx$$

does not exist. On the other hand, since $\mu(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \ d\mu = 0,$$

so the limit of the integrals is the integral of the limit.

We will now consider the convergence theorems, starting with the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

Theorem 2.5.1 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). Let $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of non-negative measurable functions, i.e. $f_{k+1} \geq f_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ (almost everywhere). Then, the limit function $f = \sup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is non-negative and measurable, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Proof. We know that the supremum of a sequence of measurable functions is measurable, so f is measurable. Moreover, the supremum of non-negative functions is still non-negative. We know that $f_k \leq f$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ (almost everywhere). Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu.$$

So,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu.$$

Now, let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a simple measurable function $0 \le s \le f$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, define the set

$$E_k = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_k(t) \ge \alpha s(t) \}.$$

Since f_k and αs are measurable functions, E_k is measurable. Since f_k is an increasing sequence of functions, we have $E_k \supseteq E_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Moreover, we claim that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \mathbb{R}.$$

So, let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $f_k(t) \to f(t)$, we can find a $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$\alpha s(t) \le \alpha f(t) < f_k(t) \le f(t),$$

meaning that $f_k(t) \geq \alpha s(t)$. This implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \ge \int_{E_k} f_k \ d\mu \ge \alpha \int_{E_k} s \ d\mu$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. So, as $k \to \infty$, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \ge \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} s \ d\mu.$$

Since this holds for every $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} s \ d\mu$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, meaning that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} s \ d\mu.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} s \ d\mu \mid 0 \le s \le f \text{ simple measurable} \right\} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

We can use this result to show that integral is linear.

Corollary 2.5.2. Let $f,g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions. Then, f+g is integrable, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f + g \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $f,g \geq 0$. We can find an increasing sequence of simple measurable functions $(s_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $(t_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $s_k \to f$ and $t_k \to g$ pointwise. By Monotone Convergence Theorem, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_k \ d\mu, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t_k \ d\mu.$$

Now, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} s_k + t_k \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_k \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} t_k \ d\mu.$$

Pete Gautam 15

Hence, applying Monotone Convergence Theorem yet again, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f + g \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_k + t_k \ d\mu$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_k \ d\mu + \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t_k \ d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

We can also extend the Monotone Convergence Theorem to series.

Corollary 2.5.3. Let $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive measurable functions. Then, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is measurable, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k \ d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Proof. The sequence of partial sums is an increasing sequence of positive measurable functions, so applying the Monotone Convergence Theorem gives us the result. \Box

We now prove Fatou's Lemma.

Lemma 2.5.4 (Fatou's Lemma). Let $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k \ d\mu \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Proof. Since $(\inf_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of non-negative measurable functions, the Monotone Convergence Theorem tells us that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \inf_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n \ d\mu.$$

By the infimum property, we know that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\inf_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n \leq f_k$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \inf_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

So, we find that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \inf_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n \ d\mu \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k \ d\mu \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

This result can be extended to limit inferior of f_k .

Corollary 2.5.5. Let $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative measurable functions, with $f_k \to f$ pointwise. Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Proof. Since $f_k \to f$, we find that

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k = f.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \ d\mu \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

We now show the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Theorem 2.5.6 (Dominated Convergence Theorem). Let $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of integrable functions and let f be a function with $f_k \to f$ pointwise almost everywhere. If there exists an integrable function g such that $|f_k| \leq g$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then f is integrable, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Proof. Since $|f_k| \leq g$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, we find that

$$|f| = \lim_{k \to \infty} |f_k| \le g.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu.$$

Since g is integrable, this implies that f is integrable.

Now, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Since $|f_k| \leq g$, we know that $g + f_k \geq 0$ and $g - f_k \geq 0$. Hence, Fatou's Lemma tells us that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g + f_k \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu + \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g - f_k \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \ d\mu - \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k \ d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \ d\mu.$$