Lecture 3 Oct. 3, 2023 P.1

Stability of an equilibrium x^* of $\dot{x} = f(x)$, equilibrium satisfies $f(x^*) = 0$ An equilibrium is Lyapunor stable if for every neighborhood N of x* there exists a neighborhood s.t. for all x(0) ∈S, x(t) ∈N for all t≥0 unstable: not Lyapunov stable (no such neighborhood S exists) asymptotically stable: Lyapunov stable and there exists a neighborhood N s.t. lim X(t) = x* for all x(t) EN

Lecture 3	p.2
Oct. 3,2023	<u></u> ,

example: pendulum 12=-sin0 2 equilibria $x_{1}^{*} = (\Theta_{1}^{*} \Omega^{*}) = (0,0)$ x, = (0, st)=(tt,0) Lyapunov stable, but not asymptotically Stable 1 unstable Another stability concept "linearly " Stable" $\dot{\chi} = f(x)$, $f(x^*) = 0$, f is continuously differentiable (c') let x=x*+4 $\dot{X} = \dot{y}$ $f(x) = f(x^* + y) = f(x^*) + D_x f(x^*) y + C_y$ linear, Stability; neglect error y=Dxf(x*)y term

nxu constant matrix

	∂f, ∂f,	∂f ₁ ∂×2		2fi axn	Tecohia
Dxf(x*) =	dfz dx1			_	Jacobian Matrix
				3 C	
	<u> </u>		VIVE A VICE A STATE OF	OXN -	_X=X*

if reigenvalues of Dxf(x*) satisfy Ro(u)<0, then x* is linearly asymptotically stable. if any eigenvalues of Dxf(x*) has Ro(u)>0 then x* is linearly unstable

We will show (later) that linear asymptotic stability implies asymptotic stability. Likewise linear instability implies instability.

However, if we find that x* is \$\frac{1}{4}\$ neither linearly asymptotically stable, nor unstable, then linearization to determine stability is inconclusive. Im(h)

Im(h)

* Re(h) * Re(h) * Re(h)

Osym. stable

Osym. stable

Lecture 3	14 H
Oct. 3, 2023	

	First: How not to solve X=A(t)X
(a	Mistake 1: compute eigenvalues & eigenvectors of A(t), which would be time-dependent and write soln. That way e.g. X=c,v,(t)e M.(t) to +c2v2(t)e M2(t) to
	of A(t), which would be time-dependent
	and write soln. that way e.g. X=c,v,(+)e".
	$+c_2v_2(t)e^{u_2(t)}e^{u_2(t)}$
	You can check - this isn't a soln
(b)	Mistake 2: a if x=a(t)x, x ∈ R,
. 1	Mistake 2: a if $\chi = a(t) \chi$, $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\chi(t) = e^{\int_0^a (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y}} \chi_0$, so try
, 100	$X = e^{\int_{0}^{t} A(s)ds} X_{o}$
	X= e ³ X _o
W W A A A	You can check - this isn't a soln
	V(++1\-X(+)
	X = lim - Xtern)
	h>0 h
	= lim / no / nt /
	hool n
	+11 at at at a text at a t
	$\int_0^{t+h} A(s) ds \qquad \int_0^t A(s) ds + \int_t^{t+h} A(s) ds$
	<u>e</u> = e
<u> </u>	Fe e C + A(s) ds
	F e C
	since SoA(s) ds may may
	Since Johnson way
.	not commute with sthals) ds.
il.	

What do we know? linear, homogeneous; $\dot{X} = A(t)X$ 2 linearly independent $\chi(6) = \chi_6$ A(t)=A(t+1) solns. X, (t) & X2(t) needed for general soln. A Fundamental Matrix Soln. & (t) 車=A(t)車, 重(0)=Id ⇒ X.(t) = I(t) X0 Useful to examine the Monodromy natrix M to determine is X=0 is stable or not. $M = \Phi(T)$ if X(0) = Xo, then X(T) = MXo, X(2T)=MXo,-Xoa · X2= M2X0 ·XI=MXO +=T

	eigenvalues my of M called Floquet multipliers determine stability of X=0 for X=A (t)X
	You should prove that eigher
	eigenvalues are complex $\mu_2 = \overline{\mu}$, and $ \mu = 1$ Re(μ)
	X neither grows nor decays in this
or 2	eigenvalues are real and $\mu_z = /\mu_z$
	instability
	(exportentially) at rate deferming by M1
	For our prublen, we have no way to
	at least numerically estimate M.

	<u></u>
	Fix (x, b)
-	let Xo=(0), solve X=A(t)X to time T
	to obtain MX = (9)
	& also let $\widetilde{X}_0 = (i)$ to get $M\widetilde{X}_0 = (i)$
-	$\Rightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & < \\ 6 & d \end{pmatrix}$
	,
	explore on a grid in the (x,\$1-plane Should find: gunbounded ,
	["resonance bounked"
	what is associated (wo)? (wo)?
П	profession to the control to the co

Next topic: Existence & Uniqueness of Soln, to initial value problem

(*) X = f(x) X = f(x)

A soln. of (*) exists, on some time interval containing t=0 provided f is continuous.