

## Advanced Problem

We can use proof by contradiction to show that the algorithm will also find the  $i - 1$  smaller and  $n - i$  larger elements.

We can let variable  $y$  represent the  $i$ th smallest element returned by the algorithm. For  $y$  to be the  $i$ th smallest, there has to be a set of  $i - 1$  elements such that for all elements in the subset  $a$ , the element is less than  $y$ . There also has to be a set of exactly  $n - i$  elements such that for all elements in the subset  $b$ , all elements are greater than  $y$ .

Suppose the algorithm ends early and there is an element  $w$  that is not equal to  $y$ , and the comparison between the two elements was not determined. Without the comparison, we could change the value of  $w$  to be smaller or larger than  $y$  without contradicting any comparisons. Changing the value of  $w$  to be greater or less than  $y$  will change the rank of  $y$ .

This means that for the algorithm to be correct, it must have done enough comparisons to fix the rank of  $y$  to be exactly  $i$ . This means that every element in the list has a relationship through direct comparison or the transitive property of  $y$ . This therefore proves that to know  $y$  is the  $i$ th element in the list, we implicitly partition the elements into two sets, one that is less than  $y$  and another greater than  $y$ .