Logic for Computer Science 2020-2021	Alexandru Ioan Cuza Universit	у
		_

But, by definition, 
$$height((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) = 1 + max(height(\varphi_1), height(\varphi_2))$$
  
and, as  $max(a,b) \leq a + b$  (for any naturals  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we have that  $height((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) \leq 1 + height(\varphi_1) + height(\varphi_2)$ . But  $height(\varphi_i) \leq size(\varphi_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 2$ ) by our hypothesis, and therefore  $height((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) \leq 1 + size(\varphi_1) + size(\varphi_2)$ . But, by definition,  $size((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) = 1 + size((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) = 1 + size((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2))$ 

what we had to prove.

4. (Inductive Case iii) Similar to Inductive Case ii.

 $size(\varphi_1) + size(\varphi_2)$ , and therefore  $height((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)) \leq size((\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2))$ ,

g.e.d.

## 4.4 Exercise Sheet

**Exercise 19.** Compute, using the function subf, the set of subformulae of the following formulae:

1.  $((p \land \neg q) \land r)$ ; 2.  $((p \lor \neg q) \land r)$ ; 3.  $\neg ((p \lor \neg q) \land r)$ .

**Exercise 20.** Compute the abstract syntax trees of the following formulae:

1.  $((p \land \neg q) \land r)$ ;

2.  $((p \lor \neg q) \land r)$ ;

3.  $\neg ((p \lor \neg q) \land r)$ ;

4.  $(\neg(p \lor \neg q) \land r)$ .

**Exercise 21.** Recall the recursive definition of the function height:  $\mathbb{PL} \rightarrow$ N. which computes, given a formula, the height of its abstract syntax tree. Compute the height of the formulae shown in Exercise 20.

**Exercise 22.** Recall the recursive definition of the function size:  $\mathbb{PL} \to \mathbb{N}$ . which computes the number of nodes in abstract syntax tree of a formula. Compute the size of the formulae shown in Exercise 20.

**Exercise 23.** Recall the recursive definition of the function prop :  $\mathbb{PL} \to 2^A$ . which computes, given a formula, the set of propositional variables occurring in the formula. Compute the set of propositional variables occurring in the formulae shown in Exercise 20.

**Exercise 24.** Show by structural induction that  $height(\varphi) < size(\varphi) + 1$  for any formula  $\varphi \in \mathbb{PL}$ .