

# Problem Set 1 - ECON 880

Spring 2022 - University of Kansas

Minh Cao, Gunawan

January 31, 2022

## Problem 1

### Problem 1a

We can evaluate the polynomial as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}f(x, y) &= 83521y^8 + 578x^2y^4 - 2x^4 + 2x^6 - x^8 \\&= y^4(83521y^4 + 578x^2) + x^4(-2 + 2x^2 - x^4)\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 1b

Test

### Problem 1c

test 2

## Problem 2

In this exercise, we write an algorithm to determine the relative speeds of addition, multiplication, division, exponentiation, and the logarithmic function of our computer. The computer uses Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-1035G4 CPU @ 1.10GHz, 1.50 GHz with 64-bit operating system and 8.00 GB installed RAM. We proceed by generating two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  of size  $10^4 \times 10^4$  using the `rand()` function in Matlab. Then, we use them in element-wise operations of addition ( $A + B$ ), multiplication ( $A * B$ ), division ( $A ./ B$ ), exponentiation ( $A.^B$ ), as well as the logarithmic function ( $\log(A)$ ). The statements `tic` and `toc` are used around them to measure the computation time. This procedure is iterated 100 times, and the average computation time for each operation is computed. The results are as follows:

- Average computation time for variable initiation is 1.54030 seconds
- Average computation time for addition is 0.12073 seconds
- Average computation time for multiplication is 0.12083 seconds
- Average computation time for division is 0.11823 seconds
- Average computation time for exponentiation is 3.70586 seconds
- Average computation time for log function is 0.91528 seconds

### Problem 3

In order to find our machine  $\varepsilon$ , we follow Ken Judd's definition<sup>†</sup> by writing a while loop to subtract (resp. add) progressively smaller numbers  $\epsilon$  from (resp. to) 1 until the condition  $1 + \epsilon > 1 > 1 - \epsilon$  is no longer satisfied. Repeat the exercise using 0.001 and 1000 instead of 1. We verify our results by comparing them with the epsilons delivered by the built-in Matlab function `eps(x)`, and the exponents with `log2(eps(x))`. The results are shown on Table 1.

$x$	$\varepsilon(x)$ - decimal	$\varepsilon(x)$ - exponential
0.001	2.16840434497101e-19	$2^{-62}$
1	2.22044604925031e-16	$2^{-52}$
1000	1.13686837721616e-13	$2^{-43}$

Table 1: Machine  $\varepsilon$  for diverse values of  $x$

Comment: As  $x$  increases, the machine  $\varepsilon(x)$  also does increase. This is to be expected, since  $\varepsilon(x) \approx x\varepsilon(1)$ . Thus,  $\varepsilon(1000) > \varepsilon(1) > \varepsilon(0.001)$ .

### Problem 4

We wrote a loop to evaluate the convergence of the following sequences:

$$(4a) \quad x_k = \sum_{n=1}^n \frac{1}{2^n}, \text{ where } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 1$$

$$(4b) \quad y_k = \sum_{n=1}^n \frac{1}{n}, \text{ where } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = \infty$$

We use absolute and relative convergence criteria, and tolerance distance  $\delta \in \{10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}\}$  as stopping rule. We limit the maximum number of iterations to 100,000. The number of iterations before convergence, as well the final guess are reported on Table 2 and 3 for  $x_k$  and  $y_k$ , respectively.

$\delta$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$
no. of iteration - absolute criteria	7	14	20
final guess - absolute criteria	0.992187500000000	0.999938964843750	0.999999046325684
no. of iteration - relative criteria	7	14	20
final guess - relative criteria	0.992187500000000	0.999938964843750	0.999999046325684

Table 2: Convergence for  $x_k$

$\delta$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$
no. of iteration - absolute criteria	100	10,000	100,000
final guess - absolute criteria	5.18737751763962	9.78760603604435	12.0901461298633
no. of iteration - relative criteria	100	10,000	100,000
final guess - relative criteria	5.18737751763962	9.78760603604435	12.0901461298633

Table 3: Convergence for  $y_k$

---

<sup>†</sup>Kenneth L. Judd, 1998. "Numerical Methods in Economics," MIT Press Books, The MIT Press, p.30

Comment: Table 2 shows that both absolute and relative convergence criteria lead to the same number of iterations for the sequence  $x_k$ . As tolerance distance  $\delta$  lowers, the final guess for  $x_k$  approaches its true limit 1. Table 3 also shows that both absolute and relative criteria lead to the same number of iterations for the sequence  $y_k$ . Since  $y_k$  is a divergent sequence, the final guess will be higher (with no upper bound), the more we iterate. By lowering tolerance distance  $\delta$ , the algorithm needs to iterate longer in order to satisfy the stopping rule, which in turn results in higher final guess. Since there is no upper bound for  $y_k$ , we can always make the tolerance distance  $\delta$  even lower, and obtain even higher final guess for  $y_k$ .