

1. Show that the converse of p4 is not true. That is, provide a counterexample of an asymmetric binary relation  $R$  with elements  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $\neg xRy$  does not imply  $yRx$ .

The binary relation  $R$  is asymmetric if  $xRy \Rightarrow yRx$  for all  $x, y$ .

*Counterexample.* Let  $R$  be the binary relation “is married to”. Suppose  $\neg xRy$ ; that is,  $x$  is not married to  $y$ . It is well known that this does not imply  $y$  is married to  $x$ . Thus,  $\neg xRy$  does not imply  $yRx$ .

2. Consider the set of all triples where each component is a real number; that is,  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  and define the weak preference  $x \preceq^* y$  if  $x_i \leq y_i$  for at least two out of the three components,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

- (a) Show  $\preceq^*$  is connected.

The binary relation  $R$  is connected if  $xRy$  or  $yRx$  for all  $x, y$ .

*Proof.* Let the binary relation  $\preceq^*$  be defined for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $x \preceq^* y$  if  $x_i \leq y_i$  for at least two out of the three components  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The binary relation  $\leq$  on the real numbers is connected. Thus,  $x_i \leq y_i$  or  $y_i \leq x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . We now proceed with two cases:

- i. Suppose  $x \preceq^* y$ . Clearly,  $x \preceq^* y$  or  $y \preceq^* x$  is true.
- ii. Suppose  $\neg(x \preceq^* y)$ . That is,  $x_i \leq y_i$  is not true for two out of the three components. Since  $\leq$  is connected, the components for which  $x_i \leq y_i$  is not true instead satisfy  $y_i \leq x_i$ . Thus, for two out of the three components  $y_i \leq x_i$ . Therefore,  $y \preceq^* x$ .

The binary relation  $\preceq^*$  is connected. □

- (b) Show  $\preceq^*$  is not transitive by providing a counterexample.

The binary relation  $R$  is transitive if  $(xRy \wedge yRz) \Rightarrow xRz$  for all  $x, y, z$ .

*Counterexample.* Let  $x = (1, 2, 3)$ ,  $y = (2, 2, 1)$ , and  $z = (2, 0, 2)$ . It is clear that  $x \preceq^* y$  and  $y \preceq^* z$ . Furthermore, it is clear that  $\neg x \preceq^* z$ . Thus,  $\preceq^*$  is not transitive.

(c) Define the strict preference  $x \prec^* y$  by  $x \preceq^* y$  but not  $y \preceq^* x$ .

- i. Explain why it is equivalent to say  $x \prec^* y$  if  $x_i < y_i$  for at least two out of the three components,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

If two of the three components satisfy  $x_i \leq y_i$  then those same two may satisfy  $y_i \leq x_i$  if the two components are equal. The strict preference  $\prec^*$  is stronger than  $\preceq^*$  in the same way that  $<$  is stronger than  $\leq$ . Thus, if we say  $x \prec^* y$  then  $x \preceq^* y$  is implied.

- ii. Prove or give a counterexample.  $\prec^*$  is asymmetric.

The binary relation  $R$  is asymmetric if  $xRy \Rightarrow \neg yRx$  for all  $x, y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\prec^*$  be the binary relation defined as  $x \preceq^* y \wedge \neg y \preceq^* x$ . We proceed with a proof by contradiction. Suppose  $x \prec^* y$ ; that is,  $x_i < y_i$  for at least two  $i$  where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Further suppose  $y \prec^* x$ ; that is,  $y_i < x_i$  for at least two  $i$ . Thus two elements of  $x_i < y_i$  and two elements of  $y_i < x_i$ . Since there are three elements in  $x$  and  $y$  each there must be at least one element pair,  $x_j$  and  $y_j$ , that satisfies  $x_j < y_j$  and  $y_j < x_j$ . This is, clearly, a contradiction. There are no real numbers  $x_j$  and  $y_j$  that satisfy this condition. Thus,  $\neg y \prec^* x$ . Therefore,  $x \prec^* y \Rightarrow \neg y \prec^* x$ . That is,  $\prec^*$  is asymmetric.  $\square$

- iii. Prove or give a counterexample.  $\prec^*$  is negatively transitive.

The binary relation  $R$  is negatively transitive if  $\neg xRy \wedge \neg yRz \Rightarrow \neg xRz$  for all  $x, y$ .

*Proof.*  $\neg x \prec^* y$  means  $x_i \geq y_i$  for at least two  $i$ . This is equivalently  $y \preceq^* x$ . Similarly,  $\neg y \prec^* z$  means  $y_i \geq z_i$  for at least two  $i$ . This is equivalently  $z \preceq^* y$ . Thus negative transitivity of the strict preference can be expressed as transitivity of the weak preference. As proven in 2b,  $\preceq^*$  is not transitive. Thus,  $\prec^*$  is not negatively transitive.  $\square$