

#### **CHAPTER 25**

# Big Data Technologies Based on MapReduce and Hadoop

#### Introduction

- Phenomenal growth in data generation
  - Social media
  - Sensors
  - Communications networks and satellite imagery
  - User-specific business data
- "Big data" refers to massive amounts of data
  - Exceeds the typical reach of a DBMS
- Big data analytics

#### 25.1 What is Big Data?

- Big data ranges from terabytes (10<sup>12</sup> bytes) or petabytes (10<sup>15</sup> bytes) to exobytes (10<sup>18</sup> bytes)
- Volume
  - Refers to size of data managed by the system
- Velocity
  - Speed of data creation, ingestion, and processing
- Variety
  - Refers to type of data source
  - Structured, unstructured

### What is Big Data? (cont'd.)

- Veracity
  - Credibility of the source
  - Suitability of data for the target audience
  - Evaluated through quality testing or credibility analysis

## 25.2 Introduction to MapReduce and Hadoop

- Core components of Hadoop
  - MapReduce programming paradigm
  - Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS)
- Hadoop originated from quest for open source search engine
  - Developed by Cutting and Carafella in 2004
  - Cutting joined Yahoo in 2006
  - Yahoo spun off Hadoop-centered company in 2011
  - Tremendous growth

## Introduction to MapReduce and Hadoop (cont'd.)

#### MapReduce

- Fault-tolerant implementation and runtime environment
- Developed by Dean and Ghemawat at Google in 2004
- Programming style: map and reduce tasks
  - Automatically parallelized and executed on large clusters of commodity hardware
- Allows programmers to analyze very large datasets
- Underlying data model assumed: key-value pair

### The MapReduce Programming Model

#### Map

- Generic function that takes a key of type K1 and value of type V1
- Returns a list of key-value pairs of type K2 and V2

#### Reduce

- Generic function that takes a key of type K2 and a list of values V2 and returns pairs of type (K3, V3)
- Outputs from the map function must match the input type of the reduce function




Figure 25.1 Overview of MapReduce execution (Adapted from T. White, 2012)

- MapReduce example
  - Make a list of frequencies of words in a document
  - Pseudocode

```
Map (String key, String value):
   for each word w in value Emitintermediate (w, "1");

Reduce (String key, Iterator values): // here the key is a word and values are lists of its counts //
   Int result =0;
   For each v in values:
      result += Parseint (v);
   Emit (key, Asstring (result));
```

- MapReduce example (cont'd.)
  - Actual MapReduce code

```
map[LongWritable,Text](key, value) : List[Text, LongWritable] = {
    String[] words = split(value)
    for(word : words) {
        context.out(Text(word), LongWritable(1))
    }
}
reduce[Text, Iterable[LongWritable]](key, values) : List[Text, LongWritable] = {
    LongWritable c = 0
    for( v : values) {
        c += v
    }
    context.out(key,c)
}
```

- Distributed grep
  - Looks for a given pattern in a file
  - Map function emits a line if it matches a supplied pattern
  - Reduce function is an identity function
- Reverse Web-link graph
  - Outputs (target URL, source URL) pairs for each link to a target page found in a source page

- Inverted index
  - Builds an inverted index based on all words present in a document repository
  - Map function parses each document
    - Emits a sequence of (word, document\_id) pairs
  - Reduce function takes all pairs for a given word and sorts them by document\_id
- Job
  - Code for Map and Reduce phases, a set of artifacts, and properties

- Hadoop releases
  - 1.x features
    - Continuation of the original code base
    - Additions include security, additional HDFS and MapReduce improvements
  - 2.x features
    - YARN (Yet Another Resource Navigator)
    - A new MR runtime that runs on top of YARN
    - Improved HDFS that supports federation and increased availability

# 25.3 Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS)

#### HDFS

- File system component of Hadoop
- Designed to run on a cluster of commodity hardware
- Patterned after UNIX file system
- Provides high-throughput access to large datasets
- Stores metadata on NameNode server
- Stores application data on DataNode servers
  - File content replicated on multiple DataNodes

- HDFS design assumptions and goals
  - Hardware failure is the norm
  - Batch processing
  - Large datasets
  - Simple coherency model
- HDFS architecture
  - Master-slave
  - Decouples metadata from data operations
  - Replication provides reliability and high availability
  - Network traffic minimized

- NameNode
  - Maintains image of the file system
    - i-nodes and corresponding block locations
  - Changes maintained in write-ahead commit log called Journal
- Secondary NameNodes
  - Checkpointing role or backup role
- DataNodes
  - Stores blocks in node's native file system
  - Periodically reports state to the NameNode

- File I/O operations
  - Single-writer, multiple-reader model
  - Files cannot be updated, only appended
  - Write pipeline set up to minimize network utilization
- Block placement
  - Nodes of Hadoop cluster typically spread across many racks
    - Nodes on a rack share a switch

- Replica management
  - NameNode tracks number of replicas and block location
    - Based on block reports
  - Replication priority queue contains blocks that need to be replicated
- HDFS scalability
  - Yahoo cluster achieved 14 petabytes, 4000 nodes, 15k clients, and 600 million files

#### The Hadoop Ecosystem

- Related projects with additional functionality
  - Pig and hive
    - Provides higher-level interface for working with Hadoop framework
  - Oozie
    - Service for scheduling and running workflows of jobs
  - Sqoop
    - Library and runtime environment for efficiently moving data between relational databases and HDFS

#### The Hadoop Ecosystem (cont'd.)

- Related projects with additional functionality (cont'd.)
  - HBase
    - Column-oriented key-value store that uses HDFS

#### 25.4 MapReduce: Additional Details

- MapReduce runtime environment
  - JobTracker
    - Master process
    - Responsible for managing the life cycle of Jobs and scheduling Tasks on the cluster
  - TaskTracker
    - Slave process
    - Runs on all Worker nodes of the cluster

- Overall flow of a MapReduce job
  - Job submission
  - Job initialization
  - Task assignment
  - Task execution
  - Job completion

- Fault tolerance in MapReduce
  - Task failure
    - Runtime exception
    - Java virtual machine crash
    - No timely updates from the task process
  - TaskTracker failure
    - Crash or disconnection from JobTracker
    - Failed Tasks are rescheduled
  - JobTracker failure
    - Not a recoverable failure in Hadoop v1

- The shuffle procedure
  - Reducers get all the rows for a given key together
  - Map phase
    - Background thread partitions buffered rows based on the number of Reducers in the job and the Partitioner
    - Rows sorted on key values
    - Comparator or Combiner may be used
  - Copy phase
  - Reduce phase

- Job scheduling
  - JobTracker schedules work on cluster nodes
  - Fair Scheduler
    - Provides fast response time to small jobs in a Hadoop shared cluster
  - Capacity Scheduler
    - Geared to meet needs of large enterprise customers

- Strategies for equi-joins in MapReduce environment
  - Sort-merge join
  - Map-side hash join
  - Partition join
  - Bucket joins
  - N-way map-side joins
  - Simple N-way joins

#### Apache Pig

- Bridges the gap between declarative-style interfaces such as SQL, and rigid style required by MapReduce
- Designed to solve problems such as ad hoc analyses of Web logs and clickstreams
- Accommodates user-defined functions

#### Apache Hive

- Provides a higher-level interface to Hadoop using SQL-like queries
- Supports processing of aggregate analytical queries typical of data warehouses
- Developed at Facebook

## Hive System Architecture and Components




Figure 25.2 Hive system architecture and components

## Advantages of the Hadoop/MapReduce Technology

- Disk seek rate a limiting factor when dealing with very large data sets
  - Limited by disk mechanical structure
- Transfer speed is an electronic feature and increasing steadily
- MapReduce processes large datasets in parallel
- MapReduce handles semistructured data and key-value datasets more easily
- Linear scalability

#### 25.5 Hadoop v2 (Alias YARN)

- Reasons for developing Hadoop v2
  - JobTracker became a bottleneck
  - Cluster utilization less than desirable
  - Different types of applications did not fit into the MR model
  - Difficult to keep up with new open source versions of Hadoop

#### YARN Architecture

- Separates cluster resource management from Jobs management
- ResourceManager and NodeManager together form a platform for hosting any application on YARN
- ApplicationMasters send ResourceRequests to the ResourceManager which then responds with cluster Container leases
- NodeManager responsible for managing
   Containers on their nodes

#### **Hadoop Version Schematics**




Figure 25.3 The Hadoop v1 vs. Hadoop v2 schematic

#### Other Frameworks on YARN

- Apache Tez
  - Extensible framework being developed at Hortonworks for building high-performance applications in YARN
- Apache Giraph
  - Open-source implementation of Google's Pregel system, a large-scale graph processing system used to calculate Page-Rank
- Hoya: HBase on YARN
  - More flexibility and improved cluster utilization

#### 25.6 General Discussion

- Hadoop/MapReduce versus parallel RDBMS
  - 2009: performance of two approaches measured
    - Parallel database took longer to tune compared to MR
    - Performance of parallel database 3-6 times faster than MR
    - MR improvements since 2009
  - Hadoop has upfront cost advantage
    - Open source platform

- MR able to handle semistructured datasets
- Support for unstructured data on the rise in RDBMSs
- Higher level language support
  - SQL for RDBMSs
  - Hive has incorporated SQL features in HiveQL
- Fault-tolerance: advantage of MR-based systems

- Big data somewhat dependent on cloud technology
- Cloud model offers flexibility
  - Scaling out and scaling up
  - Distributed software and interchangeable resources
  - Unpredictable computing needs not uncommon in big data projects
  - High availability and durability

- Data locality issues
  - Network load a concern
  - Self-configurable, locality-based data and virtual machine management framework proposed
    - Enables access of data locally
  - Caching techniques also improve performance
- Resource optimization
  - Challenge: optimize globally across all jobs in the cloud rather than per-job resource optimizations

- YARN as a data service platform
  - Emerging trend: Hadoop as a data lake
    - Contains significant portion of enterprise data
    - Processing happens
  - Support for SQL in Hadoop is improving
- Apache Storm
  - Distributed scalable streaming engine
  - Allows users to process real-time data feeds
- Storm on YARN and SAS on YARN

- Challenges faced by big data technologies
  - Heterogeneity of information
  - Privacy and confidentiality
  - Need for visualization and better human interfaces
  - Inconsistent and incomplete information

- Building data solutions on Hadoop
  - May involve assembling ETL (extract, transform, load) processing, machine learning, graph processing, and/or report creation
  - Programming models and metadata not unified
    - Analytics application developers must try to integrate services into coherent solution
- Cluster a vast resource of main memory and flash storage
  - In-memory data engines
  - Spark platform from Databricks

#### 25.7 Summary

- Big data technologies at the center of data analytics and machine learning applications
- MapReduce
- Hadoop Distributed File System
- Hadoop v2 or YARN
  - Generic data services platform
- MapReduce/Hadoop versus parallel DBMSs