

Finding the Best Rand Hedge

Cameron Bing^a, Leeuwner Esterhuysen^{a,b}

^a*Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa*

^b*Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa*

Abstract

This paper employs a multiple regression and DCC approach to identify optimal hedges against volatility in the Rand exchange rate. The approach allows for both fixed and time-varying methods, and identifies the top 10 stocks and ETFs readily available to investors on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange.

Keywords: DCC, Multiple Regression Analysis, Rand Hedge

JEL classification L250, L100

1. Introduction

*Corresponding author: Cameron Bing

Email addresses: 17140552@sun.ac.za (Cameron Bing), leeuwner.93@gmail.com (Leeuwner Esterhuysen)

2. Literature Review

The concurrent volatile nature of the South African Rand has brought about a widespread search for the best strategy aimed at protecting capital against exchange rate volatility. This paper makes use of Baur and Lucey (2010) 's definitions of so-called 'safe havens' and 'hedgers'. They define a safe haven as an asset that is negatively related to another asset or groups of assets during periods of high market volatility. Furthermore, they define a hedge as an asset that that is negatively related to another asset or groups of assets, on average. In terms of the South African equity market, there are various equities that may potentially provide protection against rand weakness, and hence act as a rand hedge. This is due to the fact that a significant share of companies listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) has significant offshore exposure, either through selling products and services that are denominated in foreign currencies, or through significant offshore operations. As a result, such companies will experience an increase in rand-denominated revenue during periods where the rand depreciates. In theory, these increases in revenue should increase the value of these companies and consequently lead to higher share price valuations. This phenomenon subsequently results in a positive statistical relationship between the depreciation of the rand and the appreciation of the relevant share price, indicating the rand hedge potential of such a share. Another potential rand hedge strategy involves the purchasing of commodities. Since commodities are priced in dollars, their value increases as the rand weakens, hence serving as a hedge against the depreciation of the rand. Prior research on this topic in South Africa is relatively limited. Barr, Holdsworth, and Kantor (2007) made use of a regression model in order to investigate the relationships between the top 40 shares listed on the JSE and the rand-dollar exchange rate. The findings of their study imply that certain local equities can be compiled into a given domestic portfolio that could serve as an effective and consistent hedge against rand weakness. The same authors applied a GARCH regression approach in 2007 to study the relation between the same two variables: the top 40 shares listed on the JSE and the rand-dollar exchange rate. In this study, their findings indicate significant variations in the correlations in the correlations between the rand-dollar exchange rate and various shares. Some shares, however, are identified as effective hedges against rand depreciation (see Barr, Holdsworth, and Kantor (2007)). There exists a vast international literature on the practical application of studying co-movements between various financial returns series in an attempt to hedge an investment portfolio against currency fluctuation. Fang and Miller (2002) employed a bivariate GARCH-M model in order to study the co-movements between stock market returns and currency depreciation. Their findings suggest that some degree of temporal dependence between the conditional variance of currency depreciation and stock market returns. Mukherjee and Naka (1995) and Kearney (1998) find corroborating results, with their respective findings suggesting a cointegrating relationship between stock market returns and the exchange rate. The ability to understand and predict the temporal dependence in the second-order moments and to control for the second-order temporal persistence of asset returns, has various financial econometric applications (Bauwens, Laurent, and Rombouts 2006). Kennedy and Nourzad (2016) state that increased exchange rate volatility leads to a statistically significant, positive impact

on the volatility of stock market returns when the main sources of financial volatility are controlled for. The findings of Baur and Lucey (2010), who analysed the time-varying correlations between gold and a collection of other assets in Germany, the UK and US, suggest that gold serves as a safe haven for equities in all of these countries. Ciner, Gurdgiev, and Lucey (2013) employed a DCC model with GARCH specification in order to determine the hedging ability of multiple assets against the British pound and US dollar. Their findings suggest that gold serves as a potential hedge against exchange rate volatility for both of these two currencies.

3. Data

The data set used in this study includes the daily closing prices of an array of equities and ETFs which are traded and easily accessible to investors on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. The top 80 stocks, as measured by total market cap, which traded on at least 90% of days between 01/01/2005 and 31/10/2017 were included in the analysis. Furthermore, an additional 43 ETFs were included. This was then trimmed to include those ETFs which traded on at least 90% of the aforementioned period. A full list of the assets covered in this analysis can be found in section 7 in table 7.1. In addition to these variables, the ZAR/USD exchange rate is included to be used as our variable of interest.

The continuously compounded daily sector returns are then calculated by taking the log difference of each index series, as:

$$r_{i,t} = \ln\left(\frac{p_{i,t}}{p_{i,t-1}}\right) * 100 \quad (3.1)$$

where p_t represents the closing index price of asset i at time t . Taking the first difference of these return series is then imposed to remove the unit root process evident in the data. This data is then used in the analysis which follows.

4. Methodology

This study employs two methodologies to investigate which JSE-listed financial instruments provide the best hedge against volatility in the Rand exchange rate. The first method utilised is a regression model (4.1), following which a Dynamic Conditional Correlation (DCC) model ?? is used to investigate time-varying correlations between various JSE-listed instruments and the Rand/US Dollar exchange rate.

4.1. Regression Model

A multiple regression approach was employed to investigate the static correlations between the Rand exchange rate and the various assets and financial instruments covered in our data set. The initial regression model, as specified as in equation 4.1, was run to investigate the relationship between the assets covered in the data set and the Rand/US Dollar exchange rate:

$$Return_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 R_t + \epsilon_t \quad (4.1)$$

where $Return_t$ refers to the first difference of the log returns of the assets and R_t to the dlog Rand/US Dollar exchange rate returns at time t . This specification includes covers all dates within the data set.

Following these results, the data set was stratified in order to isolate the analysis to times of high volatility, both positive and negative, in the Rand exchange rate. This model was specified as follows:

$$Return_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 R.pos.vol_t + \beta_2 R.neg.vol_t + \epsilon_t \quad (4.2)$$

where $R.pos.vol_t$ and $R.neg.vol_t$ refer to dates where the Rand exchange rate experienced periods of high positive and negative volatility, respectively. The distinction between times of high and relatively low volatility is important as this study's findings will be most relevant to investors in times of high instability in the Rand. Furthermore, it allows us to minimize noise in the study which may drive nonsensical results.

4.2. DCC Model

This study utilises a DCC Multivariate Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (MV-GARCH) approach to isolate the time-varying conditional correlations between an array of JSE-listed stocks and ETFs. This technique offers a parsimonious approach to MV volatility modelling by relaxing the constraint of a fixed correlation structure which imposed in other modelling techniques. The results of which allow us to study whether fluctuations in the Rand exchange rate influence the aforementioned financial instruments. This information can then be reinterpreted as an indication of the best hedging options available to investors in the South African market. In contrast to the regression model, as described in section 4.1, this method allows us to assess the dynamic hedging potential of the assets covered in our data set.

The initial step in the DCC modelling process is to obtain univariate volatility for each series using a GARCH (1,1) process, specified as follows in 4.3:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \mu + \epsilon_t \\ \text{varepsilon}_{\epsilon_t} &= \sigma_t \cdot z_t \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \alpha + \beta_1 \epsilon_t^2 - 1 + \beta_1 \sigma_t^2 - 1 \\ z_t &\sim N(0, 1) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The dynamic conditional correlations are then estimated using a log-likelihood approach using the standardised residuals extracted from the GARCH (1,1) process.

The DCC model can be defined as:

$$H_t = D_t \cdot R_t \cdot D_t \tag{4.4}$$

where H_t is the conditional covariance matrix of the stochastic process, D_t is a diagonal matrix and R_t refers to the time-varying correlations between assets. Equation 4.4 separates the variance covariance matrix into identical diagonal matrices and an estimate of the time-varying correlation between assets.

Estimating R_t requires it to be inverted in each time period, for which we use a proxy equation as in Engle (2002):

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{ij,t} &= \bar{Q} + a(z_{t-1}z'_{t-1} - \bar{Q}) + b(Q_{ij,t-1} - \bar{Q}) \\
&= (1 - a - b)\hat{Q} + az_{t-1}z'_{t-1} + b.Q_{ij,t-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Equation 4.5's form is similar to that of a GARCH(1,1) process, with non-negative scalars a and b , $Q_{ij,t}$ the unconditional sample variance estimate between series i and j , and the unconditional matrix of standardized residuals from each univariate pair estimate \bar{Q} . We then use equation 4.5 to estimate R_t as:

$$R_t = \text{diag}(Q_t)^{-1/2} Q_t \cdot \text{diag}(Q_t)^{-1/2} \tag{4.6}$$

with the following bivariate elements,

$$R_t = \rho_{ij,t} = \frac{q_{i,j,t}}{\sqrt{q_{ii,t} \cdot q_{jj,t}}} \tag{4.7}$$

The resulting DCC model is then formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_t &\sim N(0, D_t \cdot R_t \cdot D_t) \\
D_t^2 &\sim \text{Univariate GARCH}(1,1) \text{ process } \quad \forall(i,j), \quad i \neq j \\
z_t &= D_t^{-1} \cdot \epsilon_t \\
Q_t &= \bar{Q}(1 - a - b) + a(z'_t z_t) + b(Q_{t-1}) \\
R_t &= \text{Diag}(Q_t^{-1}) \cdot Q_t \cdot \text{Din } g(Q_t^{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Fitting this technique to our data implies a two-step approach. First, a univariate GARCH model is applied to the residuals of each of our VAR series' residuals $\alpha_t = z_t - \mu_t$. The volatility series h_t is then estimated in step 2. These volatility series are then standardized (see equation 4.9 below) and used in fitting a DCC model for η_t .

$$\eta_{i,t} = \frac{\alpha_{i,t}}{\sigma_{i,t}} \tag{4.9}$$

5. Results

6. Conclusion

7. Appendix

Stocks and ETFs Covered in Analysis

GLD SJ Equity
STX40 SJ Equity
STXFIN SJ Equity
STXIND SJ Equity
SYGEU SJ Equity
SYGUK SJ Equity
NHM SJ Equity
MRP SJ Equity
CLS SJ Equity
GND SJ Equity
SPP SJ Equity
LEW SJ Equity
MUR SJ Equity
ARI SJ Equity
TON SJ Equity
SUI SJ Equity
APN SJ Equity
MMI SJ Equity
SHP SJ Equity
RLO SJ Equity
AVI SJ Equity
TRU SJ Equity
NTC SJ Equity
MSM SJ Equity
TFG SJ Equity
NPK SJ Equity
DSY SJ Equity
WHL SJ Equity
EXX SJ Equity
SNH SJ Equity
PPC SJ Equity

Stocks and ETFs Covered in Analysis

TBS SJ Equity
SAP SJ Equity
HAR SJ Equity
INP SJ Equity
IPL SJ Equity
BAW SJ Equity
NPN SJ Equity
BVT SJ Equity
RMH SJ Equity
ACL SJ Equity
NED SJ Equity
IMP SJ Equity
ITU SJ Equity
GFI SJ Equity
SLM SJ Equity
AMS SJ Equity
REM SJ Equity
BGA SJ Equity
ANG SJ Equity
TKG SJ Equity
OML SJ Equity
MTN SJ Equity
FSR SJ Equity
SOL SJ Equity
SBK SJ Equity
CFR SJ Equity
AGL SJ Equity
BIL SJ Equity

References

Barr, GDI, CG Holdsworth, and BS Kantor. 2007. "Portfolio Strategies for Hedging Against Rand Weakness." *South African Journal of Accounting Research* 21 (1). Taylor & Francis: 81–101.

Baur, Dirk G, and Brian M Lucey. 2010. "Is Gold a Hedge or a Safe Haven? An Analysis of Stocks,

Bonds and Gold.” *Financial Review* 45 (2). Wiley Online Library: 217–29.

Bauwens, Luc, Sébastien Laurent, and Jeroen VK Rombouts. 2006. “Multivariate Garch Models: A Survey.” *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 21 (1). Wiley Online Library: 79–109.

Ciner, Cetin, Constantin Gurdgiev, and Brian M Lucey. 2013. “Hedges and Safe Havens: An Examination of Stocks, Bonds, Gold, Oil and Exchange Rates.” *International Review of Financial Analysis* 29. Elsevier: 202–11.

Engle, Robert. 2002. “Dynamic Conditional Correlation: A Simple Class of Multivariate Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity Models.” *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 20 (3). Taylor & Francis: 339–50.

Fang, WenShwo, and Stephen M Miller. 2002. “Dynamic Effects of Currency Depreciation on Stock Market Returns During the Asian Financial Crisis.”

Kearney, Colm. 1998. “The Causes of Volatility in a Small, Internationally Integrated Stock Market: Ireland, July 1975–June 1994.” *Journal of Financial Research* 21 (1). Wiley Online Library: 85–104.

Kennedy, K, and F Nourzad. 2016. “Exchange Rate Volatility and Its Effect on Stock Market Volatility.” *International Journal of Human Capital in Urban Management* 1 (1). Human Resource Development Deputy: 37–46.

Mukherjee, Tarun K, and Atsuyuki Naka. 1995. “Dynamic Relations Between Macroeconomic Variables and the Japanese Stock Market: An Application of a Vector Error Correction Model.” *Journal of Financial Research* 18 (2). Wiley Online Library: 223–37.