

Tree, Bagging, Random Forests and Boosting

• Classification trees ← Leo Breiman

• Boosted Random Forests ←

Non-parametric

Run a lot of trees
and find one that
is not in the forest
but better than all existing
trees in the forests

Only used for
predictions

Not CI / Testing /
significant variables.

Arm Bended Problem

Two-class Classifications

If tree is small \Rightarrow good prediction properties.

$\vdots \Rightarrow$ how to determine when $\} \Rightarrow$ in R package
to stop

huge \Rightarrow overfit

SPAM \Rightarrow R builtin

Sensitivity \Rightarrow proportion of true spam identified \uparrow Type I \uparrow
Specificity \Rightarrow proportion of true email identified \uparrow Power

Want both to be high
overfitting \Rightarrow won't get high specificity & sensitivity

Freund \rightarrow prof here

Decision Boundary: Tree

Model Averaging \Rightarrow Boosting > Random Forests > Bagging > Single Tree

Bagging

Bootstrap aggregation

\Rightarrow bootstrap a thousand times
 \Rightarrow get a thousand trees
 \Rightarrow average the trees

Smother decision boundaries

Random Forests:

- ⇒ Randomly choose m features (refinement)
- then bagging ⇒ average the trees
- ⇒ high dimensional

Boosting

- ⇒ Bootstrap, take features
 - ⇒ which data was predicted well ⇒ not selected ⇒ smaller weight
 - ⇒ higher weight on misfit ⇒ weighted bootstrap ⇒ run trees.
 - ⇒ which didn't predict well ⇒ reweight
- run many forests
- ⇒ converged forests
- └ Loss function

pick the data you misclassified

⇒ won't be overfitting