HANGMAN

CAMILLA HEIDING

Linnéuniversitetet

2019-03-08

Contents

1.	Revision History	. 3
2.	General Information	. 4
	Project summary	. 4
3.	Vision	. 5
	3.1 Reflection	. 5
4.	Project Plan	. 6
	4.1 Introduction	. 6
	4.2 Justification	. 6
	4.3 Stakeholders	. 6
	4.4 Resources	. 6
	4.5 Hard- and Software Requirements	. 7
	4.6 Overall Project Schedule	. 7
	4.7 Scope, Constraints and Assumptions	. 7
	4.7.1 Scope	. 7
	4.7.2 Constraints	. 8
	4.7.3 Assumptions	. 8
	4.8 Reflection	. 8
5.	Iterations	. 9
	5.1 Iteration 1	. 9
	5.2 Iteration 2	10
	5.3 Iteration 3	11
	5.4 Iteration 4	11
6.	Risk analysis	12
	6.1 List of risks	12
	6.2 Strategies	12
	6.3 Reflection	13
7.	Time log	14

1. Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
7/2-2019	1	Vision, project plan and risk analysis added.	Camilla Heiding
		Iteration 1 completed.	_
22/2-2019 2		Iteration 2 and time log was updated.	Camilla Heiding
8/3-2019 3		Iteration 3 and time log was updated.	Camilla Heiding

2. General Information

Project summary				
Project Name	Project ID			
Hangman	1DV600_ch223cd			
Project Manager	Main Clients			
Camilla Heiding	Players of hangman			
Key Stakeholders				

Key Stakeholders

- Customer
- Project manager
- Development team
- End users

Executive Summary

A hangman game to be played in the console, programmed using java.

3. Vision

In this project a game of hangman is going to be developed. The game should be able to be play in the console window and will therefore be a text-based version where the hanged man will be constructed by available characters on the keyboard. As in all hangman games a word will be picked from a predefined list of nouns without the player knowing and the number of letters in the word will be presented represented as underscores. As the player guess letters in the word a hanged man will be drawn, one part at the time, each time the player guesses a letter that is not included in the word. If the player on the other hand guess a letter that is included in the word, underscores representing this letter will be exchanged by the letter. If the player manages to guess the complete word before the complete hanged man is drawn the player win. On the contrary if the complete hanged man has been drawn (after guessing wrong nine times) the player loses.

Except from the pure basics of the game there could be some additions. When the game is opened a menu should be shown where the player can choose from different alternatives. To play a new game where he starts guessing on a new word and then returns to the menu regardless of whether he won or not. To return to a previous game and continue playing this game. To play a multiplayer version of the game where one player enters a word and the other player guess on that word and the game then display which player has won.

The game should be developed using a plan-driven project plan and the documentation of the project is very significant.

3.1 Reflection

It was a bit tricky to determine how much details should be included in the vision. I know that there should not be anything about the code in the vision, but it was hard to know how many features should be presented and so on. Also, the vision tended to feel a bit short since the hangman game is not that big of a project. The text in the vision feels kind of similar to the one under the headline "scope" in the project plan.

4. Project Plan

4.1 Introduction

This project is about creating a fairly simple hangman game that can be played in the console. The project will be plan-driven beginning with planning, then modeling and finally testing. Features could be added at a later stage, but it is important that the different deadlines are kept.

4.2 Justification

The reason for the project is mainly an educational purpose. To learn more about project managing, learn how to construct a plan that should be followed and divide a bigger task into smaller tasks that can be dealt with step by step. To get used to planning and documentation instead of just diving directly in to coding. It will also be an opportunity to understand how modelling and testing works. During development software and new techniques will be used which also will deepen knowledge in the field.

4.3 Stakeholders

The most important stakeholder in the project is the customer or in this case teacher who designed the assignments. The project manager however gets to decide how ambiguous the game should be depending on what grade is being aimed for. The knowledge and experience of the project team members also determines the limits of the project.

Customer/teacher – In this case the so-called customer has predefined the main task, namely to create a game of hangman and have stated certain deadlines for subtasks and then given the project manager the responsibility to decide on design and implementation.

Project manager – The manager will have overview of the project and see that dead-lines are kept, assign different task to staff and see that there is always staff available. The manager will define the requirements for the project after communicating with other stakeholders. The project manager is able to see if a certain requirement is causing delay and come up with a solution.

Project team – The team are the people that constructs the actual program. Communicate problems and solutions through the project manager. The knowledge and experience of the team will affect the final product.

End user – The end user will be able to come with some input about which features would be fun or useful in the game. Towards the end of the development the end user could tell about the experience of playing the game and minor or major sources of irritation that should be handled.

4.4 Resources

Resources to the project is a project manager, programmer, tester, designer. None of these roles can work at the same time and they are available about 20 hours per week.

There are teachers from the university that the team can ask for help and also literature about both the planning processes as well as the programming. The literature that will mainly be used is *Software Engineering 10th ed. by Ian Sommerville*.

Considering time there is about two weeks for making a project plan, two weeks to implement the game and two weeks to test the program. Then there is about another two weeks to finish the final details of the program, so after eight weeks in total the game should be finished.

4.5 Hard- and Software Requirements

Required hardware is a working computer that can handle the software. Software that is going to be used for coding is java. Java is going to be coded in the development environment eclipse. Also, the documents of the project should be stored in a repository in a GitHubaccount shared by different stakeholders. The finished program should be played in the console of the computer.

4.6 Overall Project Schedule

Project plan -8/2-2019

An overall plan of the project containing a vision, project plan and risk analysis.

Modelling - 21/2-2019

A somewhat playable version of the game. Most features should be implemented at this stage.

Testing -8/3-2019

The game should be carefully tested, and bugs should be fixed.

Complete project – ?/3-2019

The deadline of the entire project. At this point a finished game of hangman should be able to be delivered to the customer.

4.7 Scope, Constraints and Assumptions

4.7.1 Scope

This program shall result in a working hangman game. It must be able to randomize a word from a list of nouns and represent it as underscores. It must also be able to present a stickman for each wrong guess and tell the player that the game is over when the entire man is drawn or tell the player that he has won when all letters in the game is correctly guessed.

If time permits the game should also be able to present a menu with different versions of the game such as a long and a short version. Multiplayer version could also be included where one player enter a word and another player guess the letters of that word. A high-score list could be included with the best results. Players could be able to register a username before playing so you could see whose high-score is who's. The player should always be able to return to the menu during games.

4.7.2 Constraints

Constraints of the project would mainly be the time. There are four deadlines that can not be changed and if features take to much time to implement then they should be excluded from the game.

The experience and knowledge of the development team is also a constraint. Programmer only knows of the java language and do not have a lot of experience. Therefore, only a certain number of features will be possible to implement during this project. The programmer will have to learn along the development process which will consume time.

4.7.3 Assumptions

The game will assume that the player understands the basics of hangman or at least is able to figure them out during the game. There will not be a descriptive text with rules before them game start. Although there should be a line saying "Enter a letter" or similar, to make it clear what the user is supposed to do in that specific step.

If different versions of the game are implemented, then there should be a short description of the differences between the versions.

4.8 Reflection

The project plan contains a lot of details about the project like deadlines and which resources are available and so on. It also tells about features that must be included and features that could be included if time permits. Although it does not feel like something you can follow to get a finished product. Requirements is going to be established in iteration 2 but it feels like they should be included to be allowed to call it a project plan. The iterations feel more like a project plan, but they are under a separate headline?

5. Iterations

5.1 Iteration 1

Deadline: 8/2-2019

To be well prepared when writing the vision, project plan and risk analysis literature on the subject should be read plus lectures offered by the teacher should be read. For iteration one chapter 2, 3, 22 and 23 in *Software Engineering 10th ed. by Ian Sommerville* should be read which is a little over 100 pages which should take about 4 hours. Apart from that three given lectures on the subject should be attended which in total is about 6 hours.

Vision: Project manager in association with other stakeholders should write a vision for the project. This should take about 30 minutes.

Project plan: The project manager should then make a project plan. In this stage there should not be details about coding or modeling but more of a higher level of planning. For some parts of the project plan the manager must communicate with other resources and stakeholders.

- Write a justification why the game should be made After writing the vision the manager should have a view of why the game should be developed. This should take about 15 minutes.
- List stakeholders Make a list of stakeholders in the project and specify which part of the project the different stakeholders should influence most. Also try to grade the different stakeholders influence. This should take about 30 minutes.
- List resources and hard- and software requirements Which resources are available; how much time is there for the project? Which hard- and software requirements are there to develop the game? For this the manager will need help from the development team. This should take about 30 minutes.
- List deadlines These are already decided by the customer, list them and specify shortly what is included in each deadline. This should take about 15 minutes.
- Scope, Constraints and Assumptions List what must be included in the project and what should be included if possible. Also specify constraints that may affect the development of the project. To do this the manager will need help from the development team as well as the customer. This should take about 30 minutes.

Risk analysis: The manager then must make a risk analysis for the project.

- What are the risks?
- How to prevent risks?
- How to minimize the impact of risks?
- How to deal with risks?

To determine these different things the manager, again, will need help from the development team. This should take about one to two hours.

Prepare GitHub account – An account must be created, and different stakeholders must be invited to the repository. Since implementation of the program have not yet started the repository will still be fairly empty but some skeleton code should be uploaded along whit the project plan. This should take about an hour.

After completing all steps in iteration one, about two hours should be used to proofread the vision, project plan and risk analysis to make sure that everything is correct.

5.2 Iteration 2

Deadline: 22/2-2019

Read chapters: 4, 5, 20, 6, 7 and 15 in *Software Engineering 10th ed. by Ian Sommerville*. This is 200 pages and should take in total 7-8 hours. This should not be read in a streak but distributed through iteration 2. Lectures on this subject should also be attended. There are 7 lectures which is estimated 2hours each which result in 14 hours.

Basic:

- Write a fully dressed use case for a *basic* version of requirement UC 2 Play Game. This should take 4 hours.
- Create a state machine for playing the game. This should take 2 hours.
- Implement the models. This should take 3 hours.
- Create a class diagram. This should take an hour.

Additional:

- Create extended use case diagram, this should take 30min.
- Write fully dressed use case for single player game, this should take an hour.
- Write fully dressed use case for multiplayer version. This should take an hour.
- Create state machine for single player version.
- Create state machine for multiplayer version. This should take an hour.
- Implement as much as possible of the extended models. This should take 4 hours.
- Create a class diagram. This should take an hour.

5.3 Iteration 3

Deadline: 8/3-2019

Read chapter 8 in *Software Engineering 10th ed. by Ian Sommerville*. This should take about an hour. Lectures on this subject should also be attended. There are five lectures which is estimated 2 hours each which result in 10 hours.

- Write detailed planning for this iteration which should take about 30min.
- Design manual testcases for the use cases which was modeled in iteration two. This should take about 5 hours.
- Create automated unit-tests which also should take about 5 hours.
- Perform the manual testcases that was created and write down any problems. This should take about 30minutes.
- Inspect the code that is tested and look for improvements. This should take an hour.
- Write a test report after performing the tests that was designed in this iteration including any problems that came up. This should take about 30 minutes.

5.4 Iteration 4

Reiterate the steps in iteration 1-3. Make sure the game is completely finished.

6. Risk analysis

6.1 List of risks

In every project there is a number of risks, here is a list of the most relevant risks in this project.

Numbering	Risk	Probability	Effects
1	The time required to develop the software is	High	Serious
	underestimated		
2	Staff is not available because of other task	High	Serious
	assignments		
3	Hardware crashes	Moderate	Catastrophic
4	The size of the software is underestimated	Moderate	Serious
5	Key staff are ill at critical times in the project	Moderate	Serious
6	Required training for staff is not available	Low	Tolerable

Chart of possible risks and the probability of them happening and seriousness of the effects

- This risk is relevant since it is one of the first software development the project manager is managing, and it is hard to estimate how long each part of the project will take. There is very strict dead-lines so extra time can not be added during the development.
- 2. Since almost every role in the project team is assigned to the same person there will be a serious effect if this person is not available.
- 3. The computer being used is a few years old and the risk of malfunction is not irrelevant. If the computer where the whole project is being stored and developed this would be very bad. It could also take some time to get a new computer to work on if the old one does not work which could be very bad, especially right before a dead-line.
- 4. The staff is not very experienced and therefore it is hard to estimate how complicated the game will be to develop. There is features that the developers do not yet know how to implement, and time will be spent studying and finding a solution for this.
- 5. Similar to risk number 2.
- 6. As mentioned in risk number 4 there is features that the developer do not know how to implement yet. Information should be available for this but could be time consuming.

6.2 Strategies

The most optimate solution would be to avoid the risks all together, here are a list of strategies to minimize the chance of the risks happening and also reduce the impact it would occur.

Risk	Strategy
The time required to develop the software is underestimated	 Including extra time in schedule when making planning. Not saving tasks for the last minute.

Risk	Strategy
Staff is not available	 Making schedule for when this project must be prioritized.
because of other task	 Doing as much as possible when there is time available.
assignments	 Not save critical tasks for last minute.
Hardware crashes	 Store files in different places such as GitHub, computer and possible even on a flash drive.
	 Maintain the computer and use antivirus program.
The size of the software is	 Make sure that essential parts of the program are prioritized and implemented first.
underestimated	 Update the priority during implementation when realizing something take more time than estimated.
Key staff are ill at	Try to make it possible to work from home.
critical times in the	 Doing as much as possible when staff is available.
project	 Not save critical tasks for last minute.
Required training for	Make time for replanning.
staff is not available	 Get an overview of what training will be available before deciding on requirements.

Chart of possible risk and strategy to prevent them from happening and minimize the effect if they do.

If there was no way to avoid the risk, here is a list of strategies for what to do.

Risk	Strategy
The time required to develop the software is underestimated	Replan the project, remove features with lowest priority.
Staff is not available because of other task assignments	 Try to catch up when staff is back. If this happens right before a dead-line, see if missing features can be added before next deadline instead. Prioritize and try to get staff to do most essential tasks.
Hardware crashes	 Try to get new hardware as soon as possible. See if it is possible to borrow software until new arrives.
The size of the software is underestimated	Reprioritize, do most essential parts.
Key staff are ill at critical times in the project	 See if possible that staff work from home. Try to catch up when staff is back.
Required training for staff is not available	 Remove features that is impossible to implement. Try to find other solutions to implement the feature.

Chart of possible risk and what to do if they occur.

6.3 Reflection

The hangman project is not that big, and it is obviously possible to do since it is an assignment, so it was a bit hard to come up with a large amount of risks. But it was still possible to come up with different strategies to prevent them and make the impact of them as little as possible. Many risks are things that feel kind of obvious and you usually just deal with them as they occur, so it was educational to write them down and analyze them.

7. Time log **Iteration 1**

Task	Date	Estimated time	Actual time	Reflection
Reading literature	23-30/1-19	4hours	4-5 hours	
Attend lectures	23-30/1-19	6hours	6 hours	
Prepare GitHub account	24/1-19 7/2-19	1hour	2hours	Never used GitHub and needed to watch a lot of videos on how to use it.
Write a vision for the project	4-7/2-19	30min	60min	Time consumed finding out what should be included in vision
Write justification	4/2-19	15min	15min	
List and define stakeholders	4/2-19	30min	30min	
List resources and hard- and software requirements	4/2-19	30min	30min	
List deadlines	4/2-19	15min	15min	
Scope, Constraints and Assumptions	4-7/2-19	30min	30min	
Make risk analysis	4-7/2-19	1-2h	2h	
Begin planning of iteration 2 and 3	7/2-19	15min	15min	
Proofread the vision, project plan and risk analysis	7/2-19	2h	2h	

Iteration 2

Task	Date	Estimated time	Actual time	Reflection
Reading literature	5-18/2	7-8 hours	8 hours	
Attend lectures	6-20/2	14 hours	14 hours	
Write a fully dressed use case for a <i>basic</i> version of requirement UC 2 Play Game	13-20/2	4 hours	4 hours	Had to go back after starting the extended version so this actual time may not be exact.
Create a state chart for playing the game.	13-20/2	2 hours	6 hours	Took a lot of time to understand. Find and learn a program to draw state chart. Some time was wasted doing unnecessary work.
Implement the models.	16-19/2	3 hours	4 hours	Time went faster than expected
Create extended use case diagram	13-18/2	30min	45 min	Fairly ok estimation. Changed this diagram several times.

Write fully	18-20/2	1 hour	40min	
dressed use case				
for single player				
Write fully	18-20/2	1 hour	1 hour	
dressed use case				
for multiplayer				
version				
Create state	18-19/2	1hour	50min	
machine for				
single player				
version				
Create state	18-19/2	1hour	1hour	
machine for				
multiplayer				
version				
Implement the	18-22/2	4 hours	5-6 hours	Not all extended model
extended models				functions are not implemented. Some functions was harder to
				implement than expected.
Updating models	16-22/2		3 hours	A lot of time was consumed
and user cases				changing things since I changed
				my mind on how to do them.
Create a class	19-20/2	1 hour	1 hour	
diagram.				

It was very hard to measure time even though toggle was used. Especially when I was stressed and went back and forth between different tasks. The actual time may have been slightly underestimated but is as accurate as possible.

Iteration 3

Task	Estimated time	Actual time	Reflection
Reading literature	1 hour	1h 15min	
Attend lectures	10 hours	10 hours	
Write planning	30 min	20 min	
Write manual test cases	5 hours	6 hours 30 min	Some functions from the orginal UC was removed. But before this was done some time was consumed trying to solve such problems.
Create Unit Tests	5 hours	5 hours 30 min	The implementation was not well adjusted for testing, so time was consumed figuring out what was testable.
Running manual test cases	30 min	25 min	
Code inspection	1 hour	1hour 30 min	Parts of code had to be reconstructed to be testable
Test report	30 min	20 min	