

ECSE 321 - Intro to Software Engineering
Design Specification Document - Deliverable 3

Harley Wiltzer
Camilo Garcia La Rotta
Jake Shnaidman
Robert Attard
Matthew Lesko

March 19, 2017

Contents

I	Unit Tests	2
1	Course Unit Test	3
2	Job Unit Test	5
3	Profile	7
II	Integration Tests	8
4	Java	9
4.1	Profile Controller	9
4.2	Course Controller	9
4.3	Application Controller	9
5	PHP	9
5.1	Job Integration	9
5.2	Test Cases	10
III	System Tests	11
6	The Register Profile Use Case	12
7	The Upload Course Data Use Case	12
8	The Publish Job Posting Use Case	13
9	The Apply to Job Posting Use Case	14

Part I

Unit Tests

1 Course Unit Test

METHOD UNDER TEST: Constructor

*Note: For cases in which a message, stating for a wrong input, is output from the system, then the changes/creations/deletions of objects should not persist.

Test Cases For: className Inputs

Test Cases for Constructor	className Input	cdn Input	TimeBudget Input
Test Case no.1	null	101	10.00
Test Case no.2	""	101	10.00
Test Case no.3	"1234567890"	101	10.00
Test Case no.4	" "	101	10.00

Test Cases for Constructor	Expected Output
Test Case no.1	Course name cannot be empty!
Test Case no.2	Course name cannot be empty!
Test Case no.3	(className gets saved in persistence layer)
Test Case no.4	Course name cannot be empty!

Test Cases For: cdn Inputs

Test Cases for Constructor	className Input	cdn Input	TimeBudget Input
Test Case no.5	ECSE	101 and 101	10.00
Test Case no.6	ECSE	null	10.00
Test Case no.7	ECSE	-1	10.00
Test Case no.8	ECSE	"one hundred and one"	10.00
Test Case no.9	ECSE	" "	10.00

Test Cases for Constructor	Expected Output
Test Case no.5	Cannot input Non-Unique CDN!
Test Case no.6	Cannot input empty CDN!
Test Case no.7	CDN must be positive!
Test Case no.8	Cannot input alphabetical characters for CDN!
Test Case no.9	Cannot input empty CDN!

Test Cases For: graderTimeBudget/taTimeBudget Inputs

Test Cases for Constructor	className Input	cdn Input	TimeBudget Input
Test Case no.10	ECSE	101	null
Test Case no.11	ECSE	101	""
Test Case no.12	ECSE	101	"ten"
Test Case no.13	ECSE	101	-10.00

Test Cases for Constructor	Expected Output
Test Case no.10	Cannot input empty TimeBudget!
Test Case no.11	Cannot input empty TimeBudget!
Test Case no.12	Cannot input alphabetical characters for TimeBudget!
Test Case no.13	Grader Budget must be positive! TA Budget must be positive!

METHOD UNDER TEST: addJob**Test Cases For: addJob Inputs**

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Instructor
Test Case no.14	null	null	null	null	null	null
Test Case no.15	10:00	15:00	Monday	15.00	Bachelors	Default Instructor

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.14	Invalid Input for Job!
Test Case no.15	(Job gets saved in persistence layer)

METHOD UNDER TEST: addJobAt**Test Cases For: addJobAt Inputs**

Test Cases	Job1 Input	Index1 Input	Job2 Input	Index2 Input
Test Case no.16	Job1	null	Job2	null
Test Case no.17	Job1	1	Job2	1

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.16	Cannot add Job at null index!
Test Case no.17	Cannot add Job at occupied index!

METHOD UNDER TEST: addOrMoveJobAt**Test Cases For: addOrMoveJobAt Inputs**

Test Cases	Job Input	Index Input	New Index Input
Test Case no.18	Job	1	2
Test Case no.19	Job	1	0

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.18	(Job gets moved to a new index successfully)
Test Case no.19	(Job gets moved to a new index successfully)

2 Job Unit Test

***Note:** Since the Classes Tutorial and Laboratory inherit from the Job class; the test cases for these two classes will be identical to the Job Class. The inputs aCourse and aInstructor are simply valid Course and Instructor inputs.

METHOD UNDER TEST: Constructor

Test Cases For: startTime and endTime Inputs

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Course	Instructor
Test Case no.1	null	null	Monday	10.00	Bachelors	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.2	""	""	Monday	10.00	Bachelors	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.3	10:00	9:00	Monday	10.00	Bachelors	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.4	21:00	22:00	Monday	10.00	Bachelors	aCourse	aInstructor

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.1	Input Time cannot be empty!
Test Case no.2	Input Time cannot be empty!
Test Case no.3	startTime cannot be before endTime!
Test Case no.4	Times cannot be outside working hours!

Test Cases For: Requirements Inputs

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Course	Instructor
Test Case no.5	10:00	12:00	Monday	10.00	null	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.6	10:00	12:00	Monday	10.00	""	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.7	10:00	12:00	Monday	10.00	" "	aCourse	aInstructor

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.5	Requirements cannot be empty!
Test Case no.6	Requirements cannot be empty!
Test Case no.7	Requirements cannot be empty!

Test Cases For: Course and Instructor Inputs

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Course	Instructor
Test Case no.8	10:00	12:00	Monday	10.00	PHD Student	null	aInstructor
Test Case no.9	10:00	12:00	Monday	10.00	PHD Student	aCourse	null

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.8	Invalid Course Input!
Test Case no.9	Invalid Instructor Input!

Test Cases For: Salary Inputs

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Course	Instructor
Test Case no.10	10:00	12:00	Monday	null	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.11	10:00	12:00	Monday	" "	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.12	10:00	12:00	Monday	"ten10"	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.13	10:00	12:00	Monday	-10	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.10	Salary cannot be empty!
Test Case no.11	Salary cannot be empty!
Test Case no.12	Salary cannot have alphabetical characters!
Test Case no.13	Salary cannot be negative!

Test Cases For: Day Inputs

Test Cases	startTime	endTime	Day	Salary	Requirements	Course	Instructor
Test Case no.14	10:00	12:00	null	10.00	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor
Test Case no.15	10:00	12:00	Saturday	10.00	PHD Student	aCourse	aInstructor

Test Cases	Expected Output
Test Case no.14	Date cannot be empty!
Test Case no.15	Date cannot be a weekend day!

3 Profile

Part II

Integration Tests

The integration tests are split into two parts. One part is the Java which tests the functionality of the controllers that operate in the Desktop and Mobile application in order to test the integration of multiple entity classes. The other is the PHP which tests how numerous classes can be integrated together.

4 Java

All together, the Java code has tested that all classes function harmoniously as they are needed to within our Mobile and Desktop application.

4.1 Profile Controller

This controller tests the integration of the profile class with the courses class as well as persistence and profile manager class. This test achieved 100% code coverage using ECLEmma.

It tested for null and empty input in all fields within the controller and ensured that it was handled. It also ensured that profiles that had incorrect input were not added to the system (were not persisted in the XML)

4.2 Course Controller

This controller tests the integration of the course class with the course controller, course manager and persistence. This test achieved 99.2% code coverage.

It tested for null and empty input in all String fields in the controller and ensured that it was handled. It also checked to see that CDNs, and time budgets could not be negative. Most importantly, the integration test ensured that exceptional input did not propagate into the persistence layer.

4.3 Application Controller

This controller tests the integration of the application class with the application controller, application manager, profile manager, profile controller, persistence, course class, profile class, and job class. This test achieved 100% code coverage

It tested that null and empty input in all String fields in the controller as well as negative salaries were handled by exceptions. It also tested that these errors did not propagate into persistence.

5 PHP

5.1 Job Integration

Given that the web client is intended to be used by an instructor, the integration testing relates the job class to all the other classes in various scenarios and use-cases by utilising their controllers. While the unit test for profile and course used some implementation of their respective controllers, here we try to use only the controllers as much as possible for all interactions with the job class

and the application controller, which handles the operations needed for the job class to be created and used.

5.2 Test Cases

The integration testing for the application controller and job class run through various input cases. These include creating a valid job with the controller, creating a job with no linked course, creating a job with an invalid course CDN, creating a job with no instructor, and the various combinations of remaining inputs for start and end time, salary and position.

As of yet integration testing for the web client is not complete and no coverage metrics can be generated. Ideally, after testing all possible use cases that apply to the web client (the model generated code that may not be used), coverage should be around 90%.

Part III

System Tests

System tests have been carried out incrementally as new use cases for the system have been added. Since the desktop app is the most mature of the three platforms in development, it has experienced by far the most system testing, as it currently implements approximately twice as many use cases as the other platforms combined. Therefore, the system tests for the desktop app will be described below, and will be structured by the use cases that they examine.

6 The Register Profile Use Case

Goal: To ensure that profiles are created properly using the `RegisterView`

Process

This use case was tested by entering various inputs to the `RegisterView` class, observing the output messages on the view, and verifying the persisted XML upon completion. Inputs were decided according to equivalence partitioning, so an input was tested for each class of error that can occur from user input, and of course a valid input was tested as well.

Results

All tests were passed successfully. Invalid input test cases all showed proper error messages on the view, and proper input test cases showed correct confirmation messages. Furthermore, it was noted that XML was only generated when proper input was submitted.

Conclusion

The `RegisterView` UI functions correctly, and reliably registers profiles to the system with proper persistence.

7 The Upload Course Data Use Case

Goal: To ensure that courses are created properly using the `CourseView`

Process

The process followed a very similar strategy to that of the system test described in section 6. Equivalence classes were chosen as test cases, and XML output as well as messages on the UI were verified.

Results

All tests were passed successfully. XML was created only when valid input was passed in, and all UI messages reflected the validity and errors of the input.

Conclusion

The CourseView UI functions correctly, and reliably uploads course data to the system with proper persistence.

8 The Publish Job Posting Use Case

Goal: To ensure that instructors may publish jobs for the courses that they teach, and to verify that only sane job postings are processed.

Process

This is the first system test that depends on the functioning of other use cases. Given the results of the previous system tests, there was confidence that the behavior of the current use case will not be hindered by its dependencies. It was first verified that the instructor may only choose to select courses that the profile is said to teach for the job posting. Then, it was verified that upon selecting a different professor, the list of courses to choose from updates (with a correct list of courses) immediately. Furthermore, aside from plain empty text fields, the PublishJobView has several input fields that can be erroneous due to incorrect input types, and even correct input types that represent non-sensical data. For example, the time budget fields must be integral types, and the start time of the job must occur before the end time. Therefore, configurations where the end time occurs before the start time were tested, and configurations where time budgets with non-integral time budgets were tested, along with the usual tests of empty fields and valid input. Furthermore, it was verified that XML was only generated upon *strictly valid* input, that is to say, input that has correctly-typed and non-empty fields *and* only logically-sane inputs. Finally, the application was closed and restarted. Then, the ApplicationView was opened to ensure that the job postings were present in the list, which would confirm that the persistence is functioning in such a way that its stored data may be retrieved. Finally, the ApplicationView was opened to ensure that the job postings were present in the list, which would confirm that the persistence is functioning in such a way that its stored data may be retrieved.

Results

Unfortunately, not all test were passed immediately. It was noticed that it was possible to submit job postings with start times that occur after end times, which is undesirable. After many tests, the testers realized that by *nudging* the start time field, or simply changing its value and returning it to its initial value, the error vanished. Thus, the PublishJobView was modified to explicitly set the values of the start time and end time fields whenever the view refreshes. This ultimately solved the error. Otherwise, all other functionalities were successful, and XML was only being generated when the system interpreted that the input data was valid.

Conclusion

Although there was a slight failure early in testing, it appears to have been resolved, and currently the Publish Job Posting Use Case is considered to be functional. This use case has been tested extensively since its failures, as it is a dependency for several other use cases. Since the error was resolved, no other errors have been noticed.

9 The Apply to Job Posting Use Case

Goal: To ensure that students may apply to the job postings that were posted.

Process

This use case was very simple to test, since there are very few ways in which input can be invalid. In fact, the only inputs are the selections from two lists, which may be null. Therefore, there were four test cases, including one where the student list had no selection, one where the job list had no selection, one where neither list had a selection, and of course one where both lists had a selected value. It was ensured that proper error or confirmation messages were excreted upon each submission of input, and of course XML persistence was verified. In the process, the Publish Job Posting Use Case was also examined, as upon selecting jobs from the list the job's data appears in a text area, so job data was observed as a testament to the persistence in PublishJobView.

Results

All tests were passed. Appropriate error messages were output for all erroneous input configurations, and a correct confirmation message was output for the valid input class. Furthermore, persistence was only generated when valid input was submitted. Moreover, it was noticed that by observing the job data in the job description text area, all job data appears to be correct, further emphasizing the validity of the Publish Job Posting Use Case implementation.