# Week 8 Assignment [4 Points]

Generative AI

Saarland University - Winter Semester 2024/25

Submission due: 21 December 2024 by 6pm CET

#### genai-w24-tutors

genai-w24-tutors@mpi-sws.org

## 1 Reading Assignment

This week's reading assignment comprises of the following:

(R.1) A Watermark For Large Language Models [1]. Paper link: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/kirchenbauer23a/kirchenbauer23a.pdf

[OPTIONAL] Below, we are providing additional material covering content relevant to the lecture:

- Tree-Rings Watermarks: Invisible Fingerprints for Diffusion Images [2].
  Paper Link: https://openreview.net/pdf?id=Z57JrmubNl
- Safe RLHF: Safe Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback [3]. Paper Link: https://openreview.net/pdf?id=TyFrPOKYXw

# 2 Exercise Assignment

This exercise assignment aims to give you a deeper understanding of the watermarking of LLMs as described in the paper *A Watermark for Large Language Models* [1]. These questions should be answered in the PDF submission file – see instructions in Section 4.

More concretely, we consider a simplified vocabulary  $V = \{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ , where  $t_0$  is a special token that marks the beginning of a sentence.

Table 1: Red List for text generation

$x_{i-1}$	Tokens in Red List
$t_0$	$t_{1}, t_{2}$
$t_1$	$t_{1}, t_{2}$
$t_2$	$t_{2}, t_{3}$
$t_3$	$t_{1}, t_{4}$
$t_4$	$t_{3}, t_{4}$

- (E.1) First, consider Algorithm 1 in [1], *Text Generation with Hard Red List*. The possible tokens in the Red List depend on the previous token, as shown in Table 1. Based on the presence of any red token in the sentence, which of the following sentences contains a watermark, and which does not?
  - $t_0t_1t_2t_3t_4t_1t_4$
  - $t_0t_4t_1t_3t_3t_2t_1$
- (E.2) Consider the text  $t_0t_3t_2t_4t_1t_3t_3t_3$ , which was generated by a watermarked model. How could you remove the watermark by inserting a single new token? What would the resulting text look like?

- (E.3) Consider the text  $t_0t_1t_3t_2t_1t_4t_2$ , which was generated by a non-watermarked model. How could you make this text look like it was generated by a watermarked model by inserting a single new token? What would the resulting text look like?
- (E.4) Consider a model that predicts each token with equal probability, with all the logit values  $l_{t_1}^{(i)} = l_{t_2}^{(i)} = \ldots = 1$  at any time step i. What would be the probability that the model generates the following sentences when not considering any watermarking?
  - $t_0t_1t_4t_3t_4t_1t_4$
  - $t_0t_4t_1t_3t_3t_2t_1$

*Remark*: Note that the model generates tokens one by one in order to generate a sentence (refer to week 2 slides). Here,  $t_0$  marks the beginning of the sentence and you can set the probability of the first token being  $t_0$  as 1.0.

- (E.5) Now, consider Algorithm 2 in [1], *Text Generation with Soft Red List*. Use the same Red List as in Table 1. When using  $\delta = 1$  and the same model as in the previous exercise, what is the probability that the following sentences are generated considering the Soft Red List watermarking method?
  - $t_0t_1t_4t_3t_4t_1t_4$
  - $t_0t_4t_1t_3t_3t_2t_1$

# 3 Implementation Based Assignment

In this week's implementation assignment, you will test the effectiveness of watermarking with the Hard Red List as described in Algorithm 1 of the paper A Watermark for Large Language Models [1]. Further, you will test the effectiveness of the T5 Span Attack as described in Section 7.1. We have provided you with the following files in week8\_implementation.zip:

- watermarking.py: A script that implements watermarking with the Hard Red List and the T5 Span Attack. It will generate the required data for (I.1)-(I.5) in separate text files. Running this file once will generate all the necessary data, i.e., you do not need to modify this file.
- requirements.txt: This file contains all the required packages to run this week's implementation assignment. Refer to Section 5.2 for installing the requirements.

A watermark should be accurately detected while avoiding falsely classifying non-watermarked text – for this, we will analyze the rate of True Positives (TP), True Negatives (TN), False Positives (FP), and False Negatives (FN). Furthermore, the watermark should not have a noticeable impact on the generation quality – for this, we will use the model's perplexity as a measure of the generation quality. The questions below should be answered in the PDF submission file – see instructions in Section 4.

- (I.1) I1.txt contains 3 example generations with and without a watermark. How do they compare in terms of quality and perplexity?
- (I.2) We will now do a more rigorous evaluation of the watermarking technique. I2.txt contains the detection rates for 50 watermarked and 50 non-watermarked responses. How does the choice of z impact the detection? Out of these options, what is the best choice for it?
- (I.3) I3.txt contains the average perplexity for the generated watermarked and non-watermarked responses. How do watermarked responses compare to non-watermarked ones?
- (I.4) Next, we will analyze the T5 Span Attack described in Section 7.1 of [1]. I4.txt contains the detection rates for watermarked and non-watermarked responses after they have been modified using the attack. Compared to I2.txt, how successful is the attack in removing watermarks?
- (I.5) I5.txt contains the average perplexity of the generations after they have been modified using the T5 Span Attack. What impact does the attack have on the quality of generation?

## 4 Submission Instructions

Please submit the following file:

• **<Lastname>\_<Matriculation>\_week8.pdf**. This PDF file will report answers to the following questions: E.1, E.2, E.3, E.4, E.5, I.1, I.2, I.3, I.4, and I.5. The PDF file should be generated in LaTeX using NeurIPS 2024 style files; see further formatting instructions in Section 5.1. The PDF file size should **not exceed 1mb**. Please use the following naming convention: Replace **<Lastname>** and **<Matriculation>** with your respective Lastname and Matriculation number (e.g., Singla\_1234567\_week8.pdf).

## 5 Technical Instructions

#### 5.1 Instructions for Preparing PDFs

Refer to Week 1 assignment.

#### **5.2** Instructions for Implementation

For detailed instructions on how to use the GPU resources in Google Colab, please refer to the Technical Instructions in Week 3 assignment.

In week8\_implementation.zip, we have provided a requirements.txt file. This file contains the list of all the packages needed to run this week's assignment and has to be uploaded in Google Colab. Please make sure that before you run the provided code, you first run the following command in Google Colab in order to install all the required packages:

!pip install -r requirements.txt

### References

- [1] John Kirchenbauer, Jonas Geiping, Yuxin Wen, Jonathan Katz, Ian Miers, and Tom Goldstein. A Watermark for Large Language Models. In *ICML*, 2023.
- [2] Yuxin Wen, John Kirchenbauer, Jonas Geiping, and Tom Goldstein. Tree-Rings Watermarks: Invisible Fingerprints for Diffusion Images. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [3] Josef Dai, Xuehai Pan, Ruiyang Sun, Jiaming Ji, Xinbo Xu, Mickel Liu, Yizhou Wang, and Yaodong Yang. Safe RLHF: Safe Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback. In *ICLR*, 2024.