

# The Mother of all Master Equations

Nike Dattani\*

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

Camilo Chaparro Sogamoso<sup>†</sup>

National University of Colombia

(Dated: 21st July 2018)

## I. THE HAMILTONIAN

We start with a time-dependent Hamiltonian of the form:

$$H_T(t) = H_S(t) + H_I + H_B, \quad (1)$$

$$H_S(t) = \sum_i \varepsilon_i(t) |i\rangle\langle i| + \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij}(t) |i\rangle\langle j|, \quad (2)$$

$$H_I = \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( g_{i\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + g_{i\mathbf{k}}^* b_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \quad (3)$$

$$H_B = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (4)$$

## II. UNITARY TRANSFORMATION INTO THE VARIATIONALLY OPTIMIZABLE FRAME

We will apply to  $H(t)$ , the unitary transformation defined by  $e^{\pm V}$  where is the variationally optimizable anti-Hermitian operator:

$$V(t) \equiv \sum_i |i\rangle\langle i| \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}^*(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \quad (5)$$

in terms of the variational scalar parameters  $\{v_{\mathbf{k}}\}$ , which will soon be optimized in order to give the most accurate possible master equation for the system's dynamics in the presence of this bath. Operators  $O$  in the variational frame will be written as:

$$\bar{O}(t) \equiv e^{V(t)} O e^{-V(t)}. \quad (6)$$

We assume that the bath starts equilibrium with inverse temperature  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ :

$$\rho_B \equiv \rho_B(0) \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\beta H_B}}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H_B})} \quad (8)$$

With the following definitions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{iz}(t) & B_i^\pm(t) \\ B_x(t) & B_i(t) \\ B_y(t) & B_{ij}(t) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t))^* b_{\mathbf{k}} \right) & e^{\pm \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}^*(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}} \right)} \\ \frac{B_1^+(t) B_0^-(t) + B_0^+(t) B_1^-(t) - B_{10}(t) - B_{10}^*(t)}{2} & e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left| \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right|^2 \coth(\beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}/2)} \\ \frac{B_0^+(t) B_1^-(t) - B_1^+(t) B_0^-(t) + B_{10}(t) - B_{10}^*(t)}{2i} & e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left| \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - \frac{v_{j\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right|^2 \coth\left(\frac{\beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2}\right)} \prod_{\mathbf{k}} e^{\left( \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}^*(t) v_{j\mathbf{k}}(t) - v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t) v_{j\mathbf{k}}^*(t)}{2 \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \right)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$R_i(t) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{|v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)|^2}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - \left( g_{i\mathbf{k}} \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}^*(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} + g_{i\mathbf{k}}^* \frac{v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) \right), \quad (10)$$

$$(\cdot)^{\Re} \equiv \Re(\cdot) \quad (11)$$

$$(\cdot)^{\Im} \equiv \Im(\cdot) \quad (12)$$

we may write the transformed Hamiltonian as a sum of the form:

$$\overline{H}_T(t) \equiv \overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) + \overline{H}_{\bar{I}}(t) + \overline{H}_{\bar{B}} \quad (13)$$

$$\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) \equiv \sum_i (\varepsilon_i(t) + R_i(t)) |i\rangle\langle i| + \sigma_x (B_{10}^{\Re}(t) V_{10}^{\Re}(t) - B_{10}^{\Im}(t) V_{10}^{\Im}(t)) - \sigma_y (B_{10}^{\Re}(t) V_{10}^{\Im}(t) + B_{10}^{\Im}(t) V_{10}^{\Re}(t)) \quad (14)$$

$$\overline{H}_{\bar{I}}(t) \equiv \sum_i B_{iz}(t) |i\rangle\langle i| + V_{10}^{\Re}(t) (B_x(t) \sigma_x + B_y(t) \sigma_y) - V_{10}^{\Im}(t) (B_x(t) \sigma_y - B_y(t) \sigma_x) \quad (15)$$

$$\overline{H}_{\bar{B}} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (16)$$

$$= H_B \quad (17)$$

### III. FREE-ENERGY MINIMIZATION

The true free energy  $A$  is bounded by the Bogoliubov inequality:

$$A \leq A_B(t) \equiv -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\beta \overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) + H_B} \right) \right) + \langle \overline{H}_{\bar{I}}(t) \rangle_{\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) + H_B} + O \left( \langle \overline{H}_{\bar{I}}(t) \rangle_{\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) + H_B} \right) \quad (18)$$

We will optimize the set of variational parameters  $\{v_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\}$  in order to minimize  $A_B$  (i.e. to make it as close to the true free energy  $A$  as possible). Neglecting the higher order terms and using  $\langle \overline{H}_{\bar{I}}(t) \rangle_{\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t) + H_B} = 0$  we can obtain the following condition to obtain the set  $\{v_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\}$ :

$$\frac{\partial A_B(\{v_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\}; t)}{\partial v_{i\mathbf{k}}(t)} = 0. \quad (19)$$

This leads us to:

$$v_{i\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, t) = \frac{g_i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \left( 1 - \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} (2\varepsilon_i(t) + 2R_i(t) - \varepsilon(t)) \right) + 2 \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} \frac{v_{i'\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, t)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} |B_{10}(t)|^2 |V_{10}(t)|^2 \coth(\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}/2)}{1 - \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} \left( \varepsilon(t) - 2(\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon_i(t) - R_i(t)) - \frac{2|V_{10}(t)|^2 |B_{10}(t)|^2 \coth(\beta\omega_{\mathbf{k}}/2)}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)}, \quad (20)$$

with the following definitions:

$$\eta(t) \equiv \sqrt{(\text{Tr}(\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t)))^2 - 4 \text{Det}(\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t))} \quad (21)$$

$$\varepsilon(t) \equiv \text{Tr}(\overline{H}_{\bar{S}}(t)). \quad (22)$$

### IV. MASTER EQUATION

We transform any operator  $O$  into the interaction picture in the following way:

$$\tilde{O} \equiv U^{\dagger}(t) O U(t) \quad (23)$$

$$U(t) \equiv \mathcal{T} \exp \left( -i \int_0^t dt' \overline{H}_T(t') \right). \quad (24)$$

Therefore:

$$\widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) = U^\dagger(t) \overline{\rho_S}(t) U(t) \quad (25)$$

We will initialize the density operator as:  $\rho_{\text{Total}}(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \rho_B(0)$ , where  $\rho_B(0) \equiv \rho_B^{\text{Thermal}} \equiv \rho_B$ . Taking as reference state  $\rho_B$  and truncating at second order in  $\overline{H_I}(t)$ , we obtain our master equation in the interaction picture:

$$\frac{d\widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^t \text{Tr}_B \left[ \widetilde{\overline{H_I}}(t), \left[ \widetilde{\overline{H_I}}(s), \widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) \rho_B \right] \right] ds \quad (26)$$

To simplify this we define the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B(t) \\ C(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \frac{I-\sigma_z}{2} & \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \frac{I+\sigma_z}{2} \\ B_x(t) & B_y(t) & B_{1z}(t) & B_y(t) & B_x(t) & B_{0z}(t) \\ V_{10}^{\Re}(t) & V_{10}^{\Re}(t) & 1 & V_{10}^{\Im}(t) & -V_{10}^{\Im}(t) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

$$\overline{H_I}(t) = \sum_i C_i(t) (A_i \otimes B_i(t)) \quad (28)$$

$$\widetilde{\overline{H_I}}(t) = \sum_i C_i(t) (\widetilde{A_i}(t) \otimes \widetilde{B_i}(t)), \quad (29)$$

and expanding the commutators yields:

$$\frac{d\widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^t \text{Tr}_B \left( \sum_j C_j(t) (\widetilde{A_j}(t) \otimes \widetilde{B_j}(t)) \sum_i C_i(s) (\widetilde{A_i}(s) \otimes \widetilde{B_i}(s)) \widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) \rho_B - \sum_j C_j(t) (\widetilde{A_j}(t) \otimes \widetilde{B_j}(t)) \widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) \rho_B \sum_i C_i(s) (\widetilde{A_i}(s) \otimes \widetilde{B_i}(s)) \right. \quad (30)$$

$$\left. - \sum_i C_i(s) (\widetilde{A_i}(s) \otimes \widetilde{B_i}(s)) \widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) \rho_B \sum_j C_j(t) (\widetilde{A_j}(t) \otimes \widetilde{B_j}(t)) + \widetilde{\overline{\rho_S}}(t) \rho_B \sum_i C_i(s) (\widetilde{A_i}(s) \otimes \widetilde{B_i}(s)) \sum_j C_j(t) (\widetilde{A_j}(t) \otimes \widetilde{B_j}(t)) \right) ds. \quad (31)$$

The correlation functions are equal to:

$$\langle \widetilde{B_{iz}}(\tau) \widetilde{B_{jz}}(0) \rangle_B = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} ((g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})(g_{j\mathbf{k}} - v_{j\mathbf{k}})^* e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} N_{\mathbf{k}} + (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})^*(g_{j\mathbf{k}} - v_{j\mathbf{k}}) e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1)), \quad (32)$$

$$U = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \exp \left( \frac{v_{0\mathbf{k}}^* v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}} v_{1\mathbf{k}}^*}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \right) \right), \quad (33)$$

$$\phi(\tau) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left| \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right|^2 \left( -i \sin(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau) + \cos(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau) \coth \left( \frac{\beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} \right) \right), \quad (34)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B_x}(\tau) \widetilde{B_x}(0) \rangle_B = \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) + \exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} - 1), \quad (35)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B_y}(\tau) \widetilde{B_y}(0) \rangle_B = \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1 + U^{\Re}), \quad (36)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B_x}(\tau) \widetilde{B_y}(0) \rangle_B = \frac{U^{\Im} |B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1), \quad (37)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B_y}(\tau) \widetilde{B_x}(0) \rangle_B = \frac{U^{\Im} |B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1), \quad (38)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B_{iz}}(\tau) \widetilde{B_x}(0) \rangle_B = i B_{10}^{\Im} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}) N_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^* - (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})^* \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) \right), \quad (39)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_x(\tau) \widetilde{B}_{iz}(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Im} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})^* N_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) - (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}) \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^* e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) \right), \quad (40)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_{iz}(\tau) \widetilde{B}_y(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Re} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})^* \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) - e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}) \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^* N_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \quad (41)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_y(\tau) \widetilde{B}_{iz}(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Re} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}})^* N_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) - (g_{i\mathbf{k}} - v_{i\mathbf{k}}) (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \left( \frac{v_{1\mathbf{k}} - v_{0\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^* \right). \quad (42)$$

The integral version of the correlation functions are given by:

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_{iz}(\tau) \widetilde{B}_{jz}(0) \rangle_B = \int_0^\infty (\sqrt{J_i(\omega) J_j^*(\omega)} (1 - F_i(\omega)) (1 - F_j^*(\omega)) e^{i\omega\tau} N(\omega) + \sqrt{J_i^*(\omega) J_j(\omega)} (1 - F_i^*(\omega)) (1 - F_j(\omega)) e^{-i\omega\tau} (N(\omega) + 1)) d\omega, \quad (43)$$

$$U = \exp \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{J_0^*(\omega) J_1(\omega)} F_0^*(\omega) F_1(\omega) - \sqrt{J_0(\omega) J_1^*(\omega)} F_0(\omega) F_1^*(\omega)}{\omega^2} d\omega \right), \quad (44)$$

$$\phi(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\sqrt{J_1(\omega)} F_1(\omega) - \sqrt{J_0(\omega)} F_0(\omega)}{\omega} \right|^2 \left( -i \sin(\omega\tau) + \cos(\omega\tau) \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) \right) d\omega, \quad (45)$$

$$B_{10} = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\sqrt{J_1(\omega)} F_1(\omega) - \sqrt{J_0(\omega)} F_0(\omega)}{\omega} \right|^2 \coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) d\omega \right) \exp \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{J_0(\omega) J_1^*(\omega)} F_0(\omega) F_1^*(\omega) - \sqrt{J_0^*(\omega) J_1(\omega)} F_0^*(\omega) F_1(\omega)}{\omega^2} \right) d\omega \right), \quad (46)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_x(\tau) \widetilde{B}_x(0) \rangle_B = \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) + \exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} - 1), \quad (47)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_y(\tau) \widetilde{B}_y(0) \rangle_B = \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1 + U^{\Re}), \quad (48)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_x(\tau) \widetilde{B}_y(0) \rangle_B = \frac{U^{\Im} |B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1), \quad (49)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_y(\tau) \widetilde{B}_x(0) \rangle_B = \frac{U^{\Im} |B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1), \quad (50)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_{iz}(\tau) \widetilde{B}_x(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Im} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (g_{i\mathbf{k}} (1 - F_i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})) N_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} \left( \frac{g_{1\mathbf{k}} F_1(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) - g_{0\mathbf{k}} F_0(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^* - g_{i\mathbf{k}}^* (1 - F_i^*(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})) \left( \frac{g_{1\mathbf{k}} F_1(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) - g_{0\mathbf{k}} F_0(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau} (N_{\mathbf{k}} + 1)), \quad (51)$$

$$Q_i(\omega) = \sqrt{J_i(\omega)} (1 - F_i(\omega)) \left( \frac{\sqrt{J_1(\omega)} F_1(\omega) - \sqrt{J_0(\omega)} F_0(\omega)}{\omega} \right)^*, \quad (52)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_{iz}(\tau) \widetilde{B}_x(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Im} \int_0^\infty (Q_i(\omega) N(\omega) e^{i\omega\tau} - Q_i^*(\omega) (N(\omega) + 1) e^{-i\omega\tau}) d\omega, \quad (53)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_x(\tau) \widetilde{B}_{iz}(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Im} \int_0^\infty (Q_i^*(\omega) N(\omega) e^{i\omega\tau} - Q_i(\omega) (N(\omega) + 1) e^{-i\omega\tau}) d\omega, \quad (54)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_{iz}(\tau) \widetilde{B}_y(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Re} \int_0^\infty (e^{-i\omega\tau} Q_i^*(\omega) (N(\omega) + 1) - e^{i\omega\tau} Q_i(\omega) N(\omega)) d\omega, \quad (55)$$

$$\langle \widetilde{B}_y(\tau) \widetilde{B}_{iz}(0) \rangle_B = iB_{10}^{\Re} \int_0^\infty (e^{i\omega\tau} Q_i^*(\omega) N(\omega) - e^{-i\omega\tau} Q_i(\omega) (N(\omega) + 1)) d\omega. \quad (56)$$

We can keep the  $A$  and  $C$  operators as they are when tracing over the bath degrees of freedom, but we will replace the  $B$  operators by  $\mathcal{B}$  operators such that:

$$\mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) = \text{Tr}_B \left( \widetilde{B}_i(t) \widetilde{B}_j(s) \rho_B \right) \quad (57)$$

$$= \text{Tr}_B \left( \widetilde{B}_i(\tau) \widetilde{B}_j(0) \rho_B \right). \quad (58)$$

The  $\mathcal{B}$  operators matrix it's defined in terms of (43)-(56) following the notation of the matrix (27) as:

$$\mathcal{B}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{13}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{16}(t) \\ \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{22}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{23}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{22}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{26}(t) \\ \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{32}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{33}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{32}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{31}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{36}(t) \\ \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{22}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{23}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{22}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{26}(t) \\ \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{13}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{12}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{16}(t) \\ \mathcal{B}_{61}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{62}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{63}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{62}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{61}(t) & \mathcal{B}_{66}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) & \cdot & \cdots \\ \cdot & \mathcal{B}_{22}(t) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) + \exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} - 1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \frac{|B_{10}|^2}{2} (\exp(\phi(\tau)) - U^{\Re} \exp(-\phi(\tau)) - 1 + U^{\Re}) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (60)$$

where we have defined:

$$G_{\pm}(t) \equiv (N(\omega) + 1) e^{-it\omega} \pm N(\omega) e^{it\omega} \quad (61)$$

$$N(\omega) \equiv (e^{\beta\omega} - 1)^{-1}, \quad (62)$$

and the spectral density is defined in the usual way:

$$J_i(\omega) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |g_{i\mathbf{k}}|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (63)$$

$$v_{i\mathbf{k}} = g_{i\mathbf{k}} F_i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (64)$$

In this case  $g_i(\omega)$  and  $v_i(\omega)$  are the continuous version of  $g_i(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})$  and  $v_{i\mathbf{k}}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, t)$  respectively.

This allows us to remove the trace over the bath and write down a more tangible master equation:

$$\frac{d\widetilde{\rho_S}(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^t \sum_{ij} \left( C_i(t) C_j(s) \left( \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) [\widetilde{A}_i(t), \widetilde{A}_j(s) \widetilde{\rho_S}(t)] + \mathcal{B}_{ji}(-\tau) [\widetilde{\rho_S}(t) \widetilde{A}_j(s), \widetilde{A}_i(t)] \right) \right) ds \quad (65)$$

Doing the reverse of the transformation to interaction picture we get:

$$\frac{d\overline{\rho_S}(t)}{dt} = -i[H_S(t), \overline{\rho_S}(t)] - \sum_{ij} \int_0^t C_i(t) C_j(t-\tau) \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) [A_i, \widetilde{A}_j(t-\tau, t) \overline{\rho_S}(t)] + C_j(t) C_i(t-\tau) \mathcal{B}_{ji}(-\tau) [\overline{\rho_S}(t) \widetilde{A}_j(t-\tau, t), A_i] d\tau. \quad (66)$$

We still have interaction picture versions of  $A_j$ , so we will decompose  $\widetilde{A}_j(t)$  in terms of the Schroedinger picture version  $A_i$ :

$$\widetilde{A}_j(t) = \sum_{w(t)} e^{-iw(t)\tau} A_j(w(t)) \quad (67)$$

$$\widetilde{A}_j(t-\tau, t) = \sum_{w'(t), w(t-\tau)} e^{-i(t-\tau)w(t-\tau)} e^{itw'(t)} A_{jww'}(t, t-\tau) \quad (68)$$

Where the sum is defined on the set of all the differences between the eigenvalues of the system and we furthermore define  $A_j(w(t-\tau), w'(t)) \equiv A_{jww'}(t, t-\tau)$ , in our case  $w(t) \in \{0, \pm\eta(t)\}$ . We also have that  $w(t)$  belongs to the set of differences of eigenvalues of  $H_E(t)$  that depends of the time. As we can see the decomposition matrices are time-dependent as well. Also,  $w(t-\tau)$  and  $w'(t)$  belong to the set of the differences of the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian  $H_E(t-\tau)$  and  $H_E(t)$  respectively. In matrix form for the  $2 \times 2$  these are:

$$A_i(0) = \langle + | \widetilde{A}_i(t) | + \rangle | + \rangle \langle + | + \langle - | \widetilde{A}_i(t) | - \rangle | - \rangle \langle - | \quad (69)$$

$$A_i(w) = \langle + | \widetilde{A}_i(t) | - \rangle | + \rangle \langle - | \quad (70)$$

$$A_i(-w) = \langle - | \widetilde{A}_i(t) | + \rangle | - \rangle \langle + |. \quad (71)$$

The Fourier exponentials  $e^{iw\tau}$  and  $e^{-it(w-w')}$  can be combined with the  $C$  and  $\Lambda$  functions:

$$K_{ijww'}(t) = \int_0^t C_i(t) C_j(t-\tau) \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) e^{iw\tau} e^{-it(w-w')} d\tau \quad (72)$$

Finally we end up with our final master equation in the variationally optimized frame in the Schroedinger picture, in terms of only  $K$  and  $A$ :

$$\frac{d\overline{\rho_S}(t)}{dt} = -i [\overline{H_S}(t), \overline{\rho_S}] - \sum_{ijww'} \left( K_{ijww'}(t) [A_i, A_{jww'} \overline{\rho_S}(t)] - K_{ijww'}^*(t) [A_i, \overline{\rho_S}(t) A_{jww'}^\dagger] \right) \quad (73)$$

$$= -i [\overline{H_S}(t), \overline{\rho_S}(t)] - \sum_{ijww'} \left( K_{ijww'}^{\Re}(t) [A_i, A_{jww'} \overline{\rho_S}(t) - \overline{\rho_S}(t) A_{jww'}^\dagger] + i K_{ijww'}^{\Im}(t) [A_i, A_{jww'} \overline{\rho_S}(t) + \overline{\rho_S}(t) A_{jww'}^\dagger] \right) \quad (74)$$

Re-defining  $\overline{\rho_S}(t) \equiv \rho$  and  $\overline{H_S} \equiv H$ , we get:

$$\dot{\rho} = -i [H(t), \rho] - \sum_{ijww'} \left( K_{ijww'}(t) [A_i, A_{jww'} \rho] - K_{ijww'}^*(t) [A_i, \rho A_{jww'}^\dagger] \right) \quad (75)$$

We will now show that many useful master equations can be derived as special cases of the above “mother” of all master equations.

## V. LIMITING CASES

Many limiting cases can be derived from the “mother” of all master equations. We can set  $g_{ik}^{\Im} = 0$ , or  $V_{10}^{\Im} = 0$ ,  $g_{1k} = g_{0k}$ , for example. Let us look at some particular cases.

### A. Time-independent VPQME of 2011

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{10}^{\Im}(t) & g_{0k} & v_{0k}(t) & B(t) \\ V_{10}^{\Re}(t) & g_{1k}^{\Im} & v_{1k}(t) & \Omega_r \\ \varepsilon_0(t) & g_{1k}^{\Re} & & R_0(t) \\ \varepsilon_1(t) & & & R_1(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{10} \\ \frac{\Omega}{2} & 0 & v_k & B\Omega \\ 0 & g_k & 0 & \\ \delta & & & R \end{pmatrix} \quad (76)$$

We now have a simpler  $\overline{H_S}$ :

$$\overline{H_S}(t) \equiv R|0\rangle\langle 0| + \delta|1\rangle\langle 1| + \sigma_x \Omega_r. \quad (77)$$

Let's look now at  $v_k$ :

$$v_k = \frac{g_i(\omega_k) \left( 1 - \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} (2\varepsilon_i(t) + 2R_i - \varepsilon(t)) \right) + 2 \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} \frac{v_i \omega_k}{\omega_k} |B_{10}|^2 |V_{10}(t)|^2 \coth(\beta\omega_k/2)}{1 - \frac{\tanh(\frac{\beta\eta(t)}{2})}{\eta(t)} \left( \varepsilon(t) - 2(\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon_i(t) - R_i) - \frac{2|V_{10}(t)|^2 |B_{10}|^2 \coth(\beta\omega_k/2)}{\omega_k} \right)} \quad (78)$$

$$= \frac{g_k \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{\eta} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right) \right)}{1 - \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{\eta} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\eta}{2}\right) \left( 1 - \frac{\Omega_r^2}{2\varepsilon(t)\omega_k} \coth(\beta\omega_k/2) \right)} \quad (79)$$

The bath and system-bath interaction operators become:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_z(t) & B^\pm(t) \\ B_x(t) & B_{10}(t) \\ B_y(t) & R(t) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (g_{\mathbf{k}} - v_{\mathbf{k}}) b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger & e^{\pm \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} (b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger - b_{\mathbf{k}})} \\ \frac{B^+ + B^- - 2B}{2} & e^{-(1/2) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^2 \coth(\beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}}/2)} \\ \frac{B^- - B^+}{2i} & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} - 2g_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B(t) \\ C(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \frac{I - \sigma_z}{2} & \sigma_x & \sigma_y & \frac{I + \sigma_z}{2} \\ B_x & B_y & B_z & B_y & B_x & 0 \\ \frac{\Omega}{2} & \frac{\Omega}{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

Therefore  $C(t)$  is no longer time-dependent. Defining:

$$\Lambda_{ij}(t) \equiv C_i C_j \mathcal{B}_{ij}(t), \quad (82)$$

We get:

$$K_{ijww'}(t) = \int_0^t \Lambda_{ij}(\tau) e^{i w \tau} e^{-i t(w-w')} d\tau. \quad (83)$$

Now for a time-independent hamiltonian is possible to show that for the decomposition matrix  $A_j(w(t-\tau)) = A_j(w)$ :

$$U(t) A_j(w) U^\dagger(t) = e^{i w t} A_j(w) \quad (84)$$

It means that a decomposition matrix of  $\widetilde{A}_j(t)$  under evolution for the same time-independent hamiltonian  $U(t) A_j(w) U^\dagger(t)$  generates the same decomposition matrix multiplied by a phase  $e^{i w t}$ . It means that the decomposition matrix  $A_{jww'}$  for a time-independent hamiltonian fulfill  $A_{jww'} = A_j(w) \delta_{ww'}$  so only if  $w = w'$  then the response function is relevant for taking account and it's equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ijww}(t) &= \int_0^t C_i(t) C_j(t-\tau) \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) e^{i w \tau} e^{-i t(w-w)} d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t C_i(t) C_j(t-\tau) \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\tau) e^{i w \tau} d\tau \\ &\equiv K_{ijw}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in the equation (73) we obtain that:

$$\frac{d\bar{\rho}_S(t)}{dt} = -i[\overline{H}_S(t), \bar{\rho}_S(t)] - \sum_{ijw} \left( K_{ijw}^{\mathcal{R}}(t) [A_i, A_{jw} \bar{\rho}_S(t) - \bar{\rho}_S(t) A_{jw}^\dagger] + i K_{ijw}^{\mathcal{S}}(t) [A_i, A_{jw} \bar{\rho}_S(t) + \bar{\rho}_S(t) A_{jw}^\dagger] \right) \quad (85)$$

---

\* [n.dattani@cfa.harvard.edu](mailto:n.dattani@cfa.harvard.edu)

† [edcchapparros@unal.edu.co](mailto:edcchapparros@unal.edu.co)