

Linear Least Squares

①

- Recall: general quadratic norm of vector $\{x\}$

$$Q = \{x\}^T [W] \{x\} = \sum_i \sum_j x_i W_{ij} x_j$$

- Derivative wrt x_k becomes

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_k} = \sum_j W_{kj} x_j + \sum_i x_i W_{ik}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[\leftarrow W_{kj} \rightarrow \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ x \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\}}_{\text{dot product of } x \text{ w/ row } k \text{ of } [W]} + \underbrace{\left\{ \leftarrow x \rightarrow \right\} \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ W_{ik} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right]}_{\text{dot product of } x \text{ w/ column } k \text{ of } [W]}$$

$$= \left[\leftarrow W_{kj} \rightarrow \right] \left\{ x \right\} + \left[\leftarrow W_{kj}^T \rightarrow \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ x \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\}$$

- then for all x_k 's

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla Q &= [W] \{x\} + \{x\}^T [W] \\ &= ([W] + [W]^T) \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{IF } [W] \text{ symmetric, } \nabla Q = 2 [W] \{x\}$$

(2)

- Scalar product $\{x\}$ with any vector $\{v\}$

$$S = \{x\}^T \{v\} = \sum_i x_i v_i = \{v\}^T \{x\}$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k} = v_k \Rightarrow \nabla S = \{v\}$$

- Assume we have

$$[A]\{x\} = \{b\} \quad \text{with } [A] \text{ } m \times n, m > n$$

nonsymmetric
 $\{b\}$ is known
 $\{x\}$ is unknown

Since $m > n$, $[A]$ has more than n independent rows
 System is over-determined, no solution exists which
 satisfies all equations

$$\therefore \{r\} \equiv [A]\{x\} - \{b\} \neq 0$$

seek the minimum residual sol'n.

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{Var}(r) = \{r\}^T \{r\}$$

$$= ([A]\{x\} - \{b\})^T ([A]\{x\} - \{b\})$$

$$= \{x\}^T [A]^T [A] \{x\} - \{x\}^T [A]^T \{b\} \\ - \{b\}^T [A] \{x\} + \{b\}^T \{b\}$$

$$= \{x\}^T [A]^T [A] \{x\} - 2 \{x\}^T [A]^T \{b\} \\ + \{b\}^T \{b\}$$

The first order conditions for minimizing \mathcal{R} are the vanishing of all components of its gradient

$$\begin{aligned}\{\nabla \mathcal{R}\} &= ([A]^T[A] + [A]^T[A])^T \{x\} - 2[A]^T\{b\} \\ &= 2[A]^T[A]\{x\} - 2[A]^T\{b\}\end{aligned}$$

since $[A]^T[A]$ is symmetric

$$\text{We want } \nabla \mathcal{R} = 0 \Rightarrow [A]^T[A]\{x\} = [A]^T\{b\}$$

"Normal Equations" for ordinary least squares defines solution with minimum residual variance

$$\{x\} = ([A]^T[A])^{-1} \{b\}$$

essentially have premultiplied non-square system

$$[A]\{x\} = \{b\} \text{ with } [A]^T \text{ to produce } n \times n$$

system... key is what is the conditioning of

$[A]^T[A]$ and does an inverse exist.

- often normal equations are poorly conditioned and produce noisy results... one strategy, solve with SVD, to control the rank to filter out modes of sol'n with small singular values.

- Weighted Least Squares (WLS)

- Suppose "all residuals not equal"... can insert a weighting matrix into Ω

$$\Omega = \{r\}^T [W] \{r\}$$

e.g. diagonals of $[W]$ adjust for the expected size of each residual but could also weight cross-products of residuals or could make differences among residuals or other linear combinations

$$\{r'\} = [V] \{r\}$$

$$\text{then } \text{Var}(r') = \Omega' = \{r\}^T \underbrace{[V]^T [V]}_{[W]} \{r\}$$

So Normal Equations for WLS become

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega' &= ([A]\{x\} - \{b\})^T [W] ([A]\{x\} - \{b\}) \\ &= \{x\}^T [A]^T [W] [A] \{x\} - 2 \{x\}^T [A]^T [W] \{b\} \\ &\quad + \{b\}^T [W] \{b\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\{V \Omega'\} = 2 [[A]^T [W] [A]] \{x\} - 2 [[A]^T [W]] \{b\}$$

$$\text{so } [[A]^T [W] [A]] \{x\} = [[A]^T [W]] \{b\}$$

(5)

Generalized Least Squares (GLS)

- most general case, weight both residual and sol'n

$$\mathcal{N}'' = \{r\}^T [W_r] \{r\} + \{x\}^T [W_x] \{x\}$$

$$\{\nabla \mathcal{N}''\} = \{\nabla \mathcal{N}'\} + 2 [W_x] \{x\}$$

Setting $\nabla \mathcal{N}'' = 0$ gives GLS Normal Equations

$$[A]^T [W_r] [A] + [W_x] \{x\} = [A]^T [W_r] \{b\}$$

or

$$\{x\} = [[A]^T [W_r] [A] + [W_x]]^{-1} [[A]^T [W_r]] \{b\}$$

- $[W_x]$ can add desirable conditioning, e.g. $[W_x] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{I}$

would prefer answers which are not big compared to σ

Then $[W_x]$ just adds to diagonal of GLS system

"regularization" effect to make $[A]^T [A]$ more invertible (i.e. better conditioned) to avoid big and noisy $\{x\}$ solutions

- Preferred choice for weight matrices

• Inverse of the covariance of vector being estimated

$$\text{i.e. } [W_x] = [\text{Cov}(x)]^{-1}$$

$$[W_r] = [\text{Cov}(r)]^{-1}$$

- In under-determined case, $m < n$, GLS provides a unique answer

$[A]^T[A]$ has no inverse... there are multiple solutions which produce $\{r\} = 0$. OLS/WLS provides no choice among possibilities.

But GLS adds a second term to Ω : $\{x\}^T [W_x] \{x\}$ which favors certain size/shape of $\{x\}$... tries to achieve a balance between small $\{r\}$ and "credible" $\{x\}$ depending on details of $[W_r]$, $[W_x]$.

Overall strategy: formulate GLS normal equations, concentrating on weight matrices $[W_r]$, $[W_x]$ in the design of the inversion, then use JVD to solve resulting square system using condition number control to avoid near singularities and the resulting noise amplification in the normal equations.