

Parameter Estimation

①

- Now want to also estimate "parameters" of PDE
i.e. coefficients appearing in PDE

$$\text{e.g. } \nabla \cdot \underline{\sigma} \nabla u = f$$

- Use GLS but new complication, solution, u ,
is nonlinear in parameters

Now $Ku = b$, we have unknowns in K

$$\text{i.e. } K(y)u = b$$

↖ $y \equiv$ parameters to be estimated
along w/ b and u

- Want to minimize $\mathcal{J} = d - Su$ in GLS
sense but now w/ unknowns b, u, y

- Proceed as before

$$\min \mathcal{Q} = b^T W_b b + \mathcal{J}^T W_{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J} + y^T W_y y$$

$$\text{subject to } K(y)u = b$$

$$\mathcal{J} = d - Su$$

$$\text{where } W_b = [\text{Cov}(b)]^{-1}$$

$$W_{\mathcal{J}} = [\text{Cov}(\mathcal{J})]^{-1}$$

$$W_y = [\text{Cov}(y)]^{-1}$$

Write augmented quadratic form

$$\mathcal{Q}^{++} = \mathcal{Q} + \lambda^T (Ku - b)$$

(2)

- Get first-order conditions for GLS Extremum

$$\frac{\partial \Omega^{++}}{\partial b} = 2W_b b - \lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega^{++}}{\partial u} = K^T \lambda - 2S^T W_f S = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega^{++}}{\partial \lambda} = Ku - b = 0$$

} same as before
except $K(y)$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega^{++}}{\partial y} = 2W_y y + \lambda^T \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} u = 0 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{new, if } K \\ \text{linear in } y \text{ (typical)} \\ \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} \text{ is constant} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Gradient in parameterspace

$$\text{let } r \equiv Ku - b$$

$$\text{then } \Omega^{++} = \Omega + \lambda^T r = \Omega + \sum_i \lambda_i r_i$$

If each r_i is WR eqn for weighting function ϕ_i ,

then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} (\lambda^T r) = \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial y_m}$$

e.g. assume PDE is $\nabla \cdot y \nabla u = f$ Type II data
part of b

$$\text{then } r_i = \langle y \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_i \rangle - \oint \tilde{g} \phi_i ds + \langle f \phi_i \rangle$$

Expand $y = \sum_m y_m \phi_m$ nodal parameters

(3)

then $\frac{\partial \Gamma_i}{\partial y_m} = \langle \phi_m \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi_i \rangle$

and contribution to gradient becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} (\lambda^T \Gamma) = \langle \phi_m \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{\lambda} \rangle \text{ where } \nabla \tilde{\lambda} = \sum \tilde{\lambda}_i \nabla \phi_i$$

i.e. $\tilde{\lambda} = \sum \tilde{\lambda}_i \phi_i$

- Can evaluate this term using standard FE element assembly treating $\tilde{\lambda}$ and u as data when known
- Since need to iterate (since problem is nonlinear) can re-assemble K , $\frac{\partial K}{\partial y_m}$ in each iteration as $\tilde{\lambda}$, u change

and $\frac{\partial \Omega^{**}}{\partial y} = 2 W_y y + \langle \phi_m \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{\lambda} \rangle$

Note: If Type I BCs are involved at those nodes

$\Gamma_i \neq f(y)$ i.e. Γ_i does not depend on y

operationally we can set those $\tilde{\lambda}_i = 0$ in the construction of $\langle \phi_m \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{\lambda} \rangle$ temporarily (i.e. during assembly of this term, only)

- Can prove Adjoint Method for sol'n

(4)

1. Prior estimates of b, y are needed (these are the unknowns)
2. Forward Model: Assemble $K, \frac{\partial K}{\partial y}$
Solve $Ku = b$
3. Model-Data Mismatch: Evaluate, $\delta = d - Su$, using new estimate of u
4. Adjoint Model for λ
Solve $K^T \lambda = 2 S^T W_\delta \delta$
5. Gradient Descent: Evaluate gradients in b and y

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial b} = 2 W_b b - \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} = 2 W_y y + \lambda^T \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} u$$

IF zero, stop, otherwise adjust b, y and repeat Steps 2-5

e.g. Gradient Descent

$$b_{k+1} = b_k + \alpha \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial b}$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \alpha \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y}$$

$$\alpha = - \frac{2 b^T W_b b_k + 2 \delta^T W_\delta \delta_k + 2 y^T W_y y_k}{2 b^T W_b 2 b + 2 \delta^T W_\delta 2 \delta + 2 y^T W_y 2 y} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ignore nonlinearities} \\ \text{in } \Delta u = K(y + \Delta y) \Delta b \end{array} \right.$$