

Chebyshev Polynomials

- the second "most famous" spectral expansion
- can use on nonperiodic functions and still retain exponential convergence rates (can use Fourier w/ nonperiodic, but lose faster convergence, i.e. return to algebraic convergence)
- Chebyshev polynomial expansion essentially a Fourier cosine series in disguise:

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k T_k(x)$$

$$\text{let } x = \cos \theta \Rightarrow u(\cos \theta) \equiv \bar{u}(\theta)$$

$$\text{then } \bar{u}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k \cos k\theta$$

So Chebyshev polynomials are nothing but cosine functions after a change of variable

i.e. a Chebyshev series for u corresponds to a cosine series for \bar{u}

Implications:

- if $u(x)$ is infinitely differentiable on $[-1, 1]$, $\bar{u}(\theta)$ is infinitely differentiable and periodic in all derivatives on $[0, 2\pi]$... same integration-by-parts argument for Fourier Series holds ... Chebyshev coefficients guaranteed to decay faster than algebraically \Rightarrow exponential!

Does not require $u(x)$ periodic nor does it matter what $u(x)$ does outside $[-1, 1]$.

- have an orthogonality relationship on $[-1, 1]$

$$\text{if } u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k T_k(x)$$

$$\text{then } \hat{u}_k = \frac{2}{\pi c_k} \int_{-1}^1 u(x) T_k(x) w(x) dx$$

$$\text{where } w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} ; c_k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } k=0 \\ 1 & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

- do have Gibbs phenomenon with an "interior" (i.e. in $[-1, 1]$) discontinuity ($O(1/N)$ error as in Fourier) but not from nonperiodic values at ± 1

(3)

- A number of other orthogonal polynomials are also possible ... e.g. Legendre on $[-1, 1]$

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k L_k(x), \quad \hat{u}_k = (k+1/2) \int_{-1}^1 u(x) L_k(x) dx$$

- Both (Chebyshev, Legendre, others) are eigenfunctions of the "Sturm-Liouville Problem" (eigenvalue) with certain coefficient forms ... all have spectral accuracy for expansions of infinitely smooth functions
- Can generalize to any orthogonal basis

$$\phi_k(x) \Rightarrow \langle \phi_k \phi_\ell \rangle = 0 \quad k \neq \ell$$

$$\text{then } u(x) = \sum_k \hat{u}_k \phi_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \phi_\ell \rangle &= \sum_k \hat{u}_k \langle \phi_k \phi_\ell \rangle \\ &= \hat{u}_\ell \langle \phi_\ell \phi_\ell \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \hat{u}_\ell &= \frac{\langle u \phi_\ell \rangle}{\langle \phi_\ell \phi_\ell \rangle} \\ u(x) &= \sum_k \hat{u}_k \phi_k(x) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_\ell &= \frac{\langle u \phi_\ell \rangle}{\langle \phi_\ell \phi_\ell \rangle} \\ u(x) &= \sum_k \hat{u}_k \phi_k(x) \end{aligned}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Transform} \\ \text{Pair} \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } \langle u \phi_\ell \rangle = \int_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{domain of} \\ \text{Sturm-Liouville Problem}}} u \phi_\ell \omega dx \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{determined from} \\ \text{Sturm-Liouville} \end{array}$$

- On computer, interested in Discrete Polynomial Transform:

$\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x) \dots \phi_N(x)$ an orthogonal set
 Constant linear $\sim x^N$

Interpolating polynomial is formed such that

$$I_N u(x_j) = u(x_j) \quad j=0, 1, \dots, N \quad (N+1 \text{ pts})$$

$$I_N u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{u}_k \phi_k(x)$$

Discrete Polynomial Coefficients

$$\tilde{u}_k = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^N \phi_k^2(x_j) w_j} \right) \sum_{j=0}^N u(x_j) \phi_k(x_j) w_j$$

Normalizing Factor $\equiv \delta_k$

Inner Product (Discrete)

$$(u, v)_N \equiv \sum_{j=0}^N u_j v_j w_j$$

Discrete Polynomial Transform

$$\tilde{u}_k = \hat{u}_k + \frac{1}{\delta_k} \sum_{l>N} (\phi_l \phi_k)_N \hat{u}_l$$

Not "orthogonal"

aliasing error

But discrete inner product looks just like a quadrature formula involving $N+1$ Gauss pts

$$\text{i.e. } \langle uv \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^N u_j v_j w_j$$

exact provided uv is Polynomial degree $2N+1$

(Gauss Legendre pts)

$$\text{then } \sum_{j=0}^N \phi_k^2(x_j) w_j \approx \langle \phi_k^2(x) \rangle$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^N u(x_j) \phi_k(x_j) w_j \approx \langle u \phi_k \rangle$$

$$\text{so } \tilde{u}_k \approx \frac{\langle u \phi_k \rangle}{\langle \phi_k \phi_k \rangle} \equiv \hat{u}_k$$

Discrete Polynomial coefficients are an approximate version of the continuous polynomial coefficients when the x_j 's are chosen as the Gauss pts on $[-1, 1]$... i.e. correspond to coefficients obtained under numerical approximation to the continuum integrals.

⑥

Now the usual Gauss points (Gauss-Legendre) never hit ± 1 , the endpoints of the orthogonality interval...

But $I_N u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{u}_k \phi_k(x)$ is also the interpolating polynomial for the approximate solution and we want this to match the boundary conditions imposed at one or both of the endpoints (i.e. ± 1)

$$I_N u(\pm 1) = u(\pm 1) = \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{u}_k \phi_k(\pm 1)$$

\therefore Need to find alternate quadrature formulas that include the endpoints!

- each constraint reduces the polynomial order exactly integrated

"Gauss-Radau" $-1 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N$ are $N+1$ roots of polynomial

$$q(x) = P_{N+1}(x) + a P_N(x)$$

$$\text{where } q(-1) = 0 \Rightarrow a = -\frac{P_{N+1}(-1)}{P_N(-1)}$$

and weights w_0, w_1, \dots, w_N are the solution ⑦
of the linear system

$$\sum_{j=0}^N (x_j)^k w_j = \int_{-1}^1 x^k w(x) dx \quad 0 \leq k \leq N$$

then $\sum_{j=0}^N P(x_j) w_j = \int_{-1}^1 P(x) w(x) dx$ for
 $P(x)$ a polynomial of degree $2N$ or less

"Gauss-Lobatto" Integration

$-1 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N = 1$ are the $N+1$ roots of

$$q(x) = P_{N+1}(x) + aP_N(x) + bP_{N-1}(x)$$

where a and b are chosen such that $q(-1) = q(1) = 0$

and w_0, w_1, \dots, w_N is the solution of linear system

$$\sum_{j=0}^N x_j^k w_j = \int_{-1}^1 x^k w(x) dx \quad 0 \leq k \leq N$$

then $\sum_{j=0}^N P(x_j) w_j = \int_{-1}^1 P(x) w(x) dx$ for

$P(x)$ a polynomial of degree $2N-1$

(8)

Example:

Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto:

$$x_j = \cos \frac{\pi j}{N} \quad w_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2N} & j=0, N \\ \frac{\pi}{N} & 1 \leq j \leq N-1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \tilde{u}_k = \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \sum_{j=0}^N u(x_j) \underbrace{T_k(x_j)}_{\cos(k \cos^{-1} x) = \cos \frac{k\pi j}{N}} w_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^N c_{kj} u_j$$

$$\text{where } c_{kj} = \frac{1}{\pi/2 c_k} \left(\frac{\pi}{N g_j} \right) \cos \frac{k\pi j}{N}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N c_k g_j} \cos \frac{k\pi j}{N} \quad \text{with } g_j = \begin{cases} 2 & j=0, N \\ 1 & 1 \leq j \leq N-1 \end{cases}$$