

Computational Physics: Project 3

Variational Monte Carlo project

Jannes Klee

Anja Bielefeld

November 20, 2014

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | The physical problem | 2 |
| 2.1 | Many-body problems | 3 |
| 3 | The method | 4 |
| 3.1 | Variational Monte Carlo method | 4 |
| 3.2 | Monte Carlo methods | 5 |
| 3.3 | Metropolis algorithm | 5 |
| 4 | Results and discussion | 6 |
| 5 | Conclusion | 7 |

1 Introduction

In this report we will be using natural units:

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar = c = e = m_e = 1 \\ \Rightarrow [E] = \text{a.u.},\end{aligned}$$

where \hbar is the Planck constants divided by 2π , c is the speed of light, e is the elementary charge and m_e is the electron mass. The unit of energy then becomes atomic units, denoted as a.u.

2 The physical problem

Quantum dots are nanoscopic crystals usually from semiconducting materials being of a size to exhibit quantum mechanical properties. In this report we will look at systems of electrons confined in a harmonic oscillator, which acts like a trap. These systems can be considered quantum dots. In order to study any system like that, we have to look at the Hamiltonian, which consists of two parts:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1, \quad (1)$$

where \hat{H}_0 describes the standard harmonic oscillator and \hat{H}_1 the repulsive term between the charged particles (in this case electrons). We can then write

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 r_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \quad (2)$$

The quantity N denotes the number of charged particles, ω is the oscillator frequency, r_i is the position of particle i given by $r_i = \sqrt{r_{ix}^2 + r_{iy}^2}$ and the distance between two particles is referred to as $r_{ij} = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j}$. From quantum mechanics we know, that the wave function corresponding to this Hamiltonian for one electron in two dimensions (x, y) is

$$\phi_{n_x, n_y}(x, y) = A H_{n_x}(\sqrt{\omega}x) H_{n_y}(\sqrt{\omega}y) \exp \left[-\frac{\omega}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \right]. \quad (3)$$

In this equation the Hermite polynomials $H_{n_x}(\sqrt{\omega}x)$ appear as well as the constant A , which is there because of the normalization.

To get the energy E , we have to consider the Eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{H}\phi_\lambda = E\phi_\lambda, \quad (4)$$

which leads to the energy

$$E_{n_x, n_y} = \omega(n_x + n_y + 1) \quad (5)$$

Looking at the lowest energy state we have $E_{(1)} = \omega$.

Advancing now to the case of two electrons who do not repel each other we have two independent Hamiltonians, one for each electron, and as a result two independent eigenvalue problems as in equation 4. This leads to the same energy as before, but this time the energy must be taken into account twice. So we get:

$$E_{(2)} = E_{(1)} + E_{(1)} = 2E_{(1)}. \quad (6)$$

The corresponding wave function is then given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = C \exp \left[-\frac{\omega}{2}(r_1^2 + r_2^2) \right]. \quad (7)$$

As in equation 3 C is the normalization constant.

Since the particles we are considering are fermions, we must regard the spin as well. The overall spin must be zero, because according to the Pauli principle two fermions cannot have the same quantum numbers. The electrons we are looking at are having exactly the same energy of $E = \omega$, so their only way of obeying the principle is to have different spins. There are two possible states:

$$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \text{ and } |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \quad (8)$$

Hence one of the electrons has spin $1/2$ and the other one has spin $-1/2$. Despite this they are indistinguishable, so both states are possible.

Regarding the wave function there we will now make an Ansatz for simplifying the wave function ψ :

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \sigma_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \sigma_2) = R(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \quad (9)$$

with

$$R(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \varphi_{n_{x_1} n_{y_1}}(x_1, y_1) \varphi_{n_{x_2} n_{y_2}}(x_2, y_2) \quad (10)$$

In this Ansatz $R(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the part of the wave function depending on the positions and $X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is the spin-depending part, the matrices σ_1 and σ_2 are the spin matrices for particle 1 and 2.

According to this Ansatz we can focus on the position-based part of the wave function for the following analysis.

2.1 Many-body problems

Furthermore we are going to focus on many-body problem by considering up to six electrons. In this case, the wave function can be written as

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_6) = \det(\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1), \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_2), \dots, \phi_6(\mathbf{r}_6)) \prod_{i < j}^6 \exp \left[\frac{a r_{ij}}{(1 + \beta r_{ij})} \right], \quad (11)$$

where again the $\phi_i(r_i)$ refer to single particle wave functions as in equation 3.

The determinant is also known to be called Slater determinant. Although it is the determinant of a 6×6 -matrix, it can be reexpressed in terms of 3×3 -determinants according to Cramer's rule.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(r_1) & \phi_1(r_2) & \cdots & \phi_1(r_6) \\ \phi_2(r_1) & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_6(r_1) & \cdots & \cdots & \phi_6(r_6) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(r_1) & \phi_1(r_2) & \phi_1(r_3) \\ \phi_3(r_1) & \phi_3(r_2) & \phi_3(r_3) \\ \phi_5(r_1) & \phi_5(r_2) & \phi_5(r_3) \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(r_4) & \phi_2(r_5) & \phi_2(r_6) \\ \phi_4(r_4) & \phi_4(r_5) & \phi_4(r_6) \\ \phi_6(r_4) & \phi_6(r_5) & \phi_6(r_6) \end{vmatrix} \quad (12)$$

3 The method

As presented in the previous section the eigenvalue problem of two electrons in a harmonic oscillator without any interaction terms can be easily solved. Looking at electrons in a quantum dot this calculation gains complexity. This is why we introduce the Variational Monte Carlo Method for estimating the electron states.

3.1 Variational Monte Carlo method

In the Variational principle, we take the eigenvalue problem from equation 4 and expand the wave function as following:

$$\varphi_0 = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} c_{0\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}, \quad (13)$$

where $c_{0\lambda}$ are coefficients.

In quantum mechanics the energy is the expectation value

$$E = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | \hat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle} \quad (14)$$

So when we apply the expansion to this, we get:

$$\frac{\langle \varphi_0 | \hat{H} | \varphi_0 \rangle}{\langle \varphi_0 | \varphi_0 \rangle} \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{0\alpha}^* c_{0\beta} \int d\tau \psi_{\alpha}^*(\tau) \hat{H} \psi_{\beta}(\tau)}{\sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{0\alpha}^* c_{0\beta} \int d\tau \psi_{\alpha}^*(\tau) \psi_{\beta}(\tau)} \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{\alpha} E_{\alpha} |c_{0\alpha}|^2}{\sum_{\alpha} |c_{0\alpha}|^2}, \quad (17)$$

because by construction $\langle \psi_{\alpha} | \psi_{\beta} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ for eigenfunctions $\psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\beta}$.

We have to consider two cases now:

- If the expansion φ_0 is not the eigenfunction ψ_0 we get the an energy

$$E_0 \leq \frac{\langle \varphi_0 | \hat{H} | \varphi_0 \rangle}{\langle \varphi_0 | \varphi_0 \rangle}. \quad (18)$$

- If the expansion φ_0 is exact the eigenfunction ψ_0 we get the exact energy

$$E_0 = \frac{\langle \varphi_0 | \hat{H} | \varphi_0 \rangle}{\langle \varphi_0 | \varphi_0 \rangle}. \quad (19)$$

In the second case the variance of the energy

$$\text{var}(E) = \langle H^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle^2 = 0. \quad (20)$$

As the expansion wave function φ_0 we use a trial wave function we will call $\psi_T(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \alpha, \beta)$, with α and β being the variational parameters. In this report the trial wave function for two electrons has the form:

$$\psi_T(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = C \exp \left[-\frac{\omega}{2} (r_1^2 + r_2^2) \right] \exp \left[\frac{a_{12} r_{12}}{(1 + \beta r_{12})} \right] \quad (21)$$

with

$$a_{12} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \uparrow\downarrow \\ 1/3, & \text{for } \uparrow\uparrow, \downarrow\downarrow \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

And

$$r_{12} = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2} \quad (23)$$

Then we will compute the expectation value $E(\alpha)$ and find its minimum or alternatively the minimum of its variance $\text{var}(E(\alpha))$...

3.2 Monte Carlo methods

3.3 Metropolis algorithm

4 Results and discussion

5 Conclusion