

Homework 8

Section 3.3

Jonathan Petersen
A01236750

March 16th, 2016

- 11.b. **State one reason why $g : E \rightarrow E$ where E is the ring of even integers and $g(x) = 3x$ is not a homomorphism.**

g does not preserve multiplication on E . For example,

$$2 * 4 = 8 \not\Rightarrow 6 * 12 = 18$$

- 11.c. **State one reason why $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h(x) = 2^x$ is not a homomorphism.**

h does not preserve the identity. The identity of \mathbb{R} is 1, but $h(1) = 2$. By extensions, this implies that h does not preserve multiplication, as the identity is the only element of a ring such that $a * i = a$ for some a in the ring and i as the ring's identity.

- 12.c. **Is $g : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, $g(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ a homomorphism?**

No. g does not preserve the identity of \mathbb{Q} . The identity of \mathbb{Q} is $i = \frac{1}{1}$, but $g(i) = \frac{1}{2}$.

- 12.d. **Is $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M(\mathbb{R})$, $h(a) = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ a homomorphism?**

No. Once more, h does not preserve the identity. The identity of $M(\mathbb{R})$ is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and the identity of \mathbb{R} is 1, but $h(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

- 13.a. **Prove that $f : R \times S \rightarrow R$ given by $f(r, s) = r$ is an epimorphism.**

To prove that f is an epimorphism, we must prove that f is both surjective and a homomorphism. As follows:

Claim: f is surjective

Let b be an arbitrary element of the range space R . To show that f is surjective, we must find some a in $R \times S$ such that $f(a, s) = b$ for all b . But

this is trivial, since we can simply let $a = b$, and we see that $f(b, s) = b$ for any value of b and s .

Claim: f is a homomorphism

To prove that f is a homomorphism, we must show that it preserves addition and multiplication. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} f((r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2)) &= f((r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)) \\ &= r_1 + r_2 \\ &= f((r_1, s_1)) + f((r_2, s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f((r_1, s_1) * (r_2, s_2)) &= f((r_1 r_2, s_1 s_2)) \\ &= r_1 r_2 \\ &= f((r_1, s_1)) * f((r_2, s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is an epimorphism ■

- 13.c. **If both R and S are nonzero rings, prove that the homomorphisms $f(r, s) = r$ and $g(r, s) = s$ are not injective.**

Let a, b be arbitrary nonzero elements in R and S respectively. It is clear that $f(a, 0_S) = a$ and $f(a, b) = a$, but that $0_S \neq b$. Therefore, f cannot be injective. By a similar logic, $g(0_R, b) = b$ and $g(a, b) = b$, but $0_R \neq a$, so g likewise cannot be injective ■

25. **Let L be the ring of all matrices in $M(\mathbb{Z})$ of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$.**

Show that the function $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}\right) = a$ is an epimorphism but not an isomorphism.

To prove that f is an epimorphism but not an isomorphism, we must prove that

- (a) f is a homomorphism.
- (b) f is surjective.
- (c) f is not injective.

Claim: f is a homomorphism

Again, we must show that f preserves addition and multiplication to show that it is a ring homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & 0 + 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 & c_1 + c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= a_1 + a_2 \\
&= f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}\right) + f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 + 0 b_2 & 0 a_1 + 0 c_2 \\ b_1 a_2 + c_1 b_2 & 0 b_1 + c_1 c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & 0 \\ b_1 a_2 + c_1 b_2 & c_1 c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= a_1 a_2 \\
&= f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}\right) * f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is a ring homomorphism.

Claim: f is surjective

Let x be an arbitrary element of \mathbb{Z} , and l be an arbitrary element of L . We can see that for any x , $f(l) = x$ if $a_l = x$. Therefore, f is surjective.

Claim: f is not injective

Using the above setup, we can see that there exist many ways for $f(l) = x$, one for each distinct value of b_l and c_l . One such example are the matrices

$$l_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$l_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which are clearly distinct but have the same mapping. Therefore, f is not injective.

Thusly, it is clear that f is an epimorphism but not an isomorphism ■

31. **Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and T be a subring of S . Let $P = \{r \in R \mid f(r) \in T\}$. Prove that P is a subring of R .**

To prove that P is a subring of R , we must show that P is a subset of R and that P is closed under subtraction and multiplication. That is, we must show that

- (a) $P \subseteq R$
- (b) $p_1 - p_2 \in P$
- (c) $p_1 * p_2 \in P$

By definition, every element of P is an element of R , so the first condition is trivial. Let us examine the second condition. By the definition of P , $p = f(r)$ for a given value p , and $f(r)$ is in T , a subring of S . Because f is a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 - p_2 &= f(r_1) - f(r_2) \\ &= f(r_1 - r_2) \end{aligned}$$

We can see from the definition of P that p is surjective, or that for every element $t \in T$, there must be some p such that $p = f(r) = t$. Since T is a subring of S , we know it is closed under subtraction, and therefore

$$f(r_1) - f(r_2) = f(r_1 - r_2)$$

implies that $f(r_1 - r_2) \in T$.

Combining these two facts, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 - p_2 &= f(r_1 - r_2) & f(r_1 - r_2) &\in T \\ &= t \\ p_3 &= t \end{aligned}$$

or rather, that P is closed under subtraction.

Using similar proofs, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 * p_2 &= f(r_1) * f(r_2) \\ &= f(r_1 * r_2) \\ &= t_3 \\ p_3 &= t_3 \end{aligned}$$

or that P is closed under multiplication. Therefore, P has all of the necessary and sufficient qualities to be a subring of R ■