# Data augmentation in the general epidemic model

SISMID/July 13-15, 2016

Instructors: Kari Auranen, Elizabeth Halloran, Vladimir Minin


#### Outline

- ▶ The general epidemic model
  - A simple Susceptible–Infected–Removed (SIR) model of an outbreak of infection in a closed population
- Poisson likelihood for infection and removal rates
  - Complete data: both infection and removal times are observed
  - Under Gamma priors for the infection and removal rates, their full conditionals are also Gamma, so Gibbs updating steps can be used
- Incomplete data: only removal times are observed
  - Augment the unknown infection times
  - Additional Metropolis-Hastings steps for sampling infection times, requiring explicit computation of the complete data likelihood

#### The SIR model

- Consider a closed population of M individuals
- One introductory case (infective) introduces the infection into a population of initially susceptible individuals, starting an outbreak
- ▶ Once the outbreak has started, the hazard of infection for a still susceptible individual depends on the number of infectives in the population:  $(\beta/M)I(t)$
- If an individual becomes infected, the hazard of clearing infection (and stopping being infective) is  $\gamma$ , i.e., he/she remains infective for an exponentially distributed period of time. He/she then becomes *removed* and does not contribute to the outbreak any more
- ► There is no latency

# Transitions in the state space



#### The complete data


- Assume one introductory case whose infection takes place at time t = 0 (i.e. this fixes the time origin)
- For M individuals followed from time 0 until the end of the outbreak at time T (after which time the number of infectives I(t) = 0), the complete data record all event times
- ▶ This is equivalent to observing n-1 infection times and n removal times, and the fact the M-n individuals escaped infection throughout the outbreak

$$\overbrace{\{0 = \textit{i}_1 < \textit{i}_2 < \ldots < \textit{i}_n\}}^{\text{infection times}} \text{ and } \overbrace{\{\textit{r}_1 < \ldots < \textit{r}_{n-1} < \textit{r}_n = \textit{T}\}}^{\text{removal times}}$$

► N.B. Here, the i<sub>k</sub> and r<sub>k</sub> need not correspond to the same individual

## Counting infectives and susceptibles

- ▶ Denote the ordered event times  $i_1, ..., i_n$  and  $r_1, ..., r_n$  jointly as  $0 = u_1 < u_2 < ... < u_{2n} = T$
- ▶ Denote the indicators of time  $u_k$  being an infection or removal time by  $D_k$  and  $R_k$ , respectively
- ▶ Denote the number of infectives at time t by I(t)
  - ▶ it is a piecewise constant (left-continuous) function, assuming values in the set  $\{0, 1, ..., M\}$
  - it jumps at times  $u_2 < \ldots < u_{2n}$
- ▶ Denote the number of susceptibles at time t by S(t)
  - ▶ it is a piecewise constant (left-continuous) function, jumping at times  $i_2 < ... < i_n$
- ▶ Both I(t) and S(t) are determined by the complete data



#### The process of infections

- ▶ The model of new infections is a non-homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\beta I(t)S(t)/M$ 
  - the rate is a piecewise constant (left-continuous) function
  - it jumps at times  $u_2 < \ldots < u_{2n}$ , with levels  $\beta I(u_2)S(u_2)/M$ ,  $\beta I(u_3)S(u_3)/M$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $\beta I(u_{2n})S(u_{2n})/M$
- ► The probability density of the infection events is thus proportional to

$$\begin{split} \prod_{k=2}^{2n} \left[ \left( (\beta/M) I(u_k) S(u_k) \right)^{D_k} \exp^{-(\beta/M) I(u_k) S(u_k) (u_k - u_{k-1})} \right] \\ & \underbrace{-(\beta/M) \sum_{k=2}^{2n} I(u_k) S(u_k) (u_k - u_{k-1})}_{\text{total time for "infectious pressure"}} \\ & \propto \prod_{k=2}^{2n} \left( \beta I(u_k) S(u_k) \right)^{D_k} \times \exp \end{split}$$

### The process of removals

- ▶ The model of removals is a non-homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\gamma I(t)$ 
  - ▶ the rate is a piecewise constant (left-continuous) function
  - ▶ it jumps at times  $u_2 < ... < u_{2n}$ , with levels  $\gamma I(u_2), \gamma I(u_3), ..., \gamma I(u_{2n})$
- ► The probability density of the removal events is thus proportional to

$$\prod_{k=2}^{2n} \left[ (\gamma I(u_k))^{R_k} \exp^{-\gamma I(u_k)(u_k - u_{k-1})} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{k=2}^{2n} (\gamma I(u_k))^{R_k} \times \exp^{-\gamma I(u_k)(u_k - u_{k-1})}$$

#### The complete data likelihood

▶ The joint likelihood of parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , based on the complete data:

$$\underbrace{L(\beta, \gamma; \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{r})}_{L(\beta, \gamma; \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{r})} = \prod_{k=2}^{2n} (\beta I(u_k) S(u_k))^{D_k} \prod_{k=2}^{2n} (\gamma I(u_k))^{R_k}$$

$$\times \exp^{-\sum_{k=2}^{2n} ((\beta/M) I(u_k) S(u_k) + \gamma I(u_k))(u_k - u_{k-1})}$$

$$= \prod_{k=2}^{n} \{\beta I(i_k) S(i_k)\} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \{\gamma I(r_k)\}$$

$$\times \exp^{-\sum_{k=2}^{2n} ((\beta/M) I(u_k) S(u_k) + \gamma I(u_k))(u_k - u_{k-1})}$$

# Simplifying the notation

- Note that  $\sum_k I(u_k)S(u_k)(u_k-u_{k-1})=\int_0^T I(u)S(u)du$
- Similarly  $\sum_k I(u_k)(u_k u_{k-1}) = \int_0^T I(u) du$
- ▶ The likelihood function can thus be written as

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n} \{\beta I(i_k) S(i_k)\} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \{\gamma I(r_k)\}$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{T} \{(\beta/M) I(u) S(u) + \gamma I(u)\} du\right)$$

#### Poisson likelihood and Gamma priors

- $\blacktriangleright$  This above likelihood is the so called Poisson likelihood for parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$
- In particular, Gamma distributions can be used as conjugate priors for  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$
- It follows that the full conditional distributions of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are also Gamma and can be updated by Gibbs steps

## Gamma prior distributions

lacktriangle Rate parameters eta and  $\gamma$  are given independent Gamma priors

$$f(eta) \propto eta^{
u_eta-1} \exp(-\lambda_eta eta)$$
  
 $f(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{
u_\gamma-1} \exp(-\lambda_\gamma \gamma)$ 

► This allows easy updating of these parameters using Gibbs sampling (the next two pages)

## The full conditional of $\beta$

ightharpoonup Parameter eta can be updated through a Gibbs step

$$f(\beta|\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r},\gamma) \propto f(\beta,\gamma,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) \propto f(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}|\beta,\gamma)f(\beta)$$

$$\propto \beta^{n-1} \exp\left(-(\beta/M) \int_0^T I(u)S(u)du\right) \beta^{\nu_{\beta}-1} \exp(-\lambda_{\beta}\beta)$$

▶ This means that

$$eta|(oldsymbol{i},oldsymbol{r},\gamma)\sim\Gamma\left(n-1+
u_eta,(1/M)\int_0^TI(u)S(u)du+\lambda_eta
ight)$$

# The full conditional of $\gamma$

ightharpoonup Parameter  $\gamma$  can be updated through a Gibbs step:

$$f(\gamma|\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r},\beta) \propto f(\beta,\gamma,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) \propto f(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}|\beta,\gamma)f(\gamma)$$

$$\propto \gamma^n \exp\left(-\gamma \int_0^T I(u) du\right) \gamma^{\nu_\gamma - 1} \exp(-\lambda_\gamma \gamma)$$

► This means that

$$\gamma|(oldsymbol{i},oldsymbol{r},eta)\sim\Gamma\left(oldsymbol{n}+
u_{\gamma},\int_{0}^{T}I(u)du+\lambda_{\gamma}
ight)$$



### Computation of the integral terms

▶ In practice, the integral terms can be calculated as follows:

total time spent infective

$$\int_0^T I(u)du = \sum_{k=1}^n (r_k - i_k)$$

total time for "infectious pressure"

$$\int_0^T I(u)S(u)du = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^M (\min(r_k, i_j) - \min(i_k, i_j))$$

where  $i_j = \infty$  for j > n, i.e., for those never infected

► These expressions are invariant to choice of which r<sub>k</sub> corresponds to which i<sub>k</sub>

#### Incomplete data

- Assume that only the removal times  $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,\ldots,r_n)$  have been observed
- Augment the set of unknowns ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) with infection times  $\mathbf{i} = (i_2, \dots, i_n)$
- ▶ The aim is to do statistical inference about rates  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  (and times i), based on their posterior distribution  $f(\beta, \gamma, i|r)$
- The posterior distribution is proportional to the joint distribution of all model quantities:

$$f(\beta, \gamma, \boldsymbol{i} | \boldsymbol{r}) \propto f(\beta, \gamma, \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \overbrace{f(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{r} | \beta, \gamma)}^{\text{complete data likelihood}} \overbrace{f(\beta) f(\gamma)}^{\text{prior}},$$

## Updating infection times

- ightharpoonup The full conditional distributions of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are as above
- ► The unknown infection times require a Metropolis—Hastings step, including explicit evaluations of the Poisson likelihood
- If the current iterate of  $i_k$  is  $i_k^{(j)}$ , a new value  $\tilde{i}_k$  is first proposed (e.g.) from a uniform distribution on [0, T]
- ▶ The proposal is then accepted, i.e.,  $i_k^{(j+1)} := \tilde{i}$ , with probability

$$\min\{1, \frac{f(\tilde{\pmb{i}}, \pmb{r}|\beta, \gamma)}{f(\pmb{i}, \pmb{r}|\beta, \gamma)}\}$$

lacktriangle Here  $\tilde{i}$  is i except for the kth entry which is  $\tilde{i}_k$  (instead of  $i_k^{(j)}$ )

### Augmenting individual histories

- ► The likelihood above was constructed for the aggregate processes, i.e., to count the total numbers of susceptibles and infectives
- ► In such case, the corresponding augmentation model must not consider individuals
  - ▶ In particular, times *i*<sub>2</sub>,..., *i*<sub>n</sub> must not be tied to particular removal times, i.e., individual event histories must not be reconstructed
- If one considers individual event histories as pairs of times  $(i_k, r_k)$  for individuals  $k = 1, \ldots, M$ , the appropriate complete data likelihood is (cf. above)

$$\gamma^n \prod_{k=2}^n \{\beta I(i_k)\} \exp\left(-\int_0^T (\gamma I(u) + (\beta/M)I(u)S(u))du\right)$$

#### Example: a smallpox outbreak

- The Abakaliki smallpox outbreak
  - A village of M = 120 inhabitants
  - ▶ One introductory case
  - ▶ 29 subsequent cases; this means that n = 1 + 29 = 30
- The observations are given as time intervals between detection of cases (removals) (0 means that symptoms occurred at the same day):

$$13, 7, 2, 3, 0, 0, 1, 4, 5, 3, 2, 0, 2, 0, 5, 3, 1, 4, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 5, 0, 5, 5$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  The problem: to estimate rates  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  from these outbreak data
- See the computer class exercise

#### References

- [1] O'Neill Ph. and Roberts G. Bayesian inference for partially observed stochastic processes. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A, 1999; 162: 121–129.
- [2] O'Neill Ph. A tutorial introduction to Bayesian inference for stochastic epidemic models using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. Mathematical Biosciences 2002; 180:103-114.
- [3] Becker N. Analysis of infectious disease data. Chapman and Hall, New York 1989.
- [4] Andersen et al. Statistical models based on counting processes. Springer Verlag, New York, 1993.