

Probabilistic Logic Programs

Dealing with uncertainty by Probabilistic Reasoning.

1. Introduction

Up to now, we discussed only about logic formula, that can be either true or false. However, sometimes, we do not have the ability to define their truth values; we have to take in account also the **probabilities** that an event occurs.

Prolog is a powerful programming language that allows us to represent and reason upon some given knowledge. *Why don't we expand Prolog to include probabilities as well?*

This is the basic idea about Probabilistic Logic Programming: since the notion of meta-interpreter, we can design a totally new Prolog machine that allows us to include also probabilities inside the reasoning schema.

There are already two systems that follow this approach:

- **ProbLog**.
- **LPAD** (Logic Programs with Annotated Disjunctions).

2. Probabilistic Logic Programming

A probabilistic logic program defines a **probability distribution** over normal logic programs, and each of them is a part of the distribution, named **possible world** or simply **world**.

In LPAD the clauses have a different structure. The **head** is extended with disjunctions and each **disjunct** is annotated with a probability (the head of the clause is the probability distribution of random variable).

Example

Assuming these sample points:

- *people with flu also sneeze in 70% of the cases.*
- *people with hay fever also sneeze in 80% of the cases.*

The respective snippet of code is:

```
sneezing(X): 0.7 ; null: 0.3 :- flu(X).  
sneezing(X): 0.8 ; null: 0.2 :- hay_fever(X).
```

For each case we have an associated probability. But, *what happens if we get both? Which is the probability?* We add to the knowledge base other informations, such as:

- *people with flu also sneeze in 70% of the cases.*
- *people with hay fever also sneeze in 80% of the cases.*
- Bob has flu.
- Bob has hay fever.

Again, the snippet becomes:

```
sneezing(X): 0.7 ; null: 0.3 :- flu(X).
sneezing(X): 0.8 ; null: 0.2 :- hay_fever(X).
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

Let's assume a Closed World Assumption, everything we know is already stated in the database program. We can obtain the *possible worlds* (normal logic programs that determine the probability distribution) by selecting an atom from the head of the clause (the clause must be already matched). The steps to follow are:

1. Ground the free variables with constants.
2. Select an atom from the head of each clause.
3. The total number of possible worlds is equal to 2^N (N is the amount of clauses stated).

Matching all the free variables with constants:

```
sneezing(bob) :- flu(bob).
sneezing(bob) :- hay_fever(bob).
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

Defining all the possible worlds:

1st

```
null :- flu(bob).
sneezing(bob) :- hay_fever(bob).
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

2nd

```
sneezing(bob) :- flu(bob).
null :- hay_fever(bob).
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

3rd

```
null :- flu(bob).
sneezing(bob) :- hay_fever(bob).
```

```
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

4th

```
null :- flu(bob).
null :- hay_fever(bob).
flu(bob).
hay_fever(bob).
```

Finally, we got more simple rules moving out the probabilities. However, we can infer the probability of each possible world, combining together the original ones:

- 1st $P(w_1) = 0.7 \times 0.8$.
- 2nd $P(w_2) = 0.7 \times 0.2$.
- 3rd $P(w_3) = 0.3 \times 0.8$.
- 4th $P(w_4) = 0.3 \times 0.2$.

These results are obtained by more formal steps, divided into:

- **Atomic Choice.** Selecting an atom from the head of the clause:

$$(C, \theta, i)$$

where:

- C is the clause.
- θ is the substitution of the free variables.
- i is the index of the atom selected.
- **Compositive Choice.** The **set** k of atomic choices done, its probability is computed by:

$$P(k) = \prod_{(C, \theta, i)} P(C, i).$$

- **Selection** σ . A **total** composite choice, we have chosen an atomic choice for each inner clause. This selection generates a possible world (a normal logic program part of the probability distribution). Its probability is given by the following formula:

$$P(w_\sigma) = P(\sigma) = \prod_{(C, \theta, i) \in \sigma} P(C, i).$$

In general, given a query Q and a world w :

$$P(Q|w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Q \text{ is true in } w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Given the query `sneezing(bob)`, we deduce that it's true in the first, second and third possible world. The probability of `sneezing(bob)` is equal to the sum of the possible worlds probabilities in which `sneezing(bob)` is true.

$$P(\text{sneezing}(\text{bob})) = 0.56 + 0.21 + 0.14 = 0.93$$
