



**ÇANKAYA UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT**

**Test Plan, Test Design Specifications and Test Cases**  
Version 1

**CENG 408**

Innovative System Design and Development II

**BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA**

**Ali Emrecañ Selvili 202111031**  
**Ahmet Selçuk Özdil 202011040**  
**Ege Beçin 202011067**  
**Yusuf Tuna Ünler 202011077**  
**Mehmet Efe Kaya 202111024**

Advisor: **Prof. Dr. Hayri SEVER**

# BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA

## Table of Contents

### İçindekiler Tablosu

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Version Control.....	1
1.2 Overview.....	1
1.3 Scope .....	1
1.4 Terminology .....	1
<b>2. FEATURES TO BE TESTED .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Prompt Understanding (PU).....	2
2.2 Knowledge Retrieval (KR).....	2
2.3 Response Generation (RG).....	2
2.4 Source Reference Inclusion (SR).....	2
2.5 Session Context Management (SCM) .....	2
<b>3. FEATURES NOT TO BE TESTED .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4. ITEM PASS/FAIL CRITERIA .....</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1 Exit Criteria .....	3
<b>5. REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6. TEST DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1 Prompt Understanding (PU).....	4
6.1.1 Subfeatures to be tested .....	4
6.1.2 Test Cases .....	4
6.2 Knowledge Retrieval (KR).....	4
6.2.1 Subfeatures to be tested .....	4
6.2.2 Test Cases .....	5
6.3 Response Generation (RG).....	5
6.3.1 Subfeatures to be tested .....	5
6.3.2 Test Cases .....	5
6.4 Source Reference Inclusion (SR).....	6
6.4.1 Subfeatures to be tested .....	6
6.4.2 Test Cases .....	6
6.5 Session Context Management (SCM) .....	6
6.5.1 Subfeatures to be tested .....	6
6.5.2 Test Cases .....	6
<b>7. Detailed Test Cases .....</b>	<b>7</b>
7.1 PU.INT.01 — Understand Direct Prompt with Clear Intent .....	7
7.1 PU.INT.02 — Handle Vague or Abstract Prompt .....	7
7.2 PU.ENT.01 — Entity Recognition with Multiple Concepts .....	7
7.3 KR.HDR.01 — Match Prompt to HTML Heading.....	8
7.4 KR.SEQ.01 — Sequential Scanning Retrieval .....	9
7.5 RG.CO.H.01 — Generate Coherent Response .....	9

# BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA

7.6	<i>RG.COMP.01 — Multi-Part Answer Completion .....</i>	<i>10</i>
7.7	<i>SR.INL.01 — Inline Reference in Response .....</i>	<i>10</i>
7.7	<i>SR.INL.02 — Inline Reference for Multiple Sections .....</i>	<i>11</i>
7.8	<i>SCM.FUP.01 — Handle Follow-Up Prompt .....</i>	<i>12</i>
7.9	<i>SCM.MUL.01 — Multi-Turn Conversation with Context .....</i>	<i>13</i>
<b>8.</b>	<b>Test Results .....</b>	<b>14</b>
8.1	<i>Test Result Table .....</i>	<i>14</i>
8.2	<i>Exit Criteria .....</i>	<i>14</i>
8.3	<i>Conclusion .....</i>	<i>15</i>

# BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Version Control

Version No	Description of Changes	Date
1.0	Initial version of the test plan.	March 24, 2025

### 1.2 Overview

This document outlines the testing strategy for the AI-powered chatbot developed using the OpenAI Assistant model. The chatbot is designed to respond to user prompts by referencing a set of HTML-based end-user documents, which serve as its primary knowledge base. The test plan aims to ensure that the chatbot accurately retrieves and presents information from this knowledge base in a coherent and contextually appropriate manner.

### 1.3 Scope

This test plan applies to all components of the chatbot that interact with the knowledge base [1], including:

- Prompt understanding
- Knowledge base document parsing
- Response generation
- Context retention within a session
- Response traceability to HTML content

It includes both manual and automated test cases, test design specifications, and validation strategies to ensure the chatbot performs correctly under various scenarios.

### 1.4 Terminology

Acronym	Definition
KB	Knowledge Base
HTML	HyperText Markup Language
TC	Test Case
AI	Artificial Intelligence
NL	Natural Language

# **BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA**

## **2. FEATURES TO BE TESTED**

### **2.1 Prompt Understanding (PU)**

The chatbot should be able to parse and understand the intent of user input using natural language processing (NLP) techniques.

### **2.2 Knowledge Retrieval (KR)**

The chatbot should extract relevant information from the uploaded HTML documents (knowledge base) based on the user's query.

### **2.3 Response Generation (RG)**

The chatbot should provide human-like, coherent, and accurate responses grounded in the knowledge base content.

### **2.4 Source Reference Inclusion (SR)**

The chatbot should reference specific sections of the knowledge base (e.g., using anchor tags or headings) to justify its response.

### **2.5 Session Context Management (SCM)**

The chatbot should maintain context within a session and provide follow-up answers based on previous interactions.

## **3. FEATURES NOT TO BE TESTED**

- External API integrations (not part of current implementation)
- User Login (not part of current implementation)
- Multilingual support (limited to Turkish for this phase)

## **4. ITEM PASS/FAIL CRITERIA**

A test passes if the chatbot provides an accurate, relevant, and traceable response based on the knowledge base content.

A test fails if:

- The chatbot gives irrelevant or hallucinated content
- No citation/reference to HTML document is included
- The prompt is misunderstood

# **BelsisMIS-Intelligent-Customer-Support-Assistant-BICSA**

## **4.1 Exit Criteria**

- 100% of the test cases executed
- At least 95% test case pass rate
- All high and medium priority test cases must pass

## **5. REFERENCES**

[1] Ali Emre Can Selvili, Ahmet Selçuk Özdi, Ege Beçin, Yusuf Tuna Üner, Mehmet Efe Kaya, *Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for Level-0 Support Chatbot for BelsisMIS*, Çankaya University, March 2025.

## 6. TEST DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS

### 6.1 Prompt Understanding (PU)

#### 6.1.1 Subfeatures to be tested

- **PU.INT** – Intent Detection: The chatbot correctly identifies the user’s goal or information need.
- **PU.ENT** – Entity Recognition: The chatbot detects and isolates important entities within the input prompt.

#### 6.1.2 Test Cases

Here list all the related test cases for this feature:

TC ID	Requirements	Priority	Scenario Description
PU.INT.01	3.1.4.1 – User Inquiry Resolution [1]	H	User asks a question directly based on a heading in the KB
PU.INT.02	3.1.4.1 – User Inquiry Resolution [1]	H	User asks an abstract or vague question to see if chatbot guesses intent
PU.ENT.01	3.1.4.3 – AI-Based Text Response [1]	M	User includes multiple entities; chatbot should resolve them correctly

### 6.2 Knowledge Retrieval (KR)

#### 6.2.1 Subfeatures to be tested

**KR.HDR** – Heading-Based Retrieval: Retrieve based on HTML headings

**KR.SEQ** – Sequential Scanning: Scan full document for matching content beyond headers.

### 6.2.2 Test Cases

Here list all the related test cases for this feature:

TC ID	Requirements	Priority	Scenario Description
KR.HDR.0 1	3.1.4.5 – Step-by-Step Guidance [1]	H	Ask a question that maps directly to an HTML heading
KR.SEQ.0 1	3.1.4.5 – Step-by-Step Guidance [1]	M	Ask a question that requires scanning paragraph text

## 6.3 Response Generation (RG)

### 6.3.1 Subfeatures to be tested

- **RG.COH** – Coherent Response: Response must be grammatically correct and readable.
- **RG.COMP** – Complete Answer: Covers all parts of a multi-part question.

### 6.3.2 Test Cases

Here list all the related test cases for this feature:

TC ID	Requirements	Priority	Scenario Description
RG.COH.0 1	3.1.4.3 – AI-Based Text Response [1]	H	Provide a prompt and evaluate the linguistic quality of the output
RG.COMP. 01	3.1.4.3 – AI-Based Text Response [1]	M	Ask a two-part question; chatbot should answer both parts clearly



## 6.4 Source Reference Inclusion (SR)

### 6.4.1 Subfeatures to be tested

- **SR.INL** – Inline References: Include direct reference (e.g., “As described under section 4.1”).

### 6.4.2 Test Cases

Here list all the related test cases for this feature:

TC ID	Requirements	Priority	Scenario Description
SR.INL.01	3.1.4.5 [1]	H	Answer includes inline mention of section or heading
SR.INL.02	3.1.4.5 [1]	M	Chatbot references two or more relevant HTML sections in a single response

## 6.5 Session Context Management (SCM)

### 6.5.1 Subfeatures to be tested

- **SCM.FUP** – Follow-Up Prompt Resolution: Chatbot retains context of previous message.
- **SCM.MUL** – Multi-turn Sessions: Handles 3+ interactions with consistent context.

### 6.5.2 Test Cases

Here list all the related test cases for this feature:

SCM.FUP.01	3.1.4.5 – Step-by-Step Guidance [1]	H	Ask follow-up question using pronouns or ellipsis
SCM.MUL.01	3.1.4.5 – Step-by-Step Guidance [1]	M	Conduct a 3-turn conversation and check for context retention

## 7. Detailed Test Cases

### 7.1 PU.INT.01 — Understand Direct Prompt with Clear Intent

<b>TC_ID</b>	PU.INT.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Test if the chatbot can understand a direct, clearly-intentioned question
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.1
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	Knowledge base must be loaded with HTML docs
<b>Setup</b>	Load an HTML file that contains a section titled "How to log in to the system"
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters the prompt: "How do I log in to the system?"
	[V01] Chatbot analyzes the input and matches it to the relevant HTML section
	[V02] Chatbot responds with accurate login steps
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

### 7.1 PU.INT.02 — Handle Vague or Abstract Prompt

<b>TC_ID</b>	PU.INT.02
<b>Purpose</b>	Test if chatbot can infer intent from vague input
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.1
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	4 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML content loaded with topic coverage across modules
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters the prompt: "I'm having trouble with reports."
	[V01] Chatbot infers the user is referring to "report generation"
	[V02] Chatbot provides appropriate guidance from KB
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

### 7.2 PU.ENT.01 — Entity Recognition with Multiple Concepts

<b>TC_ID</b>	PU.ENT.01
--------------	-----------

<b>Purpose</b>	Test whether the chatbot can resolve multiple entities
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.3
<b>Priority</b>	Medium.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	5 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	Load HTML doc with sections on "Password Reset" and "Support"
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "Can I reset my password and contact support?"
	[V01] Chatbot extracts both entities: password reset and support
	[V02] Chatbot provides responses for both topics
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

### 7.3 KR.HDR.01 — Match Prompt to HTML Heading

<b>TC_ID</b>	KR.HDR.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Test heading-based retrieval
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML contains <h2>How to upload a document</h2>
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "How can I upload documents?"
	[V01] Chatbot locates heading in KB
	[V02] Chatbot summarizes and responds based on that section
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.4 KR.SEQ.01 — Sequential Scanning Retrieval

<b>TC_ID</b>	KR.SEQ.02
<b>Purpose</b>	Test retrieval from paragraph-level, not heading-based
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	Medium.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML document contains embedded paragraph on export limits
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User asks: "Is there a size limit when exporting files?"
	[V01] Chatbot searches non-header content
	[V02] Responds with info found in paragraph text
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.5 RG.COH.01 — Generate Coherent Response

<b>TC_ID</b>	RG.COH.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Ensure chatbot's reply is grammatically correct and readable
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.3
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	2 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	Knowledge base loaded
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "What does the red button do?"
	[V01] Chatbot analyzes intent and locates related info
	[V02] Chatbot responds in full, coherent sentences
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.6 RG.COMP.01 — Multi-Part Answer Completion

<b>TC_ID</b>	RG.COH.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Ensure chatbot handles multi-part queries completely
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.3
<b>Priority</b>	Medium.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML has info on password reset and email change
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User asks: "How do I reset my password and change my email?"
	[V01] Chatbot parses both tasks
	[V02] Provides responses to both in one message
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.7 SR.INL.01 — Inline Reference in Response

<b>TC_ID</b>	SR.INL.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Validate inline reference to a section
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML contains section "Exporting Reports"
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "How can I export reports?"
	[V01] Chatbot finds relevant section
	[V02] Chatbot includes phrase like "As described in the 'Exporting Reports' section..."
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.7 SR.INL.02 — Inline Reference for Multiple Sections

<b>TC_ID</b>	SR.INL.02
<b>Purpose</b>	Reference two HTML sections in one response
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	Medium
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	3 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML contains "Data Export" and "Email Reports" sections
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "How can I export reports?"
	[V01] Chatbot finds relevant section
	[V02] Chatbot includes phrase like “As described in the 'Exporting Reports' section...”
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.8 SCM.FUP.01 — Handle Follow-Up Prompt

<b>TC_ID</b>	SCM.FUP.01
<b>Purpose</b>	Test context management with follow-up prompts
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	High.
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	4 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	HTML includes user profile and password management
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User enters: "How do I change my email?"
	[V01] Chatbot responds with correct steps
	[A02] User follows with: "What if I forgot my password?"
	[V02] Chatbot understands context and provides password reset steps
<b>Cleanup</b>	None

## 7.9 SCM.MUL.01 — Multi-Turn Conversation with Context

<b>TC_ID</b>	SCM.FUP.02
<b>Purpose</b>	Verify chatbot retains context for multiple exchanges
<b>Requirements</b>	3.1.4.5
<b>Priority</b>	Medium
<b>Estimated Time Needed</b>	4 Minutes
<b>Dependency</b>	None
<b>Setup</b>	Simulate 3-question user session
<b>Procedure</b>	[A01] User: "How do I create a report?"
	[V01] Chatbot replies
	[A02] User: "How can I export it?"
	[V02] Chatbot continues from same context
	[A03] User: "Can I email it too?"
	[V03] Chatbot delivers cohesive answer integrating all 3 prompts
<b>Cleanup</b>	None



## 8. Test Results

### 8.1 Test Result Table

TC_ID	Priority	Result	Explanation
PU.INT.01	High	Pass	The chatbot correctly identifies the user's goal or information need.
PU.INT.02	High	Pass	The chatbot correctly identifies the user's goal or information need.
PU.ENT.01	Medium	Pass	The chatbot detects and isolates important entities within the input prompt.
KR.HDR.01	High	Pass	Retrieve based on HTML headings
KR.SEQ.01	Medium	Pass	Scan full document for matching content beyond headers.
RG.COH.01	High	Pass	Response must be grammatically correct and readable.
RG.COMP.01	Medium	Pass	Covers all parts of a multi-part question.
SR.INL.01	High	Pass	Include direct reference (e.g., "As described under section 4.1").
SR.INL.02	Medium	Pass	Include direct reference (e.g., "As described under section 4.1").
SCM.FUP.01	High	Fail	Chatbot retains context of previous message.
SCM.MUL.01	Medium	Pass	Handles 3+ interactions with consistent context.

### 8.2 Exit Criteria

- All test cases executed.
- All essential functionalities pass testing
- 90% or more test cases passed.
- All high priority test cases passed.
- Essential functionalities pass without critical issues.

### **8.3 Conclusion**

After the comprehensive testing phase, our chatbot system has met the predefined success thresholds. All high priority test cases and the majority of medium priority cases have passed. Only one high-priority case (context memory) failed, which is already flagged for further development. Despite this, the chatbot fulfills core requirements and demonstrates acceptable performance in functional and response-related tasks. Therefore, the system can be considered ready for the next phase of development or deployment, with the exception of minor issues being tracked separately.