

Solution for HW7

Taper

November 30, 2016

Abstract

陈鸿翔 (11310075)

1 Prove

$$[x, p^n] = i\hbar p^{n-1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [x, p^n] &= p[x, p^{n-1}] + [x, p]p^{n-1} = p[x, p^{n-1}] + i\hbar p^{n-1} \\ &= p[x, p^{n-2}] + i\hbar p^{n-1} + i\hbar p^{n-1} \\ &\dots \\ &= ni\hbar p^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

$$[p, x^n] = -i\hbar x^{n-1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [p, x^n] &= x[p, x^{n-1}] + [p, x]x^{n-1} = x[p, x^{n-1}] - i\hbar x^{n-1} \\ &= x[p, x^{n-2}] - i\hbar x^{n-1} - i\hbar x^{n-1} \\ &\dots \\ &= -i\hbar x^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

$$[x, f(p)] = i\hbar \frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial p}$$

Proof. Any smooth function of p can be taylor-expanded into a series of the form $\sum_n c_n p^n$, since the commutator is linear and $[x, p^n] = i\hbar n p^{n-1}$, one see the result will be of the form $i\hbar \sum_n c_n n p^{n-1}$, which is exactly the expansion of the taylor expansion of $\frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial p}$. This observation concludes the proof. □

$$[p, g(x)] = -i\hbar \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same of the one above, if one do a transformation $x \rightarrow p$, $p \rightarrow x$, and $i \rightarrow -i$. \square

Problem 2

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ib \\ 0 & -ib & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.0.1)$$

The eigenvalues of A are obviously $a, -a, -a$. The eigenvalues of B is found by characteristic equations $\text{Det}(B - \lambda \mathbb{1}) = 0$ to be $\{-b, b, b\}$. So B 's spectrum is degenerate too.

It can be found that

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -iab \\ 0 & iab & 0 \end{pmatrix}, BA = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -iab \\ 0 & iab & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The eigenvectors of A are easy to guess, they are just the three natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

It is easy to find (by solving $BX = \lambda_i X$) B 's eigenvectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since both are degenerate, we can linearly combine the degenerate eigenvectors of A to form that of B , but since A 's eigenvectors are natural basis, and in the only non-degenerate case, the two matrix share the same eigenvector (notice also that those degenerate eigenvectors of B does not "mess up" with the non-degenerate eigenvector). So we do not have to do anything and those B 's eigenvectors will also diagonalize A . They are the required eigenvectors.