

Wigner theorem and Time reversal

Taper

January 25, 2017

Abstract

In this article, we use the Wigner theorem to argue the general behavior of a symmetry operator, based on which we will discuss how the time-reversal operator should act. And finally, we present the time-reversal operator's exact form in spins using results from representations of $SU(2)$.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Wigner's Theorem on Symmetry	2
3	Time reversal operator	4
4	Time reversal operator in system with spin j	5
5	Concluding Remark	6
6	License	6

1 Introduction

We note that quantum mechanical states live in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Therefore, a symmetry will transform the vectors in Hilbert space. But a quantum mechanical state $|\phi\rangle$ is distinguished from a vector $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, in that any nonzero multiple of it $\lambda\phi$ ($\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$), the states it represents is the same $|\phi\rangle = |\lambda\phi\rangle$. Even when we require that the vector should be unit, we still have a phase freedom $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$. Therefore, the action (i.e. representation) of a symmetry on \mathcal{H} is not so easily obtained. It should respect this freedom of λ .

To all reasonable symmetries on the Hilbertian space \mathcal{H} , there is a theorem discussed below. In a simple word the theorem says that any representation of a symmetry group on \mathcal{H} is either unitary or anti-unitary. The operator U obtained from representation is uniquely determined if we have fixed some $\phi' = U\phi$, where ϕ' is the vector after a symmetry operation has been performed on ϕ .

2 Wigner's Theorem on Symmetry

This theorem is proved on appendix D of [Ste95]. Here I will only present the theorem and explain how we may interpret and use it physically.

Quantum Mechanical State In quantum mechanics, we speak of a state as a vector ϕ and often ignore its length. But if we want to formulate quantum mechanics precisely we will need to specify a state precisely. Therefore we define a **quantum mechanical state** as a projection P onto a one-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} . This coincide with physical description because two vectors in \mathcal{H} represent the same state when they are in the same one-dimensional subspace. Therefore, we have one-to-one relationships between states, one-dimensional subspaces, and projections. Explicitly, in Dirac notation, $P = |p\rangle\langle p|$. Then we have,

$$\text{Tr}\{PQ\} = \sum_n \langle n|p\rangle \langle p|q\rangle \langle q|n\rangle = \langle p|q\rangle \sum_n \langle q|n\rangle \langle n|p\rangle = |\langle p|q\rangle|^2 \quad (2.0.1)$$

In this way, the **transition probability** of two states P, Q , will then be $\text{Tr}(PQ)$, and is denoted by $P \cdot Q$.

Quantum Mechanical Map A quantum mechanical map, T , is roughly speaking, a map that does not alter the physical feature of the system. It is the name that the book [Ste95] gave to symmetry transformations. In quantum mechanics, the only thing we can measure is of the form $|\langle p|q\rangle|^2$, i.e. the probabilities. Therefore, a quantum mechanical map is, more precisely, a map from the states of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to the states of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}' . such that for any two states P, Q , the transition probability $\text{Tr}\{PQ\}$ is unchanged:

$$(TP) \cdot (TQ) = P \cdot Q \quad (2.0.2)$$

Theorem 2.1 (Wigner's Theorem). *Given a quantum mechanical map T , there exists a map, U from vectors of \mathcal{H} to vectors of \mathcal{H}' , such that*

$$P_{U\phi} = TP_\phi \quad (2.0.3)$$

$$U(\xi + \eta) = U\xi + U\eta, \text{ for any } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2.0.4)$$

$$\langle U\xi, U\eta \rangle = \kappa(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) \quad (2.0.5)$$

where κ is a function that is either identity $\kappa(\lambda) = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, or is complex conjugate $\kappa(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Also,

$$U(\lambda\xi) = \kappa(\lambda)U\xi, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad (2.0.6)$$

Remark 2.1. When the quantum mechanical map T is bijective and onto, then the map U is unitary if $\kappa(\lambda) = \lambda$, or anti-unitary if $\kappa(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}$. For a symmetry T , this means that we can always find a way to represent it in the form of U , an unitary or anti-unitary operator.

But of course the theorem tells us nothing about how to construct this operator U . The proof of it in book [Ste95] did give a construction. Some of it is explained below.

Determine κ :

Assume we have three states P_1, P_2, P_3 , and so we have three vectors ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 of norm 1 in \mathcal{H} , for each state. Define a function:

$$\Delta(P_1, P_2, P_3) \equiv \langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle \langle \phi_2 | \phi_3 \rangle \langle \phi_3 | \phi_1 \rangle \quad (2.0.7)$$

This function is obviously independent of the choice of the unit vectors ϕ_i , i.e. it is independent of the phase of ϕ_i .

If $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, then κ is not determined. But there is really nothing interesting to be considered here.

If $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$, then κ is determined by the following equality:

$$\kappa(\Delta(P_1, P_2, P_3)) = \kappa(\langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle \langle \phi_2 | \phi_3 \rangle \langle \phi_3 | \phi_1 \rangle) \quad (2.0.8)$$

$$= \langle U\phi_1 | U\phi_2 \rangle \langle U\phi_2 | U\phi_3 \rangle \langle U\phi_3 | U\phi_1 \rangle \quad (2.0.9)$$

where the second line is determined by the action of T .

Note that we can pick P_1, P_2, P_3 such that $\Delta(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ is not real. For example, when $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2$, then $\Delta(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ can be made into the form $(\sum_{i=1}^2 x_i^* y_i)(\sum_{i=1}^2 y_i^* z_i)(\sum_{i=1}^2 z_i^* x_i)$. It is simple to make this not real. In general, we choose two orthogonal unit vectors ϕ, ψ (since $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$), and let $\phi_1 = \phi$, $\phi_2 = (\phi - \psi)/\sqrt{2}$, $\phi_3 = (\phi + (1-i)\psi)/\sqrt{3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(P_1, P_2, P_3) &= \langle \phi | \frac{\phi - \psi}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle \langle \frac{\phi - \psi}{\sqrt{2}} | \frac{\phi + (1-i)\psi}{\sqrt{3}} \rangle \langle \frac{\phi + (1-i)\psi}{\sqrt{3}} | \phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 - 1 + i) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{i}{6} \end{aligned}$$

Determine U :

Initially, U has a phase freedom, i.e., for the same map T , U is only determined up to multiplication of a complex scalar of norm 1. This can be seen from equation 2.0.5. But we can fix it by choosing a gauge.

Given any unit vector $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, and any unit vector $\phi' \in \mathcal{H}$ with

$$P_{\phi'} = TP_{\phi} \quad (2.0.10)$$

we can always choose U such that

$$U\phi = \phi' \quad (2.0.11)$$

And having made such a choice, U will be completely determined.

However, the method given in the proof (in [Ste95]) is in most cases useless. It proceeds in the mathematician's aspect that we can readily say how the map T acts on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . But in reality, the method is too mathematical to be useful. The important thing we can get from above discussion is that U can be uniquely found when we fixed a gauge. Then, we usually fixed a basis, and argue physically how the $U\phi$ will be. Below is an example.

3 Time reversal operator

To get the time reversal operator, we can first look at the following equality (let T denotes the time reversal operator):

$$\left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}\delta t\right) T |\alpha\rangle = T \left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}(-\delta t)\right) |\alpha\rangle \quad (3.0.12)$$

This follows from the following picture: the time evolution of a time reversed state is equivalent to the original state at an earlier time. Recall that $\left(1 - \frac{iH}{\hbar}\delta t\right)$ produces an infinitesimal time translation.

From equality 3.0.12, one finds:

$$-iHT = TiH \quad (3.0.13)$$

Wigner's theorem shows that T could either unitary or anti-unitary. Now we show that T **must be anti-linear**, hence anti-unitary. Because if one assumes that T is linear, then we have

$$TH = -HT$$

Then one will find that the energy spectrum for time reversal symmetric system will always has symmetric energy spectrum (i.e. with both positive and negative energy), which is not true.

So we have:

- T is anti-unitary
- $TH = HT$ for a time reversal symmetric state.

Now we write $T = UK$, where K is the complex conjugation operator that acts only on coefficient and have $K\lambda|\phi\rangle = \bar{\lambda}|\phi\rangle$. Be careful that it is highly dependent on the basis chosen. So U will depend on the basis too. To get T in a basis $|e_i\rangle$, obviously we only need to consider the result of $U|e_i\rangle$. This however, is hard to determine. However we can argue that in case when the basis has some classical connection, then by the Correspondence Principle we have, for example:

$$T\hat{p}T^{-1} = -\hat{p} \quad (3.0.14)$$

$$T\hat{x}T^{-1} = \hat{x} \quad (3.0.15)$$

$$T\mathbf{J}T^{-1} = -\mathbf{J} \quad (3.0.16)$$

with these one can easily find:

$$T|p\rangle = |-p\rangle \quad (3.0.17)$$

$$T|x\rangle = |x\rangle \quad (3.0.18)$$

$$[x_i, p_j]T|\rangle = i\hbar\delta_{ij}T|\rangle \quad (3.0.19)$$

where $|\rangle$ stands for any ket. Then clearly, T is just complex conjugation for wave function $\Psi(x)$. But T acts on $\Psi(p)$ will produces $\Psi^*(-p)$. The case for eigenstate of spin is a little complicated, which will be discussed in next section.

4 Time reversal operator in system with spin j

Now label a spin state $|j, m\rangle$, where j is the spin quantum number, and m is the secondary spin quantum number, where $m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j$. Since the commutation relation of Pauli matrices σ are the same as the spin operator J , we postulate that representations of $SU(2)$ acts on spin produces rotations in spins. Also, physically we require that a time-reversal is equal to a π rotation around y axis. Therefore, we have

$$T = \eta D(\mathbf{e}_2, \pi) K \quad (4.0.20)$$

where η accounts for the undetermined phase of T , D is some representation of $SU(2)$ and $D(\mathbf{e}_2, \pi)$ is the operator of rotation by π in the y axis. K accounts for the complex conjugation.

If the spin is j , when we have, by representation theory of $SU(2)$:

$$T_{\nu\mu} = \eta d_{\nu\mu}^j K \quad (4.0.21)$$

where $d_{\nu\mu}^j(\omega) \equiv D_{\nu\mu}^j(\mathbf{e}_2, \omega)$.

Using this we can prove a very useful formula:

Theorem 4.1.

$$T^2 = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j \text{ is a half-integer} \\ 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is an integer} \end{cases} \quad (4.0.22)$$

Proof. Using group representation theory, this is almost straightforward to prove. Notice that rotation among y axis is determined by the matrix $d_{\nu\mu}^j(\omega) \equiv D_{\nu\mu}^j(\mathbf{e}_2, \omega)$. This matrix is real and orthogonal. So

$$T^2 = \eta D(\mathbf{e}_2, \pi) K \eta D(\mathbf{e}_2, \pi) K = \eta \eta^* d^j(2\pi) K^2 = d^j(2\pi)$$

Note also that $d_{\nu\mu}^j(2\pi) = (-1)^{2j} \delta_{\nu\mu}$. Therefore, when j is a half integer, $T^2 = -1$, and when j is an integer, $T^2 = 1$. \square

This theorem has a important consequence, as will be shown below:

Theorem 4.2 (Kramer's theorem). *For a system with half-integer j spin, the degree of degeneracy for every eigenstate is at least two.*

Proof. Let $|n\rangle$ be an energy eigenstate. If the system is time reversal symmetric, i.e. $[H, T] = 0$, then $T|n\rangle$ is another energy eigenstate with the same energy. Supposing there is no degeneracy, then we have

$$|n\rangle = e^{i\eta} T |n\rangle$$

for some arbitrary $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Apply T on both sides, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\eta} T^2 |n\rangle &= \pm e^{-i\eta} |n\rangle \\ &= T |n\rangle = e^{-i\eta} |n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Clearly this is a contradiction unless $T^2 = 1$. But we have shown that $T^2 = -1$ for half-integer spin. Therefore,

$$|n\rangle \neq e^{i\eta} T |n\rangle$$

\square

5 Concluding Remark

The proof above is amazingly short, embodying the amazingly powerful application of group representation theory in quantum mechanics. However, it took some trial and effort to establish the Wigner theorem and the irreducible representations of $SU(2)$. Hope we can learn more about group representation in the future.

References

- [Ma07] Z. Ma. *Group Theory for Physicists*. World Scientific, 2007.
- [Ste95] S. Sternberg. *Group Theory and Physics*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

6 License

The entire content of this work (including the source code for TeX files and the generated PDF documents) by Hongxiang Chen (nicknamed we.taper, or just Taper) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. Permissions beyond the scope of this license may be available at `mailto:we.taper[at]gmail[dot]com`.