

## EQUALIZER AND COEQUALIZER

ABSTRACT. In this short article basic properties of equalizers and their dual concept of coequalizers are being explored. In particular, equalizers and coequalizers in **Set** are characterized. In the last part it is shown that subspace topology constructions correspond to equalizers in **Top**, whereas, dually, quotient topology constructions correspond to coequalizers.

Equalizers (Coequalizers) are limits (colimits) over diagrams

$$\bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \bullet$$

with two objects and two parallel arrows.

An equalizer of  $X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} Y$  is therefore a pair  $(A, \iota)$ , consisting of an object  $A$  and a morphism  $A \xrightarrow{\iota} X$ , such that

- (1)  $f \circ \iota = g \circ \iota$
- (2) and for every other object  $T$  with a morphism  $T \xrightarrow{t} X$ , such that  $f \circ t = g \circ t$ , there exists a unique morphism  $T \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} & Y \\ \uparrow & & \nearrow t & & \\ T & & & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

**Note** that a morphism  $A \rightarrow Y$  need not be specified since it is already uniquely determined by the demand of commutativity of the following cone:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \\ X & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} & Y \end{array}$$

In the same way the requirement, that  $f \circ \iota = g \circ \iota$ , also follows directly from the limit property of  $(A, \iota)$ .

A coequalizer of  $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} Y$  is then a pair  $(B, \pi)$ , consisting of an object  $B$  and a morphism  $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ , such that

- (1)  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ g$
- (2) and for every other object  $T$  with a morphism  $Y \xrightarrow{t} T$ , such that  $t \circ f = t \circ g$ , there exists a unique morphism  $B \rightarrow T$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} & Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B \\ & & & \searrow t & \vdots \\ & & & & T \end{array}$$

commutes.

Per definition the notion of equalizer is *dual* to the notion of coequalizer. From abstract nonsense we also know that any two equalizers resp. coequalizers are uniquely isomorphic.

### Equalizers and Coequalizers in Set

Given  $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} Y$  in **Set** we can easily form the equalizer of this diagram as  $(A, \iota)$ , where

$$A = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$$

and  $\iota : A \hookrightarrow X$  is the natural inclusion map from  $A$  into  $X$ .

Indeed, by definition  $f \circ \iota = g \circ \iota$  holds, and given another set  $T$ , such that  $f \circ t = g \circ t$ , we conclude that  $t(x) \in A \subset X$  (since  $f(t(x)) = g(t(x))$ !) and have the unique map  $\phi : T \rightarrow A, x \mapsto t(x)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T & & \\ & & \downarrow \phi & \searrow t & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X & \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

Dually, we can form the coequalizer of  $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} Y$  as  $(B, \pi)$ , where  $B = Y/\sim$  and  $\pi$  is the canonical projection map

$$Y \xrightarrow{\pi} Y/\sim$$

Here  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation that is generated by  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Of course  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ g$  holds,

$$\pi(f(x)) = [f(x)] = [g(x)] = \pi(g(x))$$

and given another object  $T$ , with map  $Y \xrightarrow{t} T$ , such that  $t \circ f = t \circ g$ , we define the map

$$\phi : Y/\sim \rightarrow T, [y] \mapsto t(y)$$

and conclude that  $\phi$  is well-defined:

Given  $y \sim z$ , then, by definition, there is a chain  $y = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k = z$ , such that for  $i$  in  $1, \dots, k$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i-1} &= f(x) \text{ and } a_i = g(x), \text{ or} \\ a_{i-1} &= g(x) \text{ and } a_i = f(x) \text{ for } x \text{ in } X \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t(y) = t(a_0) = t(a_1) = \dots = t(a_k) = t(z)$  and  $t$  is well-defined. Of course,  $\phi$  is the only map such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y/\sim \\ & \searrow g & & & \vdots \phi \\ & & & \searrow t & T \end{array}$$

commutes.

### Equalizer morphisms are monomorphisms

Let  $(A, \iota)$  be an equalizer of  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ , then  $\iota : A \rightarrow X$  is a monomorphism.

*Proof.*

Given two morphisms  $h_1, h_2 : Z \rightarrow A$ , such that

$$\iota \circ h_1 = \iota \circ h_2$$

we need to show that  $h_1 = h_2$ .

This, however, follows directly from the universal property of  $(A, \iota)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & Z & & & \\ & \downarrow h_1 \quad \downarrow h_2 & \searrow \iota \circ h_1 = \iota \circ h_2 & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X & \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} & Y \end{array}$$

since

$$f \circ (\iota \circ h_1) = f \circ \iota \circ h_1 = g \circ \iota \circ h_1 = g \circ (\iota \circ h_1)$$

holds, there is only *one* morphism  $Z \rightarrow A$  makes the above diagram commute, it follows that  $h_1 = h_2$ .  $\square$

Dually, of course, *coequalizer morphisms are epimorphisms*.

In **Set** the converse holds:

**Proposition 1.**

*If  $A \xrightarrow{\iota} X$  is a monomorphism,  $(A, \iota)$  is an equalizer.*

*Proof.*

To see this consider  $X/\sim$ , where  $\sim$  is the induced equivalence relation on  $X$  by  $\iota(a_1) = \iota(a_2)$  for all  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ .

Assuming that  $A \neq \emptyset$ , denote  $*$  as the equivalence class of any  $a \in \text{im } A$ .

Then  $(A, \iota)$  is an equalizer of  $X \xrightleftharpoons[*]{\pi} X/\sim$ , where  $\pi$  is the canonical projection and  $*$  is the *constant* function that maps every element of  $X$  onto  $*$  in  $X/\sim$ .

Indeed,  $\pi \circ \iota = * \circ \iota$  holds, and given another object  $T$  with morphism  $T \xrightarrow{t} X$ , such that  $\pi \circ t = * \circ t$ , we contend that  $t(x) \in \text{im } A$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

For this assume  $t(x) \notin \text{im } A$ , but then, by definition of  $\sim$ ,  $\pi(t(x)) = [t(x)] \neq *$ , which contradicts the definition of  $t$ .

Thus we can define the map  $\phi : T \rightarrow A, x \mapsto \iota^{-1}(t(x))$  and immediately verify that it satisfies the commutativity requirement. Also,  $\phi$  is unique by the assumption that  $\iota$  is a monomorphism.

If  $A$  is empty,  $(A, \iota)$ , where  $\iota$  is in this case the empty function, is the equalizer of  $X \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{smallmatrix} X \times \{0, 1\}$ , where  $f(x) = (x, 0)$  and  $g(x) = (x, 1)$ .

□

There is a similar construction for coequalizers:

**Proposition 2.**

If  $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  is an epimorphism in **Set**,  $(B, \pi)$  is a coequalizer.

*Proof.*

We contend that  $(B, \pi)$  is a coequalizer of  $Y \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{smallmatrix} Y$ , where  $\text{id}_Y$  is the identity map and  $f$  is defined by  $f(y) = \tau([y])$ , where  $\tau$  is any map

$$\tau : Y/\sim \rightarrow Y,$$

such that  $[\tau([y])] = [y]$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow \text{can} & \nearrow \tau \\ & Y/\sim & \end{array}$$

Here,  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation induced by  $\pi$ ,

$$y_1 \sim y_2 \Leftrightarrow \pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2).$$

The map  $f$  therefore maps two elements  $y_1, y_2$  in  $Y$  to the same  $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$ , if and only if  $\pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$  and we have the identity

$$\pi(f(y)) = \pi(y).$$

The assertion is now easily verified. Firstly the equation above is exactly the demanded identity of  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ \text{id}_Y$  and for the second property consider a set  $T$  and map  $t : Y \rightarrow T$  such that  $t(f(y)) = t(y)$ .

Since  $\pi$  is surjective and by the requirement to commute with  $\pi$  and  $t$ , the map  $B \rightarrow T$  is already uniquely determined by  $\pi(y) \mapsto t(y)$ , and

it is well-defined: Given  $y_1, y_2$  in  $Y$  with  $\pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$ , it follows that  $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$  and thus

$$t(y_1) = t(f(y_1)) = t(f(y_2)) = t(y_2).$$

□

### Equalizer and coequalizer in **Top**

Equalizers in **Top** correspond to *subspace topology* constructions, whereas coequalizers correspond to *quotient topology* constructions.

First consider equalizers. We will show that to every subspace topology construction we can find a diagram that the subspace topology is an equalizer of. Then, conversely, we verify that every equalizer in **Top** is already a subspace topology construction.

We start with a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and a subset  $A$  of  $X$ . Then the pair  $((A, \mathcal{O}_A), \iota)$ , consisting of the topological space  $(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  and the map  $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ , where  $\iota$  is the natural inclusion map of  $A$  in  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_A = \{\iota^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{O} : X\}$  is the subspace topology on  $A$ , is an *equalizer* of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow[\ast]{\pi} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ .

Here  $Y = X/\sim$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\ast$  are constructed as in Proposition 1 and  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \{U \subseteq Y \mid \pi^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_X\}$  is the quotient topology on  $Y$ .

Indeed, from the construction in Proposition 1 we already know that  $(A, \iota)$  is the set-theoretical equalizer of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow[\ast]{\pi} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , so we just need to check the additional requirements in **Top**. The maps  $\pi$  and  $\ast$  are indeed continuous (and therefore proper morphisms in **Top**), since the canonical surjection  $\pi$  is continuous by definition of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  and constant maps such as  $\ast$  are always continuous.

Given another topological space  $(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  with a continuous map  $T \xrightarrow{t} X$ , such that  $\pi \circ t = \ast \circ t$ , we know from Proposition 1, that there is a unique map  $\phi : T \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A, \mathcal{O}_A) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow[\ast]{\pi} & (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \uparrow \phi & & \nearrow t & & \\ (T, \mathcal{O}_T) & & & & \end{array}$$

commutes. But since  $\iota \circ \phi = t$  is continuous, from the characteristic property of  $\mathcal{O}_A$  it follows that  $\phi : (T, \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  is continuous and thus a morphism in **Top**.

For the converse direction, let  $((A, \mathcal{O}_A), \iota)$  be an equalizer of a diagram

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow[g]{f} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

in **Top**. We contend that the subspace topology construction  $(\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)})$ , with the subset  $\iota(A)$  in  $X$ , is already homeomorphic to  $(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ .

This is easily verified by using the universal property of  $((A, \mathcal{O}_A), \iota)$ : We show that  $((\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)}), j)$ , where  $j$  is the natural inclusion map, is itself an equalizer. Set theoretically, we see that  $\iota' : A \rightarrow \iota(A)$ ,  $a \mapsto \iota(a)$  is the unique bijection ( $\iota'$  is injective) between  $A$  and  $\iota(A)$  that makes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)}) & \xrightarrow{j} & (X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} & (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \uparrow \iota' & \nearrow \iota & & & \\ (A, \mathcal{O}_A) & & & & \end{array}$$

commute. From

$$f(j(\iota'(x))) = f(\iota(x)) = g(\iota(x)) = g(j(\iota'(x)))$$

we conclude that  $f \circ j = g \circ j$  holds.

Then, given any other topological space  $(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  with continuous map  $T \xrightarrow{t} X$ , such that  $f \circ t = g \circ t$  we get the unique continuous map  $\phi : T \rightarrow A$  from the universal property of  $(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  and thus the unique map  $\iota' \circ \phi : T \rightarrow \iota(A)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)}) & \xrightarrow{j} & (X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} & (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \uparrow \iota' & \nearrow \iota & & & \\ (A, \mathcal{O}_A) & & & & \\ \uparrow \phi & \nearrow t & & & \\ (T, \mathcal{O}_T) & & & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Since  $j \circ (\iota' \circ \phi) = t$  is continuous, and  $j$  is continuous by the definition of  $\mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)}$ , the map  $\iota' \circ \phi$  is continuous by the characteristic property of the subspace topology.

Thus  $(\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)}, j)$  is itself an equalizer of

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

and hence have a homeomorphism between  $(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  and  $(\iota(A), \mathcal{O}_{\iota(A)})$ . The equalizer  $(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$  may therefore be viewed as a subspace topology construction.

In the *dual* case of coequalizers we proceed analogously. First consider a quotient topology construction  $(B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Quot}(\pi)})$  to a surjective map  $\pi : Y \rightarrow B$  and a topology  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  on  $Y$ .

From Proposition 2, we obtain a map  $f$  such that  $(B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Quot}(\pi)})$  is a coequalizer of the diagram

$$(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} \\ \xrightarrow{f} \end{array} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

in **Set**, and contend that this is also true in **Top**. Again,  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ \text{id}_Y$  of course still holds and, as in the case of equalizers, the continuity of the unique map  $B \rightarrow T$  follows from the characteristic property of the quotient topology.

Conversely, given a coequalizer  $((B, \mathcal{O}_B), \pi)$  of  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  we show that  $(B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Quot}(\pi)})$  is a coequalizer of the same diagram.

Here  $\pi \circ f = \pi \circ g$  holds by definition for  $(B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Quot}(\pi)})$  and the universal property is obtained from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} & (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (B, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Quot}(B)}) \\ & & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \text{id} & \downarrow \phi \\ & & & (B, \mathcal{O}_B) & \\ & & \searrow t & \downarrow \phi & \\ & & & (T, \mathcal{O}_T) & \end{array}$$

and the characteristic property of the quotient topology.