

$$V(k_0) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [\beta^t \ln(1 - \alpha\beta) + \beta^t \alpha \ln k_t]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \ln(1 - \alpha\beta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t + \alpha \ln(\alpha\beta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t + \alpha \ln k_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln k_0 + \frac{\ln(1 - \alpha\beta)}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha\beta)} \ln(\alpha\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\text{右边} = \max \{u(f(k) - y) + \beta V(y)\}$$

Summary is the best way to say "Good Bye" + A

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \ln(k^\alpha - \alpha\beta k^\alpha) + \beta \left[\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln \alpha\beta k^\alpha + A \right] \\
 &= \ln(1 - \alpha\beta) + \alpha \ln k + \beta \left[\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} [\ln \alpha\beta + \alpha \ln k] + k \right] \\
 &= \alpha \ln k + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta} \alpha \ln k + \ln(1 - \alpha\beta) + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln \alpha\beta + \beta A \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln k + \ln(1 - \alpha\beta) + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln \alpha\beta + \beta A \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln k + (1 - \beta)A + \beta A \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\beta} \ln k + A
 \end{aligned}$$

Editor: Yuyang Songsheng

Date: March 9, 2017

Email: songshengyuyang@gmail.com

所以，左边 = 右边，证毕。

Contents



1	Gauge Field	3
1.1	Nonabelian gauge theory	3
1.1.1	Nonabelian symmetries	3
1.1.2	Nonabelian gauge theory	4
1.1.3	Group representations	6
1.2	Quantization of nonabelian gauge theory	10
1.2.1	The path integral for nonabelian gauge theory	10
1.2.2	The Feynman rules for nonabelian gauge theory	11
1.3	Renormalization of nonabelian gauge theory	13
1.4	Chiral gauge theories and anomalies	15
1.4.1	Chiral gauge theories	15

Chapter 1

Gauge Field



1.1 Nonabelian gauge theory

1.1.1 Nonabelian symmetries

Consider the theory of N real scalar fields ϕ_i

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_i\partial^\mu\phi_i - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi_i\phi_i - \frac{1}{16}\lambda(\phi_i\phi_i)^2$$

This lagrangian is clearly invariant under the $SO(N)$ transformation

$$\phi_i(x) \rightarrow R_{ij}\phi_j(x)$$

where R is an orthogonal matrix with a positive determinant: $R^T = R^{-1}$ and $\det R = +1$. Consider an infinitesimal $SO(N)$ transformation

$$R_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \theta_{ij} + O(\theta^2)$$

Orthogonality of R_{ij} implies that θ_{ij} is real and antisymmetric. It is convenient to express θ_{ij} in terms of a basis set of hermitian matrices T_{ij}^a . The index a runs from 1 to $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$, the number of linearly independent, hermitian, antisymmetric, $N \times N$ matrices. Commonly, for $SO(N)$ group, we demand these matrices obey the normalization condition

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = 2\delta^{ab}$$

In terms of them, we can write

$$\theta_{ij} = -i\theta^a T_{ij}^a$$

The T^a s are the generator matrices of $SO(N)$. The product of any two $SO(N)$ transformations is another $SO(N)$ transformation; this implies that the commutator of any two generator matrices must be a linear combination of generator matrices,

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$$

The numerical factors f^{abc} are the structure coefficients of the group. If $f^{abc} = 0$, the group is abelian. Otherwise, it is nonabelian. Under our normalization condition, we have

$$f^{abc} = -\frac{i}{2}\text{Tr}([T^a, T^b]T^c)$$

Using the cyclic property of the trace, we find that f^{abc} must be completely antisymmetric. Taking the complex conjugate of equation above, we find that f^{abc} must be real.

Example: The simplest nonabelian group is $SO(3)$. In this case, we can choose $T_{ij}^a = \epsilon^{aij}$. The commutation relations become

$$[T^a, T^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}T^c$$

Consider now the theory of N complex scalar fields ϕ_i

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial_\mu \phi_i^\dagger \partial^\mu \phi_i - m^2 \phi_i^\dagger \phi_i - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i)^2$$

This lagrangian is clearly invariant under the $U(N)$ transformation

$$\phi_i(x) \rightarrow U_{ij} \phi_j(x)$$

where U is a unitary matrix, $R^\dagger = R^{-1}$. We can write $U_{ij} = e^{-i\theta} \tilde{U}_{ij}$, where θ is a real parameter and $\det \tilde{U} = 1$. \tilde{U}_{ij} is called a special unitary matrix. Clearly the product of two special unitary matrices is another special unitary matrix; the $N \times N$ special unitary matrices form the group $SU(N)$. The group $U(N)$ is the direct product of the group $U(1)$ and the group $SU(N)$.

Consider an infinitesimal $SU(N)$ transformation

$$\tilde{U}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - i\theta^a T_{ij}^a + O(\theta^2)$$

where θ^a is a set of real, infinitesimal parameters. Unitarity of \tilde{U} implies that the generator matrices T are hermitian, and $\det \tilde{U} = 1$ implies that each T is traceless. The index a runs from 1 to $N^2 - 1$, the number of linearly independent, hermitian, traceless, $N \times N$ matrices. Commonly, for $SU(N)$ group, we demand these matrices obey the normalization condition

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$$

Example: For $SU(2)$, we can choose $T_{ij}^a = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij}^a$. The commutation relations become

$$[T^a, T^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}T^c$$

1.1.2 Nonabelian gauge theory

Consider a lagrangian with N scalar or spinor fields $\phi^i(x)$ that is invariant under a continuous $SU(N)$ symmetry,

$$\phi_i(x) = U_{ij} \phi_j(x)$$

It is called a global symmetry transformation, because the matrix U does not depend on the space-time label x .

If we want to generalize the symmetry of lagrangian to local transformation

$$\phi_i(x) = U_{ij}(x) \phi_j(x)$$



terms with derivatives, such as $\partial^\mu \psi^\dagger \partial_\mu \phi_i$, will not remain invariant under local transformation. So we must include a traceless hermitian $N \times N$ gauge field $A_\mu(x)$, and promote ordinary derivatives ∂_μ to covariant derivatives $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$ to ensure that

$$D_\mu \phi \rightarrow U D_\mu \phi$$

As a result, the gauge field must transform as

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow U(x) A_\mu(x) U^\dagger(x) + \frac{i}{g} U(x) \partial_\mu U^\dagger(x)$$

Replacing all ordinary derivatives in \mathcal{L} with covariant derivatives renders \mathcal{L} gauge invariant (assuming, of course, that \mathcal{L} originally had a global $SU(N)$ symmetry).

We can write $U(x)$ in terms of the generator matrices as $\exp[-ig\Gamma(x)T^a]$. If the structure constant $f^{abc} \neq 0$, we have a nonabelian gauge theory.

We still need a kinetic term for $A_\mu(x)$. Let us define the field strength

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \frac{i}{g} [D_\mu, D_\nu] = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

We can verify that the field strength transform as

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow U(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x) U^\dagger(x)$$

Therefore, a reasonable kinetic term is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})$$

Since we have taken A_μ to be hermitian and traceless, we can expand it in terms of the generator matrices:

$$A_\mu(x) = A_\mu^a(x) T^a$$

Similarly, we have

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = F_{\mu\nu}^a(x) T^a$$

We can get

$$F_{\mu\nu}^c = \partial_\mu A_\nu^c - \partial_\nu A_\mu^c + gf^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{c\mu\nu} F_{c\mu\nu}$$

Everything we have just said about $SU(N)$ also goes through for $SO(N)$, with unitary replaced by orthogonal, and traceless replaced by antisymmetric. There is also another class of compact nonabelian groups called $Sp(2N)$, and five exceptional compact groups: $G(2)$, $F(4)$, $E(6)$, $E(7)$ and $E(8)$. Compact means that $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b)$ is a positive definite matrix. Nonabelian gauge theory must be based on a compact group, because otherwise some of the terms in \mathcal{L}_{kin} would have the wrong sign, leading to a Hamiltonian that is unbounded below.

As a specific example, let us consider quantum chromodynamics, or QCD, which is based on



the gauge group $SU(3)$. There are several Dirac fields corresponding to quarks. Each quark comes in three colors; these are the values of the $SU(3)$ index. There are also six flavours: up, down, strange, charm, bottom, and top. Thus we consider the Dirac field $\Psi_{iI}(x)$, where i is the color index and I is the flavour index. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}_{iI}\not{D}_{ij}\Psi_{jI} - m_I\bar{\Psi}_I\Psi_I - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu})$$

The different quark flavours have different masses, ranging from a few MeV for the up and down quarks to 174 GeV for the top quark. The covariant derivative is

$$D_{\mu ij} = \delta_{ij}\partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}^a T_{ij}^a$$

The index a on A_{μ}^a runs from 1 to 8, and the corresponding massless spin-one particles are the eight gluons.

In a nonabelian gauge theory in general, we can consider scalar or spinor fields in different representations of the group. A representation of a compact nonabelian group is a set of finite-dimensional hermitian matrices T_R^a that obey the same commutation relations as the original generator matrices T^a . Given such a set of $D(R) \times D(R)$ matrices, and a field $\phi(x)$ with $D(R)$ components, we can write its covariant derivative as $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}^a T_R^a$. Under a gauge transformation, $\phi(x) \rightarrow U_R(x)\phi(x)$. The theory will be gauge invariant provided that

$$A_{\mu}^c \rightarrow A_{\mu}^c + g\theta^a A_{\mu}^b f^{abc} - \partial_{\mu}\theta^c$$

under infinitesimal transformation, which is independent of representation.

1.1.3 Group representations

Given the structure coefficients f^{abc} of a compact nonabelian group, a representation of that group is specified by a set of $D(R) \times D(R)$ traceless hermitian matrices T_R^a that obey the same commutation relations as the original generators matrices T^a . The number $D(R)$ is the dimension of the representation. The original T^a s correspond to the fundamental or defining representation.

If T_R^a is a representation of the group, then we can verify that $-(T_R^a)^*$ is also a representation.

- If $T_R^a = -(T_R^a)^*$, or if we can find a unitary transformation $T_R^a \rightarrow U^{-1}T_R^a U$ that makes $-(T_R^a)^* = T_R^a$ for every a , then the representation R is real.
- If such a unitary transformation does not exist, but we can find a unitary matrix $V \neq I$ such that $V^{-1}T_R^a V = -(T_R^a)^*$ for every a , then the representation R is pseudoreal.
- If such a unitary matrix also does not exist, then the representation R is complex.
- One way to prove that a representation is complex is to show that at least one generator matrix T_R^a (or a real linear combination of them) has eigenvalues that do not come in plus-minus pairs.

Example:



- The fundamental representation of $SO(N)$ is real
- The fundamental representation for $SU(2)$ is pseudoreal
- The fundamental representation for $SU(N)$ with $N \geq 3$ is complex

We note that

$$\text{Tr} T^e ([T^a, T^b], T^c) + ([T^c, T^a], T^b) + ([T^b, T^c], T^a) = 0$$

by which we can derive the Jacobian identity

$$f^{abd} f^{dce} + f^{bcd} f^{dae} + f^{cad} f^{dbe} = 0$$

It can be rearranged as

$$(-i f^{abd})(-i f^{cde}) - (-i f^{cbd})(-i f^{ade}) = i f^{acd}(-i f^{dbe})$$

Define

$$(T_A^a)^{bc} \equiv -i f^{abc}$$

we can get a new representation of the group. It is called adjoint representation. The dimension of adjoint representation is equal to the number of the generators. And it is easy to show that adjoint representation is real.

It can be demonstrated that once the fundamental representation is normalized so that $\text{Tr} T^a T^b$ is proportion to the δ^{ab} , so is it with any irreducible representation of the group. Then we can define the index of a representation $T(R)$ as

$$\text{Tr}(T_R^a T_R^b) = T(R) \delta^{ab}$$

We can verify that the matrix $T_R^a T_R^a$ commutes with every generator, and so must be a number times the identity matrix. We define quadratic Casimir $C(R)$ by

$$T_R^a T_R^a = C(R) I$$

It is easy to show that

$$T(R) D(A) = C(R) D(R)$$

For $SU(N)$, the standard normalization for fundamental representation is $T(N) = \frac{1}{2}$, so we have $C(N) = \frac{N^2-1}{2N}$. We will show later that $T(A) = C(A) = N$.

For $SO(N)$, the standard normalization for fundamental representation is $T(N) = 2$, so we have $C(N) = N - 1$. We will show later that $T(A) = C(A) = 2N - 4$.

A representation R is reducible if there is a unitary transformation $T_R^a \rightarrow U^{-1} T_R^a U$ that puts all the nonzero entries into the same diagonal blocks for each a ; otherwise it is irreducible. Consider a reducible representation R whose generators can be put into two blocks, with the blocks forming the generators of the irreducible representations R_1 and R_2 . Then R is the direct sum representation $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$, and we have

$$D(R_1 \oplus R_2) = D(R_1) + D(R_2)$$



$$T(R_1 \oplus R_2) = T(R_1) + T(R_2)$$



Note: We define the $T(R)$ of reducible representation by taking the trace of the product of two identical generator.

Suppose we have a field ϕ_{iI} that carries two group indices, one for the representation R_1 and one for the representation R_2 , denoted by i and I respectively. This field is in the direct product representation $R_1 \otimes R_2$. The corresponding generator matrix is

$$(T_{R_1 \otimes R_2}^a)_{iI,jJ} = (T_{R_1}^a)_{ij} \delta_{IJ} + \delta_{ij} (T_{R_2}^a)_{IJ}$$

We then have

$$D(R_1 \otimes R_2) = D(R_1)D(R_2)$$

$$T(R_1 \otimes R_2) = T(R_1)D(R_2) + T(R_2)D(R_1)$$

Consider a field ϕ in the complex representation R . We will adopt the convention that such a field carries a down index: ϕ_i , and its Hermitian conjugation carries a up index: $\phi^{\dagger i}$, where $i = 1, \dots, D(R)$.

Since

$$\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_i - i\theta_a (T_R^a)_i^j \phi_j$$

we have

$$\phi^{\dagger i} \rightarrow \phi^{\dagger j} - i\theta_a (-T_R^{a*})_j^i \phi^{\dagger j} = \phi^{\dagger j} - i\theta_a (-T_R^a)_j^i \phi^{\dagger j} \equiv \phi^{\dagger j} - i\theta_a (T_{\bar{R}}^a)_j^i \phi^{\dagger j}$$

i.e.

$$(T_{\bar{R}}^a)_j^i = (-T_R^a)_j^i$$

Consider the Kronecker delta symbol with one index down and one up: δ_i^j . Under a group transformation, we have

$$\delta_i^j \rightarrow (1 + i\theta^a T_R^a)_i^k (1 + i\theta^a T_{\bar{R}}^a)_k^j \delta_k^l = \delta_i^j + O(\theta^2)$$

δ_i^j is an invariant symbol of the group. This existence of this invariant symbol, which carries one index for R and one for \bar{R} , tells us that the product of the representations R and \bar{R} must contain the singlet representation 1, specified by $T_1^a = 0$. We therefore can write

$$R \otimes \bar{R} = 1 \oplus \dots$$

We can further verify that the generator matrix $(T_R^a)_i^j$, which carries one index for R , one for \bar{R} , and one for the adjoint representation A , is also an invariant symbol. This implies that

$$R \times \bar{R} \otimes A = 1 \oplus \dots$$

If we now multiply both sides by A , and use $A \otimes A = 1 \oplus \dots$ (note A is real), we find $R \times \bar{R} = A \oplus \dots$. Finally, we have

$$R \otimes \bar{R} = 1 \oplus A \oplus \dots$$



So the product of a representation with its complex conjugate is always reducible into a sum that includes at least the singlet and adjoint representations. For the fundamental representation of $SU(N)$, we have

$$N \otimes \bar{N} = 1 \oplus A$$

by dimension analysis. We can derive $T(A) = N$ from this equation.

Consider now a real representation R , we have

$$R \times R \otimes A = 1 \oplus \dots$$

The singlet on the right-hand side implies the existence of an invariant symbol with two R indices; this symbol is the Kronecker delta δ_{ij} . It is invariant because

$$\delta_{ij} \rightarrow (1 + i\theta^a T_R^a)_i^k (1 + i\theta^a T_R^a)_j^l \delta_{kl} = \delta_{ij} - i\theta^a [(T_R^a)_{ij} + (T_R^a)_{ji}] + O(\theta^2)$$

The term in square brackets vanishes by hermiticity and $(T_R^a)_j^i = -(T_R^a)_i^j$. The fact that $\delta_{ij} = \delta_{ji}$ implies that the singlet on the right-hand side of the equation above appears in the symmetric part of this product of two identical representations.

The fundamental representation of $SO(N)$ is real, and we have

$$N \times N = 1_S \oplus A_A \oplus S_S$$

The representation S corresponds to a field with a symmetric traceless pair of fundamental indices.

Consider now a pseudoreal representation R . Since R is equivalent to its complex conjugate, up to a change of basis, $R \times R \otimes A = 1 \oplus \dots$ still holds. However, we cannot identify δ_{ij} as the corresponding invariant symbol, because then R would have to be real, rather than pseudoreal. From the perspective of the direct product, the only alternative is to have the singlet appear in the antisymmetric part of the product, rather than the symmetric part. The corresponding invariant symbol must then be antisymmetric on exchange of its two R indices. An example is the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$, which is discussed in spinor field theory.

The structure constants f^{abc} are another invariant symbol. This follows from $(T_A^a)^{bc} = -if^{abc}$, since we have seen that generator matrices are invariant.

Alternatively, given the generator matrices in a representation R , we can write

$$T(R)f^{abc} = -i\text{Tr}(T_R^a[T_R^b, T_R^c])$$

Since the right-hand side is invariant, the left-hand side must be as well.

If we use an anticommutator in place of the commutator, we get another invariant symbol,

$$A(R)d^{abc} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(T_R^a\{T_R^b, T_R^c\})$$

where $A(R)$ is the anomaly coefficient of the representation. The cyclic property of the trace implies that d^{abc} is symmetric on exchange of any pair of indices. Using $(T_R^a)_j^i = -(T_R^a)_i^j$, we can see that

$$A(\bar{R}) = -A(R)$$



Thus, if R is real or pseudoreal, $A(R) = 0$. We also have

$$A(R_1 \oplus R_2) = A(R_1) + A(R_2)$$

$$A(R_1 \otimes R_2) = A(R_1)D(R_2) + A(R_2)D(R_1)$$

We normalize the anomaly coefficient so that it equals one for the smallest complex representation. In particular, for $SU(3)$ with $N \geq 3$, the smallest complex representation is the fundamental, and $A(N) = 1$. For $SU(2)$, all representations are real or pseudoreal, and $A(R) = 0$ for all of them.

1.2 Quantization of nonabelian gauge theory

1.2.1 The path integral for nonabelian gauge theory

We wish to evaluate the path integral for nonabelian gauge theory

$$Z[0] = \int \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_{YM}}$$

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F^{a\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a \right]$$

Recall under an infinitesimal gauge transformation, we have

$$A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a - (\delta^{ac} \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^b (T_A^b)^{ac}) \theta^c$$

We can define a covariant derivative for adjoint representation

$$D_\mu^{ac} \equiv \delta^{ac} \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^b (T_A^b)^{ac}$$

so we have

$$A_\mu^a \rightarrow A_\mu^a - D_\mu^{ac} \theta^c$$

Similar to the case in electromagnetic field, we introduce a gauge fixing function

$$G^a(A(\theta)) \equiv \partial^\mu A_\mu^a(\theta) - \omega^a(x)$$

Now, we insert 1 in the path integral

$$1 = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \delta(G) \det \left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta \theta} \right)$$

Here,

$$\frac{\delta G^a(x)}{\delta \theta^b(y)} = -\partial^\mu D_\mu^{ab}(\theta) \delta^4(x - y)$$

A functional determinant can be written as a path integral over complex Grassmann variables. So let us introduce the complex Grassmann field $c^a(x)$, and its hermitian conjugate $\bar{c}^a(x)$. These fields are called Faddeev–Popov ghosts. Then we can write

$$\det \left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta \theta} \right) \propto \int \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}\bar{c} e^{iS_{gh}[A(\theta)]}$$



where

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh}[A(\theta)] = \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu^{ab}(\theta) c^b = -\partial^\mu \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu c^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^c(\theta) \partial^\mu \bar{c}^a c^b$$

We see that $c^a(x)$ has the standard kinetic term for a complex scalar field. The ghost field is also a Grassmann field, and so a closed loop of ghost lines in a Feynman diagram carries an extra factor of minus one. Since the particles associated with the ghost field do not in fact exist (and would violate the spin-statistics theorem if they did), it must be that the amplitude to produce them in any scattering process is zero.

Now change variables from A to $A(\theta)$. This is a simple shift, so $\mathcal{D}A = \mathcal{D}A(\theta)$. Also, by gauge invariance, $S_{YM}[A] = S_{YM}[A(\theta)]$. Since $A(\theta)$ is now just a dummy integration variable, we can rename it back to A , so

$$Z[0] \propto \left(\int \mathcal{D}\theta \right) \int \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}\bar{c} \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_Y M + iS_{gh}} \delta(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a - \omega^a(x))$$

Since the above equation holds for any $\omega(x)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z[0] &\propto \int \mathcal{D}\omega \exp \left[-i \int d^4x \frac{\omega^a \omega^a}{2\xi} \right] \int \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}\bar{c} \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_Y M + iS_{gh}} \delta(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a - \omega^a(x)) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}c \mathcal{D}\bar{c} \mathcal{D}A e^{iS_Y M + iS_{gh} + iS_{gf}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \partial^\mu A_\mu^a \partial^\nu A_\nu^a$$

1.2.2 The Feynman rules for nonabelian gauge theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu A^{a\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a + \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu A^{a\nu} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g f^{abe} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e - \frac{1}{4} g^2 f^{abe} f^{cde} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} A_\mu^c A_\nu^d$$

Add the gauge-fixing term for R_ξ gauge, and do some integrations-by-parts in the quadratic terms, we find

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} + \mathcal{L}_{gf} = \frac{1}{2} A^{e\mu} (\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - (1 - \frac{1}{\xi}) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) A^{e\nu} - g f^{abe} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e - \frac{1}{4} g^2 f^{abe} f^{cde} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} A_\mu^c A_\nu^d$$

So, the gluon propagator in R_ξ gauge is

$$G_F(k)_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \frac{-i\delta^{ab}}{k^2 - i\epsilon} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \frac{1}{\xi}) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right)$$

The three-gluon vertex factor is

$$\begin{aligned} iV_{\mu\nu\rho}^{abc}(p, q, r) &= i(-gf^{abc})(-ir_\mu g_{\nu\rho}) + [5 \text{ permutations of } (a, \mu, p), (b, \nu, q), (c, \rho, r)] \\ &= gf^{abc}[(q-r)_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + (r-p)_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + (p-q)_\rho g_{\mu\nu}] \end{aligned}$$

The four-gluon vertex factor is

$$\begin{aligned} iV_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{abcd} &= -ig^2 f^{abe} f^{cde} g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} + [5 \text{ permutations of } (b, \nu), (c, \rho), (d, \sigma)] \\ &= -ig^2 [f^{abe} f^{cde} (g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\rho}) + f^{ace} f^{dbe} (g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\rho\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma}) + f^{ade} f^{bce} (g_{\mu\nu} g_{\sigma\rho} - g_{\mu\rho} g_{\sigma\nu})] \end{aligned}$$






Figure 1.1: The three-gluon and four-gluon vertices in nonabelian gauge theory

For loop calculations, we need to include the ghosts. The ghost lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh} = -\partial^\mu \bar{c}^c \partial_\mu c^c + g f^{abc} A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \bar{c}^b c^c$$

The ghost propagator is

$$D_F(k) = \frac{-i\delta^{ab}}{k^2 - i\epsilon}$$

Because the ghosts are complex scalars, their propagators carry a charge arrow.




Figure 1.2: The ghost-ghost-gluon vertex in nonabelian gauge theory

The ghost-ghost-gluon vertex factor is

$$iV_\mu^{abc}(-iq_\mu) = g f^{abc} a_\mu$$

If we include a quark coupled to the gluons, we have the quark lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_q = i\bar{\Psi}_i \not{D}_{ij} \Psi_j - m\bar{\Psi}_i \Psi_i = i\bar{\Psi}_i \not{\partial} \Psi_i - m\bar{\Psi}_i \Psi_i + g A_\mu^a \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma^\mu T_{ij}^a \Psi_j$$




Figure 1.3: The quark-quark-gluon vertex in nonabelian gauge theory



The quark propagator is

$$S_F(p)_{ij} = \frac{i(\not{p} - m)\delta_{ij}}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

The quark–quark–gluon vertex factor is

$$iV_{ij}^{\mu a} i g \gamma^\mu T_{ij}^a$$

1.3 Renormalization of nonabelian gauge theory

We now rescale the fields to the renormalized field strengths by extracting the factors Z_2 , Z_3 and Z_2^c for the fermions, gauge bosons, and ghosts, and shift the coupling to the renormalized coupling g . The counterterm Lagrangian then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ct} = & -\frac{1}{4}\delta_3 F^{a\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a + \bar{\Psi}(i\delta_2 \not{\partial} - \delta_m)\Psi + \delta_2^c \bar{c}^a \partial^2 c^a \\ & + g\delta_1 A_\mu^a \bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu T^a \Psi - g\delta_1^{3g} f^{abe} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e - \frac{1}{4}g^2\delta_1^{4g} f^{abe} f^{cde} A^{a\mu} A^{b\nu} A_\mu^c A_\nu^d \\ & + g\delta_1^c f^{abc} A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \bar{c}^b c^c \end{aligned}$$

with the counterterms defined by

$$\delta_2 = Z_2 - 1 \quad \delta_3 = Z_3 - 1 \quad \delta_2^c = Z_2^c - 1 \quad \delta_m = Z_2 m_0 - m$$

$$\delta_1 = \frac{g_0}{g} Z_2 (Z_3)^{1/2} - 1 \quad \delta_1^{3g} = \frac{g_0}{g} (Z_3)^{3/2} - 1 \quad \delta_1^{4g} = \frac{g_0^2}{g^2} (Z_3)^2 - 1 \quad \delta_1^c = \frac{g_0}{g} Z_2^c (Z_3)^{1/2} - 1$$

Notice that these eight counterterms depend on five underlying parameters; thus, there are three relations among them. The situation is very similar to that for the scalar theories with spontaneously broken symmetry that we studied before. The underlying symmetry of the theory - local gauge invariance - implies relations among the divergent amplitudes of the theory and among the counterterms required to cancel them. In the present case, a set of five renormalization conditions uniquely specifies all of the counterterms in a way that removes all divergences from the theory. The rigorous proof will be omitted here.

Quark propagator




Figure 1.4: The one-loop and counterterm corrections to the quark propagator in quantum chromodynamics



In \overline{MS} renormalization scheme and Feynman gauge, we have

$$Z_2 = 1 - C(R) \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(g^4)$$

$$Z_m = 1 - C(R) \frac{g^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(g^4)$$

Quark-quark-gluon vertex




Figure 1.5: The one-loop corrections to the quark–quark–gluon vertex in quantum chromodynamics.

$$Z_1 = 1 - [C(R) + T(A)] \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(g^4)$$

Gluon propagator




Figure 1.6: The one-loop and counterterm corrections to the gluon propagator in quantum chromodynamics.

$$Z_3 = 1 + \left[\frac{5}{3} T(A) - \frac{4}{3} n_F T(R) \right] \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(g^4)$$



Beta function

We define

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$$

Then we have

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{Z_1^2}{Z_2^2 Z_3} \alpha \tilde{\mu}^\epsilon$$

Let us write

$$\ln(Z_3^{-1} Z_2^{-2} Z_1^2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_n(\alpha)}{\epsilon^n}$$

Then we have

$$\ln \alpha_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_n(\alpha)}{\epsilon^n} + \ln \alpha + \epsilon \ln \tilde{\mu}$$

And we can get

$$G_1(\alpha) = - \left[\frac{11}{3} T(A) - \frac{4}{3} n_F T(R) \right] \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^2)$$

Since the bare coefficients is independent of μ , we then can get

$$\beta(\alpha) = \alpha^2 G_1(\alpha) = - \left[\frac{11}{3} T(A) - \frac{4}{3} n_F T(R) \right] \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^3)$$

In quantum chromodynamics, the gauge group is $SU(3)$, and the quarks are in the fundamental representation. Thus $T(A) = 3$ and $T(R) = \frac{1}{2}$, and the factor in square brackets is $11 - \frac{2}{3}n_F$. So for $n_F \leq 16$, the beta function is negative: the gauge coupling in quantum chromodynamics gets weaker at high energies, and stronger at low energies.

This has dramatic physical consequences. Perturbation theory cannot serve as a reliable guide to the low-energy physics. And indeed, in nature we do not see isolated quarks or gluons. (Quarks, in particular, have fractional electric charges and would be easy to discover.) The appropriate conclusion is that color is confined : all finite-energy states are invariant under a global $SU(3)$ transformation. This has not yet been rigorously proven, but it is the only hypothesis that is consistent with all of the available theoretical and experimental information.

1.4 Chiral gauge theories and anomalies

1.4.1 Chiral gauge theories

Recall that a Dirac field Ψ can be written in terms of two left-handed Weyl fields χ and ξ as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \xi^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$$

If Ψ is in a representation R of the gauge group, then χ and ξ^\dagger must be as well. Equivalently, χ must be in the representation R , and ξ must be in the complex conjugate representation \bar{R} . Now suppose that we have a single left-handed Weyl field ψ in a complex representation R .



Such a gauge theory is automatically parity violating (because the right-handed hermitian conjugate of the left-handed Weyl field is in an inequivalent representation of the gauge group), and is said to be chiral. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu^a T_R^a$.

Since T_R^a is a hermitian matrix, $i\psi^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \psi$ is hermitian (up to a total divergence). We cannot include a mass term for ψ , though, because $\psi\psi$ transforms as $R \otimes R$, and $R \otimes R$ does not contain a singlet if R is complex. Thus, $\psi\psi$ is not gauge invariant.

Now we focus on the simplest possible example: a $U(1)$ theory with a single Weyl field ψ with charge $+1$. The lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

We note that

$$P_L \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) P_L \Psi - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

To better understand the physical consequences of the projection operator, consider the case of a free field. The mode expansion is

$$P_L \Psi(x) = \sum_{s=\pm} \int \tilde{d}p [b_s(\mathbf{p}) P_L u_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx} + d_s^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) P_L v_s(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}]$$

For a massless field, we have $P_L u_+(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ and $P_L v_-(\mathbf{p}) = 0$. Thus we can write as

$$P_L \Psi(x) = \int \tilde{d}p [b_-(\mathbf{p}) u_-(\mathbf{p}) e^{ipx} + d_+^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) v_+(\mathbf{p}) e^{-ipx}]$$

We can easily read the Feynman rules from the Lagrangian. In particular, the fermion propagator in momentum space is

$$\frac{iP_L \not{p}}{p^2 - i\epsilon}$$

and the fermion-fermion-photon vertex is

$$ig\gamma^\mu P_L$$

Now consider the correction to the photon propagator. Calculation shows that at the one-loop level, the contribution to $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)$ of a single charged Weyl field is half that of a Dirac field. This is physically reasonable, since a Dirac field is equivalent to two charged Weyl fields.

Nothing interesting happens in the one-loop corrections to the fermion propagator, or the fermion-fermion-photon vertex. There is simply an extra factor of P_L along the fermion line,



which can be moved to the far right. Except for this factor, the results exactly duplicate those of spinor electrodynamics.

All of this implies that a single Weyl field makes half the contribution of a Dirac field to the leading term in the beta function for the gauge coupling.

Next we turn to diagrams with three external photons, and no external fermions. In spinor electrodynamics, the fact that the vector potential is odd under charge conjugation implies that the sum of these diagrams must vanish. For the present case of a single Weyl field, there is no charge-conjugation symmetry, and so we must evaluate these diagrams.




Figure 1.7: One-loop contributions to the three-photon vertex

Detailed calculation shows that

$$r_\rho V^{\mu\nu\rho}(p, q, r) = 0$$

However,

$$p_\mu V^{\mu\nu\rho}(p, q, r) = \frac{ig^3}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\beta\rho} p_\alpha q_\beta$$

$$q_\nu V^{\mu\nu\rho}(p, q, r) = \frac{ig^3}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{\alpha\rho\beta\mu} q_\alpha p_\beta$$

We have therefore failed to construct a gauge-invariant $U(1)$ theory with a single charged Weyl field.

Consider now a $U(1)$ gauge theory with several left-handed Weyl fields ψ_i , with charges Q_i , so that the covariant derivative of ψ_i is $\partial_\mu - igQ_i A_\mu$. Then each of these fields circulates in the loop in figure above, and each vertex has an extra factor of Q_i . The right-hand sides of equation above are now multiplied by $\sum_i Q_i^3$. And if $\sum_i Q_i^3$ happens to be zero, then gauge invariance is restored.

The simplest possibility is to have the ψ s come in pairs with equal and opposite charges. But there are other possibilities as well: for example, one field with charge $+2$ and eight with charge -1 . Such a gauge theory is still chiral, but it is anomaly free.

All of this has a straightforward generalization to nonabelian gauge theories. Suppose we have a single Weyl field in a (possibly reducible) representation R of the gauge group. Then we must attach an extra factor of $\text{Tr}(T_R^a T_R^b T_R^c)$ to the first diagram, and a factor of $\text{Tr}(T_R^a T_R^c T_R^b)$ to the second; here the group indices a, b, c go along with the momenta p, q, r , respectively. Repeating our analysis shows that the diagrams with $P_L \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ come with an extra factor of $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}([T_R^a, T_R^b] T_R^c)$; these contribute to the renormalization of the tree-level three-gluon vertex.



Diagrams with $P_L \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_5$ come with an extra factor of

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\{T_R^a, T_R^b\}T_R^c) = A(R)d^{abc}$$

Here d^{abc} is a completely symmetric tensor that is independent of the representation, and $A(R)$ is the anomaly coefficient of R . In order for this theory to exist, we must have $A(R) = 0$. Since $A(R) + A(\bar{R}) = 0$; thus a theory whose left-handed Weyl fields come in $R \oplus \bar{R}$ pairs is automatically anomaly free (as is one whose Weyl fields are all in real representations). Otherwise, we must arrange the cancellation by hand.

For $SU(2)$ and $SO(N)$, $N \neq 2, 6$ all representations have $A(R) = 0$. For $SU(N)$ with $N \geq 3$, the fundamental representation has $A(N) = 1$, and most complex $SU(N)$ representations R have $A(R) \neq 0$. So the cancellation is nontrivial.

