

TinyRobo: A Platform for Tabletop Swarm Research

Abraham Shultz¹

Abstract—Previous platforms for swarm robotics have relied on custom-manufactured mechanical assemblies for their mobility. Because these assemblies are usually hand-made in limited numbers, they restrict the availability of swarm robots to organizations with large budgets. Recent attempts to avoid this problem, such as the Harvard Kilobots [Rubenstein et al., 2014] have reduced sensing and processing ability in order to remain small in size. This paper presents a hardware platform and a proposed software infrastructure to sidestep both problems, resulting in a swarm platform that is cheap and easy for researchers to duplicate. The platform permits heterogeneous mobility solutions and flexible virtualization of sensing and inter-robot networking.

I. INTRODUCTION

Swarm robots used in research are generally small. The reason to keep swarm robots small is at least three-fold. First, larger robots consume more materials per unit, and so cost more money. As a result, for a given number of swarm units, larger robots will result in a higher cost swarm. Second, each robot requires some amount of space to move around in. To keep the ratio of free space to robots constant, the area of space used by the robots grows as the robots do. If the ratio isn't kept constant, the robots will crowd each other, and so large robots will require either a very large space, or become overly crowded. The challenge of construction of swarm robot hardware, then, is to put all of the same parts as non-swarm mobile robots: a mobility platform, a power supply, a processor, some sensors, and a communication system, into a small package.

The robots used in most swarm research are of a sufficiently small size that many of them can fit in a room, mostly between 1cm^3 and 0.3m^3 . This scale range divides fairly evenly into robots that can operate in large swarms on a table, and those that can operate in swarms within a room, albeit possibly a large room. For the purposes of this work, only tabletop swarms are considered.

II. OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS SWARM HARDWARE

Tabletop swarm robots generally occupy the size range from 1cm^3 to 15cm^3 . Many impressive designs for small swarm robot platforms have been proposed and constructed as part of research in swarm robotics. At the low end, in terms of scale, the I-SWARM Project was intended to create a $2\times 2\times 1\text{mm}$ robot that moved by stick-slip locomotion actuated by piezo levers [Seyfried et al., 2005]. Other techniques have been developed to use magnetic fields to apply force to small magnetic objects, resulting in controlled motion of the objects [Floyd et al., 2008], [Pelrine et al., 2012]. Alice

[Caprari et al., 1998] combined a PIC16F84 processor, motors, RF and IR networking, and enough battery power for 10 hours of autonomy into a robot measuring under 2.5cm^3 . The AmlR robot was similar to Alice in size and capability, but with a more modern processor [Arvin et al., 2009]. The Jasmine swarm robots were possibly the closest approach to a commercially-available successor to Alice [Kernbach, 2011]. Jasmine measured $26\times 26\times 20\text{mm}$, and included an ATmega processor, IR close range communication and obstacle detection, two motor skid steering, and li-po batteries. InsBot was a small robot, measuring $41\times 30\times 19\text{mm}$, that was designed to interact with cockroaches [Colot et al., 2004]. It used two processors, one to run higher level behaviors and one to interface with a suite of sensors that included 12 IR sensors and a linear camera.

None of the previously listed robots are currently commercially available, and many of them never were. Even when they are commercially available, most existing swarm robots are too expensive to build a large swarm. The Epuck from EFPL costs approximately 800 Swiss francs (\$810 USD) per unit, so the cost of maintaining a large swarm can become daunting quickly. Jasmine robots cost about 100 Euro (\$111 USD) when they were available. Even the Kilobots [Rubenstein et al., 2014] cost 1100 Swiss francs for a 10-pack, or about \$112 (US) per robot.

One way to reduce the cost of swarm robots is to use commercial, off-the-shelf (COTS) hardware in the construction of the robot. Reusing existing hardware leverages the economies of scale that reduce the price of commercial hardware, as well as eliminating the need to design or build the COTS parts. Use of COTS parts in research robotics has led to at least two platforms referred to as COTSBots [Bergbreiter and Pister, 2003], [Soule and Heckendorn, 2011]. The first COTSBots used mote hardware for the communications link and sensing, plus a motor control add-on board [Bergbreiter and Pister, 2003]. The mobility platform is a modified toy, in particular, a specific brand of high-quality micro RC car. At the time of this writing, the car used in COTSBots is moderately expensive for a toy car, costing a little over \$100USD per unit.

III. TINYROBO HARDWARE

In order to avoid the sensitivity to the work surface exhibited by the Kilobots and, to a lesser extent, the Epucks, TinyRobo uses children's toys as mobility platforms. The TinyRobo controller module was designed to be used as a replacement for the control electronics of children's toys, similar to the Spider-Bots developed by Laird, Price,

¹Department of Computer Science, University of Massachusetts Lowell, 1 University Ave, Lowell, Massachusetts, USA ashultz@cs.uml.edu

and Raptis or Bergbreiter's COTSBots [Laird et al., 2014], [Bergbreiter and Pister, 2003]. However, unlike the Spider-Bots and COTSBots, TinyRobo does not specify a particular toy chassis to use for mobility. TinyRobos are intended to be heterogeneous, partly because of the advantages of heterogeneity in a swarm, and partly because toy supplies are unreliable. While toys in the general case are expected to remain available, a particular line of toys might be discontinued or a modified version released. The software framework in development to support TinyRobos is based on ROS, and so will allow modular replacement of the control algorithms used to convert desired motion of the robot into drive signals for the motors.

Most children's toys use either one motor with a mechanical linkage to cause the toy to turn when the motor is reversed, or two motors. Two-motor toys frequently use either differential steering or have one motor supply drive power and the other provide steering. All of these toys can be controlled by TinyRobo controller modules.

The processor of the TinyRobo controller is an ESP-8266 wifi module. The ESP-8266 costs approximately \$3-5, and contains both a wireless interface and a micro controller that can be programmed from a variety of programming environments and languages, including Lua and the Arduino variant of C/C++. The ESP-8266 is available in several form factors, each designated by a different suffix. The version selected is the ESP-8266-03, which offers more GPIO pins than most other versions, and includes an internal antenna.

The motor controller in the TinyRobo boards is the DRV8830 IC by Texas Instruments. The DRV8830 provides 1A of drive current, and is controlled by the ESP-8266 over an I2C serial interface. Experimental tests with 8 different toys indicate that small toys draw well under 1A while moving freely, and peak around 2A when the motors are stalled. The tested toys include 3 insect-styled walkers, 3 wheeled vehicles (2 differential drive, 1 Ackerman steering), 1 toy helicopter, and 1 toy quadcopter. The DRV8830 provides overcurrent limiting, so a stall condition or short circuit of the motor leads will disable the motor drive, but not damage the DRV8830.

The I2C interface used for the motor drivers can be extended to customize the robots, by adding sensors or more motor drivers. Because adding additional sensors on board would increase the size and cost of the robot, the basic board does not include them. Future versions of the board may include connection points for I2C expansions, but at present these expansions can be connected to the same traces that provide I2C signals to the motor drivers.

The TinyRobo control module also provides connections for a 3.7V lithium-ion battery pack, as well as charge control circuitry for the battery. The charge controller allows the TinyRobo to be charged from the same USB connection that is used to change the programming of the ESP-8266. Reset and entry into programming mode is controlled by a separate USB-to-serial adapter board, the Sparkfun BOB-11736. Moving this functionality to the adapter board reduces the size and cost of the TinyRobo control module.

Instead of a comprehensive suite of physical sensors, a software framework is being developed to provide virtual sensors based on the view from a camera mounted over the swarm experiment area. This software framework will also provide virtualized inter-robot networking and computational power beyond that available on the robots. As a result, the robots will be able to behave as though they had capabilities such as global localization and obstacle range sensors, without having to include hardware on the robots to provide these features.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

TinyRobo provides a hardware platform for relatively cheap and easy construction of tabletop swarm robots. Unlike many previously existing platforms, it is commercially available, and can be extended by users to new mobility hardware. The TinyRobo schematics and PCB design files are available at <https://github.com/ab3nd/TinyRobo/>, and the PCBs are commercially available from Dirty PCBs at <http://dirtypcbs.com/view.php?share=19993&accesskey=05f2c039a03e3f4ff6dc1a4ad2efa9ee>

REFERENCES

- [Arvin et al., 2009] Arvin, F., Samsudin, K., and Ramli, A. R. (2009). Development of a miniature robot for swarm robotic application. *sensors*, 1793:8163.
- [Bergbreiter and Pister, 2003] Bergbreiter, S. and Pister, K. S. (2003). Cotsbots: An off-the-shelf platform for distributed robotics. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2003.(IROS 2003). Proceedings. 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 1632–1637. IEEE.
- [Caprari et al., 1998] Caprari, G., Balmer, P., Piguat, R., and Siegwart, R. (1998). The autonomous micro robot alice: a platform for scientific and commercial applications. In *Micromechatronics and Human Science, 1998. MHS'98. Proceedings of the 1998 International Symposium on*, pages 231–235. IEEE.
- [Colot et al., 2004] Colot, A., Caprari, G., and Siegwart, R. (2004). Inshot: Design of an autonomous mini mobile robot able to interact with cockroaches. In *Robotics and Automation, 2004. Proceedings. ICRA'04. 2004 IEEE International Conference on*, volume 3, pages 2418–2423. IEEE.
- [Floyd et al., 2008] Floyd, S., Pawashe, C., and Sitti, M. (2008). An untethered magnetically actuated micro-robot capable of motion on arbitrary surfaces. In *Robotics and Automation, 2008. ICRA 2008. IEEE International Conference on*, pages 419–424. IEEE.
- [Kernbach, 2011] Kernbach, S. (2011). Swarmrobot. org-open-hardware microrobotic project for large-scale artificial swarms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.5762*.
- [Laird et al., 2014] Laird, D., Price, J., and Raptis, I. A. (2014). Spider-bots: A low cost cooperative robotics platform.
- [Pelrine et al., 2012] Pelrine, R., Wong-Foy, A., McCoy, B., Holeman, D., Mahoney, R., Myers, G., Herson, J., and Low, T. (2012). Diamagnetically levitated robots: An approach to massively parallel robotic systems with unusual motion properties. In *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2012 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 739–744. IEEE.
- [Rubenstein et al., 2014] Rubenstein, M., Ahler, C., Hoff, N., Cabrera, A., and Nagpal, R. (2014). Kilobot: A low cost robot with scalable operations designed for collective behaviors. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 62(7):966–975.
- [Seyfried et al., 2005] Seyfried, J., Szymanski, M., Bender, N., Estana, R., Thiel, M., and Wörn, H. (2005). The i-swarm project: Intelligent small world autonomous robots for micro-manipulation. In *Swarm Robotics*, pages 70–83. Springer.
- [Soule and Heckendorn, 2011] Soule, T. and Heckendorn, R. B. (2011). Cotsbots: computationally powerful, low-cost robots for computer science curriculums. *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges*, 27(1):180–187.