Sam Young

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes I was able to clone, however I can't build because I do not have a MAC so that is okay.	N/A
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The flow was easy to follow. I wish there were more comments but most of the code is self-explanatory.	Added additional comments where gaps were present.
Impleme ntation	Is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I don't have too much knowledge on SWIFT programming. However, it seems similar to java and all the components are placed in the corresponding files which is good.	N/A
Maintain ability	Are there unity tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There are not unit tests. I feel like it would be useful so that people don't have to manually test.	The most important feature to the application can't be tested with unit tests. Manual testing is the only way, as stated in a text file find in the test directory.
Require ments	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Yes, the code measures an object as shown in the video demo.	N/A
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	None	N/A

Jared Beale

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	No. The application required MacOS to be built and configured; I am on Windows. Khoa demonstrated during the code review by following the README to build and run the code.	N/A
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Flow was sane, and variables were clearly named. Methods were easy to follow, and code was styled well. Some commented code was not removed (see ViewController.swift, Controller/InformationViewController.swift, Controller/ARViewController.swift). Comments were well placed and worded to clarify code.	Removed excess code.
Impleme ntation	Is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Being no expert on swift or app development, I cannot say if there were safer/faster/easier ways to write functionally equivalent code. Some unused files could have been removed. Specifically, Controller/Charts/BarChartViewController.swift, Controller/LineChartViewController.swift, and the directories BabyMeasurementTest/ and BabyMeasurementUITests/.	Removed unused files.
Maintain ability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There are currently no unit tests. There are folders and files for tests blocked out with empty functions. Group 26 said these were generated by XCode, their development environment, but were unused. They physically tested their app using a tape measure to ensure correctness instead, because automating physical distance is impossible.	N/A

Require	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	The application fulfills the requirements. Using the	N/A
ments		application, non-technical users (parents) could	
		achieve a "good enough" measurement of the length	
l		of their baby by placing points in an AR	
l		environment and comparing these measurements to	
		information from the World Health Organization.	
Other	Are there other things that stand out	Nothing stands out.	N/A
	that can be improved?		
	<u>e</u>	Nothing stands out.	IV/A

maddaloc

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	i dont have mac so i wasn't able to see if there were compile warnings or development logs still being shown.	N/A
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	-The LineChartViewController in the controller directory didn't quite make sense to be named that, since they described it to be a MultipleChartWrapperController -most of the controller view files easy to read and code style good.	That view controller no longer exists after cleaning up the repository. All file names now align themselves to an appropriate name.
Impleme ntation	Is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Id say it would be hard to refactor into less code, nothing seems overly complex cluttered-code wise. most written files were cleanly typed.	We removed unnecessary files such as LineChartViewController and BarChartViewController that were no longer being used

		-i did notice some unused files and they should be implemented or deleted. Controller/LineChartView	
Maintain ability	Are there unity tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	No unit tests. There should probably be unit tests on the create account page.	No unit tests are needed, only manual tests as specified by Vishnu. Main functionality (AR measurement) can't be unit tested
Require ments	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	According to their requirements It mentioned the sections 3.2 and 3.2 of their requirements document appeared fully satisfied based	N/A
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?		N/A