Weekend Problem

Define the floor function, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ where $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$.

- (a) Let $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Use the $\delta \epsilon$ definition to show that f is continuous at a. Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then, we have the ϵ -ball $N_{\epsilon}(f(a)) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Since $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Let $g = a - \lfloor a \rfloor$ represent the non-integer component of a; innately, 0 < g < 1 as $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$. If $g < \frac{1}{2}$, then choose $\delta = \frac{g}{2}$ and so $f(N_{\delta}(a)) \subset N_{\epsilon}(f(a))$, which is obvious since every $x \in (N_{\delta}(a))$ has the function value f(a). If $g = \frac{1}{2}$, then choose $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$, and so every $x \in (a - \frac{1}{4}, a + \frac{1}{4})$ has a function value $f(a) \in (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$. If $g > \frac{1}{2}$, choose $\delta = \frac{1-g}{2}$ and so every $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ has a function value $f(a) \in (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$. We have shown, for each case of g, a $\delta > 0$ exists, so thus, f is continuous at all $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$. \square
- (b) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Use the $\delta \epsilon$ definition to show that f is not continuous at a.

Proof: Suppose for contradiction that f is continuous for an integer a. Then, by definition, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in E$ (where $E \subset \mathbb{R}$) if $d_x(x,a) < \delta$, then $d_y(f(x),f(a)) < \epsilon$. Let an $\epsilon > 0$ that is sufficiently small be given. Then, we must show that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in E = N_{\epsilon}(a)$, $f(E) \subset N_{\epsilon}(f(a))$. By definition, $N_{\delta}(a) = (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ and $N_{\epsilon}(f(a)) = (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$; the latter is due to definition that a = f(a) for integers a. So, all $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ have their function values in $(a - \delta, a + \delta)$. Since f returns exclusively integers, any element f0 and f1 has a function value of f2. Since f3 to be sufficiently small. Let f0 and f1 as any f2 and f3 as a function value at least f4. Therefore, we have the contradiction that f4 (f6) and f7 are the formula of f8. Therefore, we have the contradiction that f6 and f8 while also f6 and f8 are formula of f8 and f8 are formula of f8. Therefore, we have the contradiction that f6 and f8 are formula of f8. Therefore, we have the contradiction that f9 and f9 are formula of f9.