Solution: Vignesh Nydhruva

Problem: Let  $\lambda=(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)=\frac{z_1-z_3}{z_1-z_4}/\frac{z_2-z_3}{z_2-z_4}$  (the cross ratio) and let  $\sigma$  be a permutation on  $\{1,2,3,4\}$ . Then, prove that the value of  $\sigma(\lambda):=(z_{\sigma(1)},z_{\sigma(2)},z_{\sigma(3)},z_{\sigma(4)})$  is one of  $\lambda,\frac{1}{\lambda},1-\lambda,\frac{1}{1-\lambda},1-\frac{1}{\lambda},\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ .

*Proof:* The main idea of this proof is to introduce the operation of swapping elements in a cross ratio and observe its connection to functions of  $\lambda$ , along with their compositions. The first part of this proof requires tedious algebra calculations, which we will omit here. First, notice that swapping  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in the cross ratio for  $\lambda$  gives  $(z_2, z_1, z_3, z_4) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Additionally, instead swapping  $z_3$  and  $z_4$  in  $\lambda$  gives  $(z_1, z_2, z_4, z_3) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Since both of these swaps give the same function of  $\lambda$ , we declare them equivalent; we thus have the denotion  $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$  or  $z_3 \leftrightarrow z_4$  gives  $\lambda \stackrel{S_1}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . We also consider the other types of swaps that can be made (with the following denotions).  $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_3$  or  $z_2 \leftrightarrow z_4$  gives  $\lambda \xrightarrow{S_2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$  and lastly,  $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_4$  or  $z_2 \leftrightarrow z_3$  gives  $\lambda \stackrel{S_3}{\longmapsto} 1 - \lambda$ . It follows trivially that any permutation of the elements in the cross ration  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  can be formed by composing the swap operations previously listed. Additionally, if  $\sigma$  preserves the positions of all except two of the  $z_i$ 's then  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is one of  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ ,  $1-\lambda$ . Also, recognize that if a permutation consists of two nonequivalent swaps, then none of  $z_i$ 's are in the original placement in  $\sigma(\lambda)$ . By the definition of a swap (that acts on two elements), it follows that the number of elements that retain their position after  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is either 4, 2, or 0. Furthermore, it can be verified (through calculation) that any permutation  $\sigma$  on  $\{1,2,3,4\}$  can be obtained by performing at most 3 swaps. If we perform 0 swaps, we have the identity permutation, namely,  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \lambda$ , so  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$ . If we perform 1 swap, then, as shown previously,  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is one of  $\lambda, 1 - \lambda, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}$ . If we perform 2 nonequivalent swaps, then  $\sigma(\lambda)$  preserves the position of none of the  $z_i$ 's. It then follows that by the choice of the maps  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  (each given by 2 equivalent swaps), we construct the bijection  $z_3$ }, with  $a_i \leftrightarrow b_i$  for  $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ . Thus, thinking the swaps in any  $b_i$  as equivalent, we have that any map  $S_i$  acting on  $\lambda$  corresponds to a particular swap, and conversely. Thus, composing distinct  $S_i$  maps (respectively, indistinct) is equivalent to composing nonequivalent swaps (respectively, equivalent). Now consider the possible compositions of two nonequivalent  $S_i$  maps. Permuting the indices in  $S_i \circ S_j$ (letting  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  with  $i \neq j$ ) gives the maps  $\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \lambda \mapsto 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . However, the final case to consider is when  $\sigma$  can only be formed from performing 3 swaps, with repetition allowed, but not consecutively. Then, all possible permutations  $\sigma$ are considered and hence, it can be verified that each of these 3-compositions gives a value in the list  $\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda}, 1 - \lambda, \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ .  $\Box$ .