| <u>.</u> -5 | 45. Akchurst concludes that India actually had prior  |
|-------------|---|
|             | deleted from the Official Record of the UN  |
|             | ("Humanitarian Intervention," 96–97).   |
|             | 46. Amin attempted to justify this move by claiming that  |
| lism        | Tanzania had previously invaded Ugandan territory.<br>47. Tesón, H <i>umanitarian Intervention</i> , 164. |
|             | 48. Ibid., 164–67.  |
| N.          | 49. As quoted in Akehurst, "Humanitarian Interven-  |
| ú           | tion," 99.  |
| rnta        | 50. As quoted ibid., 97 n. 17.  |
| ياد .       | 51. One reason for the virtual absence of humanitarian  |
|             | arguments in this case, compared to the Tanzahian<br>case, may have been the way the intervention was     |
| [ar]        | conducted. Tanzania exerted much less control over  |
|             | the kind of regime that replaced Amin, making the   |
| 30          | subsequent Ugandan regime's defense of Tanzania's   |
|             | actions as "liberation" less implausible than were  |
| 1.          | Vietnam's claims that it, too, was helping to liberate  |
| ulti-       | Cambodia by installing a puppet regime that   |
| pose        | answered to Hanoi.  |
| ial         | 52. The definition in Article 2 of the 1948 Genocide  |
| WO          | Convention lists the following specific acts as included  |
| .0.         | in the term "genocide": "(a) Killing members of the   |
|             | group; (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to   |
| lti-        | members of the group; (c) Deliberately inflicting on  |
|             | the group conditions of life calculated to bring about  |
| son,        | its physical destruction in whole or in part; (d) Impos-  |
|             | ing measures intended to prevent births within the  |
| Ioit        | group; (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group  |
| don,        | to another group" (Convention on the prevention and   |
| al          | punishment of the crime of genocide, Adopted by   |
| :           | Resolution 260 (III) A of the United Nations General  |
| The         | Assembly on December 9, 1948, Available at http://  |
| llion;      | www.unhchr.ch/hrml/menu3/b/p_genoci.htm).   |
| -hen-       | 53. For particularly damning accounts, see Philip Goure-  |
| lion.       | vitch, We Wish to Inform You That Tomorrow We Will  |
| ıding       | Be Killed with Our Families (New York: Farfar, Straus   |
|             | and Giroux, 1998), chap. 11: and Samantha Power,  |
| sem-        | "A Problem from Hell": America and the Age of Geno-   |
| ا<br>ا      | cide (New York: Basic Books, 2002), chap. 10.   |
| rian        | 34. The suppression of a cable from the United Nations  |
|             | Assistance Mission in Rwanda (UNAMIR)   |

Michael Barnett, Eyewinness to a Genocide: The United Nations and Rwanda (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 2002); Michael Barnett, "The UN Security Council, Indifference, and Genocide and ëvil." and accompanying websire at www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/shows/frontline/evil. The U.S, administration's attempts to avoid "the G word" would have oeen comical if they did not have such tragic effect see the *Frøntline* documentary, "The Triumph of Svil," and interviews with James Woods and Tony commander in Kigali, Dallaire, to his superiors at the Department of Peace Operations in New York (then run by Kofi Annan) was a scandal when it wuncovered. See Gourevitch, We Wish to Inform You 51–78; Frontline documentary. "The Triumph of 3wanda," *Cultural Amthropology* 12, no. 4 (1997): Marley at www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/shows/frontli

- twentieth century and never acted to stop any of them. I do not dispute her claim; rather, we are investigating a different question. Power wants to know why the United States has not acred to stop genocide; I want to know why the United States has done any ion at all. See Power, "1. Pr Samantha Power would probably be unimpressed with this change. She argues that the United State has known about virtually every genocide in the
  - 56. John G. Ruggie, "Multilateralism: The Anatomy of an Institution," in Ruggie, *Multilateralism Matters*, Ruggie's edited volume provides an excellent analysi sources and power of multilateral norms
- "better" or more efficient and effective than the nineteenth-century brand. I contend only that it is owever explain these qualitative changes in multi particular challenge to neoliberal institutionalists These scholars have sophisticated arguments abo different. This difference in multilateralism post 52 Contemporary multilateralism is not, therefore ateralism, nor can they explain changes in the

Finnemore: Changing Norms of Humanitarian Intervention



- amount of multilateral activity over time without appealing to exogenous variables (like changes in markets or technology).

  58. For a more generalized argument about the ways international organizations enjoy legitimacy of action because they are able to present themselves as guardians of community interests as opposed to self-seeking states, see Michael N. Barnett and Martha
- Finnemore, The Power and Pathologies of International Organizations (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, fortheoming).

  59. Ruggie, Multilateralism Matters, 60. Barnett and Finnemore, "The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations": Barnett and Finnemore, The Power and Pathologies of International American Pathologies of International Organizations.