EXACT FUNCTORS ON PERVERSE COHERENT SHEAVES

CLEMENS KOPPENSTEINER NOVEMBER 21, 2013

Inspired by symplectic geometry and a microlocal characterizations of constructible perverse sheaves we consider an alternative definition of perverse coherent sheaves: we show that a coherent sheaf is perverse if and only if $R\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for special subvarieties Z of X. These subvarieties Z are analogs of Lagrangians in the symplectic case.

1. INTRODUCTION

A general way to obtain insights about the heart of a t-structure is to study exact functors on the t-structure. For example, for the category of constructible perverse sheaves on a complex manifold, one can obtain a large amount of exact functors by taking vanishing cycles [KS94, Corollary 10.3.13].

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible (middle) perverse sheaf on an affine Kähler manifold X. Let $x \in X$ be point and $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ a suitably chosen holomorphic Morse function with f(x) = 0 and single critical point x. Then the stalk $(\varphi_f \mathscr{F})_x$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0. A more "geometric" formulation of this statement can be obtained in the following way. Let L be the stable manifold for the gradient of the Morse function $\Re ef$. Write $\iota_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow L$ and $\iota_L: L \hookrightarrow X$ for the inclusions. Then $\iota_x^* \iota_L^! \mathscr{F}$ is also concentrated in cohomological degree 0. Note that L is a Lagrangian with respect to the symplectic structure given by the Kähler form.

Now consider a symplectic variety X (in the sense of [Beaoo]) with an action by a group G such that the G-orbits give a symplectic foliation of X. In this situation there is a middle perversity t-structure on the derived category $D_c^b(X)^G$ of coherent G-equivariant sheaves (see Section 2 for a review of the theory of perverse coherent sheaves). Let L be a Lagrangian on X, i.e. a smooth subvariety that intersects every symplectic leaf in a Lagrangian. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ be a perverse coherent sheaf on X. Following the intuition obtained in the constructible case, is natural to ask whether the !-restriction $\iota_L^!\mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} to L is concentrated in degree 0.

For an arbitrary variety X with a G-action that has finitely many orbits, we define the notion of a *measuring subvariety* as an analog of a Lagrangian in the symplectic case (Definition 4). Our main theorem (Theorem 6) then states that a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G$ is perverse if and only if $\iota^!_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for all measuring subvarieties Z of X

Example 1. Let N be the nilpotent cone in the complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{Sl}_n and let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ act on N adjointly. Then the dimensions of the G-orbits in N are known to be even dimensional. Thus there exists a middle perversity p with $p(O) = \frac{1}{2} \dim O$ for each G-orbit O. Let X be the flag variety for \mathfrak{Sl}_n and $\mu: T^*X \to N$ the Springer resolution. Choose a point $x \in X$. Then T_x^*X is a Lagrangian in T^*X and one can show explicitly that $\mu(T_x^*X)$ is a measuring subvariety of N. Thus a sheaf $\mathscr{F} \in D_c^b(N)^G$ is perverse if and only if $R\Gamma_{\mu(T_x^*X)}\mathscr{F}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for all $x \in X$.

Since the motivating observation about constructible perverse sheaves does not seem to be in the literature (though [MVo7, Theorem 3.5] is is the same spirit), we give a direct proof of the statement in the appendix.

1.1. SETUP AND NOTATION

notation.

Let X be a finite-dimensional Noetherian separated scheme over an algebraically closed field k. Let G be an algebraic group over k acting on X. Until Section 3 we include the possibility of G being trivial. We write X^{top} for the subset of the Zariski space of X consisting of generic points of G-invariant subschemes and equip X^{top} with the induced topology. To simplify notation, if $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ is any point, we write \overline{x} for the closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ and $\dim x = \dim \overline{x}$. We write D(X), $D_{qc}(X)$ and $D_c(X)$ for the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and its full subcategories consisting of complexes with quasi-coherent and coherent cohomology sheaves respectively. The corresponding categories of G-equivariant sheaves (i.e. the categories for the quotient stack [X/G]) are denoted $D(X)^G$, $D_{qc}(X)^G$ and $D_c(X)^G$. As usual, $D^b(X)$ (etc.) is the full subcategory of D(X) consisting of complexes with cohomology in only finitely many degrees. All functors are derived, though we usually do not explicitly mention it in the

For a subset Y of a topological space X we write ι_Y for the inclusion of Y into X. If $x \in X$ is a point, then we simply write ι_X for $\iota_{\{x\}}$. Let Z be a closed subset of X. For an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathscr{F} let $\Gamma_Z\mathscr{F}$ be the subsheaf of \mathscr{F} of sections with support in Z [Har66, Variation 3 in IV.1]. By abuse of notation, we simply write Γ_Z for the right-derived functor $R\Gamma_Z$: $D_{qc}(X) \to D_{qc}(X)$. Recall that Γ_Z only depends on the closed subset Z, and not on the structure of Z as a subscheme.

Let x be a (not necessarily closed) point of X and $\mathscr{F} \in D^b(X)$. Then $\iota_x^*\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_x \in D^b(\mathscr{O}_x\text{-Mod})$ denotes the (derived) functor of talking stalks. We further set $\iota_x^!\mathscr{F} = \iota_x^*\Gamma_{\overline{x}}$, cf. [Har66, Variation 8 in iv.1].

We assume that X has a G-equivariant dualizing complex \mathcal{R} (see [Bezoo, Definition 1]) which we assume to be normalized, i.e. $\iota_x^! \mathcal{R}$ is concentrated in degree – dim x for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$. For $\mathcal{F} \in D(X)$ (or $D(X)^G$) we write $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R})$ for its dual.

1.2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The motivating observation about constructible sheaves was suggested to me by my advisor, David Nadler. I would also like to thank Sam Gunningham for valuable comments and Geordie Williamson for answering a question on MathOverflow (see Lemma 11).

2. PERVERSE COHERENT SHEAVES

By *perversity* we mean a function $p: \{0, ..., \dim X\} \to \mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ we abuse notation and set $p(x) = p(\dim x)$. Then $p: X^{\text{top}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a perversity function in the sense of [Bezoo]. Note that we insist that p(x) only depends on the dimension of \overline{x} . A perversity is called *monotone* if it is decreasing and *comonotone* if the *dual perversity* $\overline{p}(n) := -n - p(n)$ is decreasing. It is *strictly monotone* (resp. *strictly comonotone*) if for all $x, y \in X^{\text{top}}$ with dim $x < \dim y$ one has p(x) > p(y) (resp. $\overline{p}(x) > \overline{p}(y)$). Note that a strictly monotone perversity is not necessarily strictly decreasing (e.g. if X only has even-dimensional G-orbits).

Recall that if p is a monotone and comonotone perversity then Bezrukavnikov (following Deligne) defines a t-structure on $D_c^b(X)^G$ by taking the following full subcategories [Bezoo; ABoq]:

$${}^p D^{\leq 0}(X) = \big\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \iota_X^* \mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_X\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}) \text{ for all } x \in X^{\text{top}} \big\},$$

$${}^p D^{\geq 0}(X) = \big\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \iota_X^! \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_X\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}) \text{ for all } x \in X^{\text{top}} \big\}.$$

The heart of this t-structure is called the category of perverse sheaves with respect to the perversity p.

In [Kaso4], Kashiwara also gives a definition of a perverse t-structure on $D_c^b(X)$. While we work in Bezrukavnikov's setting (i.e. in the equivariant derived category on a potentially singular scheme), we need a description of the perverse t-structure that is closer to the one Kashiwara uses. This is accomplished in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ and let p be a monotone and comonotone perversity function.

- (a) The following are equivalent:
 - (i) $\mathscr{F} \in {}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X)$, i.e. $\iota_{x}^{*}\mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_{x}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (ii) $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) > k \text{ for all } k$.
- (b) If p is strictly monotone, then the following are equivalent
 - (i) $\mathscr{F} \in {}^{p}D^{\geq 0}(X)$, i.e. $\iota_{x}^{!}\mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_{x}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (ii) $\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(X)$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (iii) $\Gamma_Y \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}(X)$ for all G-invariant closed subvarieties Y of X;
 - (iv) dim $(\overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) \le -p(x) k$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ and all k.

A crucial fact that we will implicitly use quite often in the following arguments is that the support of a coherent sheaf is always closed. In particular, this means that if x is a generic point and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf, then $\iota_x^*\mathcal{F}=0$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}|_U=0$ for some open set U intersecting \overline{x} .

Proof.

- (a) First let $\mathcal{F} \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and assume for contradiction that there exists an integer k such that $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) < k$. Let x be the generic point of an irreducible component of maximal dimension of $\operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})$. Then $H^k(\iota_x^*\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. But on the other hand, $\iota_x^*\mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathcal{O}_x\operatorname{-Mod})$ and $p(x) = p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) < k$, yielding a contradiction. Conversely assume that $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) \geq k$ for all k and let $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$. If $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$ if $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$ is that $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$. Thus monotonicity of the perversity implies that $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$.
- (b) The implications from (iii) to (ii) and (ii) to (i) are trivial and the equivalence of (ii) and (iv) follows from Lemma 3 below. Thus we only need to show that (i) implies (iii). So assume that $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$. We induct on the dimension of Y.

If dim Y = 0, then $\Gamma(X, \Gamma_Y \mathscr{F}) = \bigoplus_{v \in Y^{\text{top}}} \iota_v^! \mathscr{F}$ and thus $\Gamma_Y \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq p(0)}(X)$ by assumption.

Now let dim Y > 0. We first assume that Y is irreducible with generic point $x \in X^{\text{top}}$. Let k be the smallest integer such that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F}) \neq 0$ and assume that k < p(x). We will show that this implies that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F}) = 0$, giving a contradiction.

We first show that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F})$ is coherent. Let $j: X \setminus \overline{x} \hookrightarrow X$ and consider the distinguished triangle

$$\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$
.

Applying cohomology to it we get an exact sequence

$$H^{k-1}(j_*j^*\mathcal{F}) \to H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}}\mathcal{F}) \to H^k(\mathcal{F}).$$

By assumption, $k-1 \le p(x)-2$, so that $H^{k-1}(j_*j^*\mathscr{F})$ is coherent by the Grothendieck Finiteness Theorem in the form of [Bezoo, Corollary 3]. As $H^k(\mathscr{F})$ is coherent by definition, this implies that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathscr{F})$ also has to be coherent.

Set $Z = \operatorname{supp} H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F})$. Then, since $\iota_x^* H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F}) = H^k(\iota_x^! \mathscr{F})$ vanishes, Z is a proper closed subset of \overline{x} . We consider the distinguished triangle

$$H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F})[-k] \to \Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F} \to \tau_{>k}\Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

and apply Γ_Z to it:

$$\Gamma_Z H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{F})[-k] = H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{F})[-k] \to \Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \to \Gamma_Z \tau_{>k} \Gamma_{\overline{X}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Since dim $Z < \dim x$, we can use the induction hypothesis and monotonicity of p to deduce that $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is in degrees at least $p(\dim Z) \ge p(x) > k$. Clearly $\Gamma_Z \tau_{>k} \Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F}$ is also in degrees larger than k. Hence $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F})$ has to vanish.

If Y is not irreducible, let Y_1 be an irreducible component of Y and Y_2 be the union of the other components. Then there is a Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle

$$\Gamma_{Y_1\cap Y_2}\mathcal{F}\to \Gamma_{Y_1}\mathcal{F}\oplus \Gamma_{Y_2}\mathcal{F}\to \Gamma_{Y}\mathcal{F}\xrightarrow{+1},$$

where $\Gamma_{Y_1\cap Y_2}\mathscr{F}\in D^{\geq p(\dim Y_1\cap Y_2)}(X)\subseteq D^{\geq p(\dim Y)+1}$ (by the induction hypothesis and strict monotonicity of p) and $\Gamma_{Y_1}\mathscr{F}$ and $\Gamma_{Y_2}\mathscr{F}$ are in $D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}(X)$ by induction on the number of components of Y. Thus $\Gamma_Y\mathscr{F}\in D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}$ as required. \square

Lemma 3 ([Kaso4, Proposition 5.2]). Let $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$, Z a closed subset of X, and n an integer. Then $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq n}_{ac}(X)$ if and only if $\dim(Z \cap \operatorname{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}))) \leq -k - n$ for all k.

This lemma extends [Kaso4, Proposition 5.2] to singular varieties. The proof is same as for the smooth case, but we will include it here for completeness.

Proof. By [SGA2, Proposition VII.1.2], $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq n}_{qc}(X)$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \in D_c^{\geq n}(X)$$
 (1)

for all $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$ with supp $\mathscr{G} \subseteq Z$. Let d(n) = -n be the dual standard perversity. Then by [Bezoo, Lemma 5a], (1) holds if and only if $\mathbb{D}\mathscr{Hom}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{F}) \in {}^dD^{\leq -n}(X)$. By [Har66, Proposition v.2.6], $\mathbb{D}\mathscr{Hom}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{F}) = \mathscr{G} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathbb{D}\mathscr{F}$, so that by Proposition 2(a) we need to show that

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k\left(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}\right) \leq -k - n$$

for all k. By [Kaso4, Lemma 5.3] this is equivalent to

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{DF}) \right) \le -k - n$$

for all k, completing the proof.

3. MEASURING SUBVARIETIES

From now on we assume that the *G*-action has finitely many orbits.

Definition 4. Let p be a perversity. A p-measuring subvariety of X is an equidimensional subvariety Z of X such that the following conditions hold for each $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ with $\overline{x} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$:

- $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) = p(x) + \dim x$;
- $\overline{x} \cap Z$ is the underlying variety of a regularly embedded subscheme in \overline{x} , i.e., up to radical $\overline{x} \cap Z$ it is locally defined in \overline{x} by exactly -p(x) functions.

We say that *X* has *enough p-measuring subvarieties* if for each $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ there exists a *p*-measuring subvariety *Z* with $Z \cap \overline{x} \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 5. Let Z be a p-measuring subvariety. Then $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) = -\overline{p}(x)$. Thus comonotonicity of p ensures that if $\dim y \le \dim x$ then $\dim(\overline{y} \cap Z) \le \dim(\overline{x} \cap Z)$ for each p-measuring Z. Monotonicity of p then further says that $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) - \dim(\overline{y} \cap Z) \le \dim x - \dim y$. We clearly have $0 \le \dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) \le \dim x$ and hence $-\dim x \le p(x) \le 0$. We will show in Theorem 9 that these condition are actually sufficient for the existence of enough p-measuring subvarieties, at least when X is affine.

Theorem 6. Let p be a strictly monotone and (not necessarily strictly) comonotone perversity and assume that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. Then,

(i)
$${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X) = \{ \mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(X) \text{ for all p-measuring subvarieties } Z \};$$

$$(ii) \ \ ^p\!D^{\geq 0}(X) = \big\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \Gamma_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(X) \ for \ all \ p\text{-measuring subvarieties} \ Z \big\}.$$

Therefore the sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ is perverse with respect to p if and only if $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is cohomologically concentrated in degree 0 for each p-measuring subvariety Z.

The following lemma encapsulates the central argument of the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Lemma 7. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)^G$ be a G-equivariant coherent sheaf on X, let p be a monotone perversity and let n be an integer. Assume that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}) \geq n$;
- (ii) $H^i(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i \ge -n + 1$ and all measuring subvarieties Z.

Proof. Since supp \mathcal{F} is always a union of the closure of orbits, we can restrict to the support and assume that supp $\mathcal{F} = X$.

First assume that $p(\dim X) = p(\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}) \ge n$. By the definition of a p-measuring subvariety, this means that, up to radical, Z can be locally defined by at most -n equations. Thus $H^i(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for i > -n [BS98, Theorem 3.3.1].

Now assume conversely that $H^i(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i \ge -n+1$ and all measuring subvarieties Z. We have to show that $p(\dim X) \ge n$. Set $d = \dim X$. Choose any p-measuring subvariety Z. Then $\operatorname{codim}_X Z = -p(d)$. We will show that $H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}) \ne 0$ and hence $p(d) \ge n$ by assumption. Take some affine open subset U of X such that $U \cap Z$ is non-empty and irreducible in U. It suffices to show that the cohomology is non-zero in U. Thus we can assume without loss of generality that X is affine, say $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, and Z is irreducible. Write $Z = V(\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A. By flat base change [BS98, Theorem 4.3.2],

$$\Gamma(X,H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_{\!\!Z}\mathscr{F}))_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-p(d)}(\Gamma(X,\mathscr{F}))\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=H_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-p(d)}(\Gamma(X,\mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

Since dim supp $\mathscr{F} = \dim X = d$, the dimension of the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\Gamma(X, \mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is -p(d). Thus by the Grothendieck non-vanishing theorem [BS98, Theorem 6.1.4] $H_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-p(d)}(\Gamma(X, \mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ and hence $\Gamma(X, H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_Z \mathscr{F})) \neq 0$ as required.

Proof of Theorem 6.

(i) We use the description of ${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X)$ given by Proposition 2, i.e.

$${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X) = \{ \mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G : p(\dim(\operatorname{supp} H^n(\mathcal{F}))) \geq n \text{ for all } n \}.$$

We induct on the largest k such that $H^k(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ to show that $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$ if and only if $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(X)$ for all p-measuring subvarieties Z.

The equivalence is trivial for $k \ll 0$. For the induction step note that there is a distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to H^k(\mathcal{F})[-k] \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Applying the functor Γ_Z and taking cohomology we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{split} \cdots &\to H^1(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F})) \to H^1(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) \to H^{k+1}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathcal{F}))) \to \\ & \qquad \qquad H^2(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F})) \to H^2(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) \to H^{k+2}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathcal{F}))) \to \cdots. \end{split}$$

By induction, $H^j(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k}\mathscr{F}))$ vanishes for $j \ge 1$ so that $H^j(\Gamma_Z\mathscr{F}) \cong H^{k+j}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathscr{F})))$ for $j \ge 1$. Thus the statement follows from Lemma 7.

(ii) By Proposition 2(b), $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}$ if and only if

$$\dim\left(\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right)\leq -p(x)-k\qquad \text{for all }x\in X^{\operatorname{top}}\text{ and all }k.\quad (2)$$

Using Lemma 3 for $\Gamma_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(X)$, we see that we have to show the equivalence of (2) with

$$\dim (Z \cap \operatorname{supp} (H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) \le -k$$
 for all k and p -measuring Z .

Since there are only finitely many orbits, this is in turn equivalent to

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} \left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \right) \right) \le -k \qquad \forall x \in X^{\operatorname{top}}, k \text{ and } p\text{-measuring } Z. \tag{3}$$

We will show the equivalence for each fixed k separately. Let us first show the implication from (2) to (3). Since $H^k(\mathbb{DF})$ is G-equivariant and there are only finitely many G-orbits, it suffices to show (3) assuming that $\dim x \leq \dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$ and $\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\dim (\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} (H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) = \dim \overline{x}$. Thus,

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} \left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \right) \right) \le \dim(Z \cap \overline{x}) = p(x) + \dim x =$$

$$p(x) + \dim \left(\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} \left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \right) \right) \le p(x) - p(x) - k = -k.$$

Conversely, assume that (3) holds for k. If $\overline{x} \cap \text{supp } H^k(\mathbb{D}F) = \emptyset$, then (2) is trivially true. Otherwise choose a p-measuring Z that intersects supp $H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$. First assume that \overline{x} is contained in supp $H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$. Then

$$\dim\left(\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^{k}(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) = \dim x = -p(x) + \dim(Z\cap\overline{x}) = -p(x) + \dim\left(Z\cap\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^{k}(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) \le -p(x) - k.$$

Otherwise $\overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)) = \overline{y}$ for some $y \in X^{\text{top}}$ with dim $y < \dim x$. Then (2) holds for y in place of x and hence

$$\dim\left(\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right)=\dim\left(\overline{y}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right)\leq -p(y)-k\leq -p(x)-k$$
 by monotonicity of p .

Example 8. For the dual standard perversity p(n) = -n (i.e. $p(x) = -\dim x$), we recover the definition of Cohen-Macaulay sheaves [Har66, Section iv.3].

Of course, for the theorem to have any content, one needs to show that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. The next theorem shows that at least for affine varieties there are always enough measuring subvarieties whenever p satisfies the obvious conditions (see Remark 5).

Theorem 9. Assume that X is affine and the perversity p satisfies $-n \le p(n) \le 0$ and is monotone and comonotone. Then X has enough p-measuring subvarieties.

Proof. Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$. We induct on the dimension d. More precisely, we induct on the following statement:

There exists a closed equidimensional subvariety Z_d of X such that for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ the following holds:

- $Z_d \cap \overline{x} \neq \emptyset$ and $Z_d \cap \overline{x}$ is regularly embedded in \overline{x} ;
- if $\dim x \le d$, then $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z_d) = p(x) + \dim x$;
- if $\dim x > d$, then $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z_d) = p(d) + \dim x$.

We set p(-1) = 0. The statement is trivially true for d = -1, e.g. take Z = X. Assume that the statement is true for some $d \ge -1$. We want to show it for $d + 1 \le \dim X$.

If p(d) = p(d+1), then $Z_{d+1} = Z_d$ works. Otherwise, by (co)monotonicity, p(d+1) = p(d) - 1. Set $S = \bigcup \{\overline{x} \in X^{\text{top}} : \dim x \le d\}$. Since there are only finitely many orbits, we can choose a function f such that f vanishes identically on S, V(f) does not share a component with Z_d and V(f) intersects every \overline{x} with $\dim x > d$. Then $Z_{d+1} = Z_d \cap V(f)$ satisfies the conditions.

APPENDIX. CONSTRUCTIBLE SHEAVES

We return now to the claim about exact functors on the t-structure of constructible perverse sheaves made in the introduction. Let X be a complex manifold and \mathfrak{S} a finite stratification of X by complex submanifolds. We write $D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ for the bounded derived category of \mathfrak{S} -constructible sheaves on X. We call a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ perverse if it is perverse with respect to the middle perversity function on \mathfrak{S} . We are going to formulate and prove an analog of Theorem 6 in this situation.

A closed real submanifold Z of X is called a *measuring submanifold* if for each stratum S of X either $Z \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$ or $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z \cap S = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S$.

Theorem 10. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ is perverse if and only if $\iota^!_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for each measuring submanifold Z of X.

Lemma 11. Let X be a real manifold, \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf (concentrated in degree 0) on X and let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a closed submanifold. Then $H^j(i^!\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $j > \operatorname{codim}_X Z$.

Proof. By taking normal slices we can reduce to the case that $Z = \{z\}$ is a point. Let j be the inclusion of $X \setminus \{z\}$ into X and consider the distinguished triangle

$$i_! i^! \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$
.

By [KS94, Lemma 8.4.7] we have

$$H^{j}(j_{*}j^{*}\mathscr{F})_{7} = H^{j}(S_{\varepsilon}^{\dim X-1},\mathscr{F})$$

for a sphere $S^{\dim X-1}_{\varepsilon}$ around x of sufficiently small radius. The latter cohomology vanishes for $j \geq \dim X$ and hence $H^j(i^!\mathscr{F}) = 0$ for $j > \dim X$ as required.

Proof of Theorem. Clearly it is enough to check the condition on a collection of measuring submanifolds $\{Y_i\}$ such that each connected component of each stratum has non-empty intersection with at least one Y_i . Similarly to Theorem 9, one shows inductively that such a collection of submanifolds exists.

Define two full subcategories ${}^LD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and ${}^LD^{\geq 0}(X)$ of $D^b_{\varpi}(X)$ by

$${}^L\!D^{\leq 0}(X) = \left\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X) \, : \, \iota^!_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(Z) \text{ for all measuring submanifolds } Z \right\},$$

$${}^L\!D^{\geq 0}(X) = \left\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X) \, : \, \iota^!_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(Z) \text{ for all measuring submanifolds } Z \right\}.$$

We will show that these categories are the same as the categories ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(X)$ defining the perverse t-structure on $D^b_{cc}(X)$.

We induct on the number of strata. If X consists of only one stratum and Z is a measuring submanifold, then $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F} \cong \omega_{Z/X} \otimes \iota_Z^* \mathscr{F}$ and hence $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F}$ is in degree 0 if and only if \mathscr{F} is in degree $-\frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X$. So assume that X has more then one stratum. Without loss of generality we can assume that X is connected. Let U be the union of all open strata and F its complement. Both U and F are unions of strata of X. Let F be the inclusion of F and F the inclusion of F.

- If $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$, then $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}$ follows in exactly the same way as in the coherent case, using Lemma 11.
- Let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$. Then $i^! \mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(F)$ and $j^* \mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(U)$. Let Z be a measuring subvariety. Consider the distinguished triangle

$$i_*i^!\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to i_*i^*\mathcal{F}.$$

Using base change, induction and the (left)-exactness of the push-forward functors one sees that $\iota_Z^!$ of the outer sheaves in the triangle are concentrated in non-negative degrees. Thus so is $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F}$.

• Let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(X)$. Since all measurements are local this implies that $j^*\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(U) = {}^p D^{\geq 0}(U)$. Using the same triangle and argument as in the last point, this implies that also $i^! \mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(F) = {}^p D^{\geq 0}(F)$. Hence, by recollement, $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$.

 $^{^1}$ Following http://mathoverflow.net/questions/129244 by Geordie Williamson.

• Finally, let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(X)$. Again this immediately implies that $j^*\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(U) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(U)$. Thus $j_! j^*\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$. Let Z be a measuring submanifold and consider the distinguished triangle

$$\iota_Z^! j_! j^* \mathcal{F} \to \iota_Z^! \mathcal{F} \to \iota_Z^! i_* i^* \mathcal{F}.$$

By what we already know, the first sheaf is concentrated in non-positive degrees and hence so is $\iota_Z^! i_* i^* \mathcal{F}$. By base change and the exactness of i_* this implies that $i^* \mathcal{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(F) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(F)$. Hence, by recollement, $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$.

Remark 12. The equality ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(X) = {}^LD^{\geq 0}(X)$ could also be proved in exactly the same way as in the coherent case, using [KS94, Exercise x.10].

REFERENCES

- [ABo9] Dmitry Arinkin and Roman Bezrukavnikov. "Perverse coherent sheaves". In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb. 2009). arXiv: 0902.0349 [math.AG].
- [Beaoo] Arnaud Beauville. "Symplectic singularities". In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 139.3 (2000), pp. 541–549. DOI: 10.1007/s002229900043.
- [Bezoo] Roman Bezrukavnikov. "Perverse coherent sheaves (after Deligne)". In: *ArXiv e-prints* (May 2000). arXiv: math/0005152.
- [BS98] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp. Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 60. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998. ISBN: 0-521-37286-0. DOI: 10. 1017/CB09780511629204.
- [Har66] Robin Hartshorne. *Residues and Duality*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 20. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1966. vii+423.
- [Kaso4] Masaki Kashiwara. "t-structures on the derived categories of holonomic \mathscr{D} -modules and coherent \mathscr{O} -modules". In: Moscow Mathematical Journal 4.4 (2004), pp. 847–868. URL: http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/mmj173.
- [KS94] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. Sheaves on manifolds. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 292. With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel, Corrected reprint of the 1990 original. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994. x+512. ISBN: 3-540-51861-4.
- [MVo7] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen. "Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings". In: *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series* 166.1 (2007), pp. 95–143. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2007. 166.95.

[SGA2] Alexander Grothendieck and Michele Raynaud. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2).* Ed. by Yves Laszlo. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) 4. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962. Augmenté d'un exposé de Michèle Raynaud. Revised reprint of the 1968 French original. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2005. x+208. ISBN: 2-85629-169-4. arXiv: math/0511279.