EXACT FUNCTORS ON PERVERSE COHERENT SHEAVES

CLEMENS KOPPENSTEINER NOVEMBER 14, 2013

Inspired by symplectic geometry and a microlocal characterizations of constructible perverse sheaves we consider an alternative definition of perverse coherent sheaves: we show that a coherent sheaf is perverse if and only if $R\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for special subvarieties Z of X. These subvarieties Z are analogs of Lagrangians in the symplectic case.

1. Introduction

A general way to obtain insights about the heart of a t-structure is to study exact functors on the t-structure. For example, for the category of constructible perverse sheaves on a complex manifold, one can obtain a large amount of exact functors by taking vanishing cycles [KS94, Corollary 10.3.13].

Let \mathscr{F} be a constructible (middle) perverse sheaf on an affine Kähler manifold X. Let $x \in X$ be point and $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ a suitably chosen holomorphic Morse function with f(x) = 0 and single critical point x. Then the stalk $(\varphi_f \mathscr{F})_x$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0. A more "geometric" formulation of this statement can be obtained in the following way. Let L be the stable manifold for the gradient of the Morse function $\Re ef$. Write $\iota_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow L$ and $\iota_L: L \hookrightarrow X$ for the inclusions. Then $\iota_x^* \iota_L^! \mathscr{F}$ is also concentrated in cohomological degree 0. Note that L is a Lagrangian with respect to the symplectic structure given by the Kähler form.

Now consider a symplectic variety X (in the sense of [Beaoo]) with an action by a group G such that the G-orbits give a symplectic foliation of X. In this situation there is a middle perversity t-structure on the derived category $D_c^b(X)^G$ of coherent G-equivariant sheaves (see Section 2 for a review of the theory of perverse coherent sheaves). Let L be a Lagrangian on X, i.e. a smooth subvariety that intersects every symplectic leaf in a Lagrangian. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ be a perverse coherent sheaf on X. Following the intuition obtained in the constructible case, is natural to ask whether the !-restriction $\iota_L^!\mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} to L is concentrated in degree 0.

For an arbitrary variety X with a G-action that has finitely many orbits, we define the notion of a *measuring subvariety* as an analog of a Lagrangian in the symplectic case (Definition 4). Our main theorem (Theorem 6) then states that a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G$ is perverse if and only if $\iota^!_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for all measuring subvarieties Z of X.

Example 1. Let N be the nilpotent cone in the complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{SI}_n and let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ act on N adjointly. Then the dimensions of the G-orbits in N are known to be even dimensional.

Thus there exists a middle perversity p with $p(O) = \frac{1}{2} \dim O$ for each G-orbit O. Let X be the flag variety for \mathfrak{Sl}_n and $\mu \colon T^*X \to N$ the Springer resolution. Choose a point $x \in X$. Then T_x^*X is a Lagrangian in T^*X and one can show explicitly that $\mu(T_x^*X)$ is a measuring subvariety of N. Thus a sheaf $\mathscr{F} \in D_c^b(N)^G$ is perverse if and only if $R\Gamma_{\mu(T_x^*X)}\mathscr{F}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for all $x \in X$.

Since the motivating observation about constructible perverse sheaves does not seem to be in the literature (though [MVo7, Theorem 3.5] is is the same spirit), we give a direct proof of the statement in the appendix.

1.1. SETUP AND NOTATION

Let X be a finite-dimensional Noetherian separated scheme over an algebraically closed field k. Let G be an algebraic group over k acting on X. For the moment we include the possibility of G being trivial (this will change in Section 3). We write X^{top} for the subset of the Zariski space of X consisting of generic points of G-invariant subschemes and equip X^{top} with the induced topology. To simplify notation, if $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ is any point, we write \overline{X} for the closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ and $\dim X = \dim \overline{X}$.

We write D(X), $D_{qc}(X)$ and $D_c(X)$ for the derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and its full subcategories consisting of complexes with quasi-coherent and coherent cohomology sheaves respectively. The corresponding categories of G-equivariant sheaves (i.e. the categories for the quotient stack [X/G]) are denoted $D(X)^G$, $D_{qc}(X)^G$ and $D_c(X)^G$. As usual, $D^b(X)$ (etc.) is the full subcategory of D(X) consisting of complexes with cohomology in only finitely many degrees. All functors are derived, though we usually do not explicitly mention it in the notation.

For a subset Y of a topological space X we write ι_Y for the inclusion of Y into X. If $x \in X$ is a point, then we simply write ι_X for $\iota_{\{x\}}$. Let Z be a closed subset of X. For an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathscr{F} let $\Gamma_Z\mathscr{F}$ be the subsheaf of \mathscr{F} of sections with support in Z [Har66, Variation 3 in IV.1]. By abuse of notation, we simply write Γ_Z for the right-derived functor $R\Gamma_Z: D_{qc}(X) \to D_{qc}(X)$. Recall that Γ_Z only depends on the closed subset Z, and not on the structure of Z as a subscheme

Let x be a (not necessarily closed) point of X and $\mathscr{F} \in D^b(X)$. Then $\iota_x^*\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_x \in D^b(\mathscr{O}_x\text{-Mod})$ denotes the (derived) functor of talking stalks. We further set $\iota_x^!\mathscr{F} = \iota_x^*\Gamma_{\overline{x}}$, cf. [Har66, Variation 8 in IV.1].

We assume that X has a G-equivariant dualizing complex \mathcal{R} (see [Bezoo, Definition 1]) which we assume to be normalized, i.e. $\iota_x^! \mathcal{R}$ is concentrated in degree $-\dim x$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$. For $\mathcal{F} \in D(X)$ (or $D(X)^G$) we write $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R})$ for its dual.

1.2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The motivating observation about constructible sheaves was suggested to me by my advisor, David Nadler. I would also like to thank Sam Gunningham for valuable comments.

2. Perverse coherent sheaves

A *perversity* is a function $p: \{0, \dots, \dim X\} \to \mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ we abuse notation and set $p(x) = p(\dim x)$. Then $p: X^{\text{top}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a perversity function in the sense of [Bezoo]. Note that we insist that p(x) only depends on the dimension of \overline{x} . A perversity is called *monotone* if it is decreasing and *comonotone* if the *dual perversity* $\overline{p}(n) := -n - p(n)$ is decreasing. It is *strictly monotone* (resp. *strictly comonotone*) if for all $x, y \in X^{\text{top}}$ with dim $x < \dim y$ one has p(x) > p(y) (resp. $\overline{p}(x) > \overline{p}(y)$). Note that a strictly monotone perversity is not necessarily strictly decreasing (e.g. if X only has even-dimensional G-orbits).

Recall that if p is a monotone and comonotone perversity then Bezrukavnikov (following Deligne) defines a t-structure on $D_c^b(X)^G$ by taking the following full subcategories (see [Bezoo; ABo9]):

$${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X) = \{ \mathscr{F} \in D^{b}_{c}(X)^{G} : \iota_{x}^{*}\mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_{x}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}) \text{ for all } x \in X^{\text{top}} \},$$

$${}^{p}D^{\geq 0}(X) = \{ \mathscr{F} \in D^{b}_{c}(X)^{G} : \iota_{x}^{!}\mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_{y}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}) \text{ for all } x \in X^{\text{top}} \}.$$

The heart of this t-structure is called the category of perverse sheaves with respect to the perversity p.

In [Kaso4], Kashiwara also gives a definition of a perverse t-structure on $D_c^b(X)$. While we work in Bezrukavnikov's setting (i.e. in the equivariant derived category on a potentially singular scheme), we need a description of the perverse t-structure that is closer to the one Kashiwara uses. This is accomplished in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ and let p be a monotone and comonotone perversity function.

- (a) The following are equivalent:
 - (i) $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$, i.e. $\iota_x^* \mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_x\text{-Mod})$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (ii) $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) > k \text{ for all } k$.
- (b) If p is strictly monotone, then the following are equivalent
 - (i) $\mathscr{F} \in {}^{p}D^{\geq 0}(X)$, i.e. $\iota_{x}^{!}\mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(\mathscr{O}_{x}\text{-Mod})$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (ii) $\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq p(x)}(X)$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$;
 - (iii) $\Gamma_{V} \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}(X)$ for all G-invariant closed subvarieties Y of X;
 - (iv) dim $(\overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) \le -p(x) k$ for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ and all k.

A crucial fact that we will implicitly use quite often in the following arguments is that the support of a coherent sheaf is always closed. In particular, this means that if x is a generic point and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf, then $\iota_x^*\mathcal{F}=0$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}|_U=0$ for some open set U intersecting \overline{x} .

Proof.

- (a) First let $\mathcal{F} \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and assume for contradiction that there exists an integer k such that $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) < k$. Let x be the generic point of an irreducible component of maximal dimension of $\operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})$. Then $H^k(\iota_x^*\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. But on the other hand, $\iota_x^*\mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq p(x)}(\mathcal{O}_x\operatorname{-Mod})$ and $p(x) = p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) < k$, yielding a contradiction. Conversely assume that $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathcal{F})) \geq k$ for all k and let $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$. If $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$ if $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$ is that $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$. Thus monotonicity of the perversity implies that $k \in X^{\operatorname{top}}$.
- (b) The implications from (iii) to (ii) and (ii) to (i) are trivial and the equivalence of (ii) and (iv) follows from Lemma 3 below. Thus we only need to show that (i) implies (iii). So assume that $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$. We induct on the dimension of Y.

If dim Y=0, then $\Gamma(X,\Gamma_YF)=\bigoplus_{y\in Y}\iota_y^!\mathcal{F}$ and thus $\Gamma_Y\mathcal{F}\in D^{\geq p(0)}(X)$ by assumption.

Now let dim Y > 0. We first assume that Y is irreducible, i.e. $Y = \overline{x}$ for some $x \in X^{\text{top}}$. Let k be the smallest integer such that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F}) \neq 0$ and assume that k < p(x). We will show that this implies that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F}) = 0$, giving a contradiction.

We first show that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathscr{F})$ is coherent. Let $j: X \setminus \overline{x} \hookrightarrow X$ and consider the distinguished triangle

$$\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$
.

Applying cohomology to it we get an exact sequence

$$H^{k-1}(j_*j^*\mathcal{F}) \to H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathcal{F}) \to H^k(\mathcal{F}).$$

By assumption, $k-1 \le p(x)-2$, so that $H^{k-1}(j_*j^*\mathcal{F})$ is coherent by the Grothendieck finiteness theorem in the form of [Bezoo, Corollary 3]. As $H^k(\mathcal{F})$ is coherent by definition, this implies that $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathcal{F})$ also has to be coherent.

Set $Z = \sup H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathcal{F})$. Then, since $\iota_x^* H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}}\mathcal{F})$ vanishes, Z is a proper closed subset of \overline{x} . Consider the distinguished triangle

$$H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F})[-k] \to \Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F} \to \tau_{>k}\Gamma_{\overline{X}}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

and apply Γ_Z to it:

$$\Gamma_{Z}H^{k}(\Gamma_{\overline{Y}}\mathscr{F})[-k] = H^{k}(\Gamma_{\overline{Y}}\mathscr{F})[-k] \to \Gamma_{Z}\mathscr{F} \to \Gamma_{Z}\tau_{>k}\Gamma_{\overline{Y}}\mathscr{F} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Since dim $Z < \dim x$, we can use the induction hypothesis and monotonicity of p to deduce that $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is in degrees at least $p(\dim Z) \ge p(x) > k$. Clearly $\Gamma_Z \tau_{>k} \Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F}$ is also in degrees larger than k. Hence $H^k(\Gamma_{\overline{x}} \mathcal{F})$ has to vanish.

If Y is not irreducible, let Y_1 be an irreducible component of Y and Y_2 be the union of the other components. Then there is a Mayer-Vietoris distinguished triangle

$$\Gamma_{Y_1\cap Y_2}\mathcal{F}\to \Gamma_{Y_1}\mathcal{F}\oplus \Gamma_{Y_2}\mathcal{F}\to \Gamma_{Y}\mathcal{F}\xrightarrow{+1},$$

where $\Gamma_{Y_1\cap Y_2}\mathscr{F}\in D^{\geq p(\dim Y_1\cap Y_2)}(X)\subseteq D^{\geq p(\dim Y)+1}$ (by the induction hypothesis and strict monotonicity of p) and $\Gamma_{Y_1}\mathscr{F}$ and $\Gamma_{Y_2}\mathscr{F}$ are in $D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}(X)$ by induction on the number of components of Y. Thus $\Gamma_Y\mathscr{F}\in D^{\geq p(\dim Y)}$ as required. \square

Lemma 3 ([Kaso4, Proposition 5.2]). Let $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$, Z a closed subset of X, and n an integer. Then $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq n}_{ac}(X)$ if and only if $\dim(Z \cap \operatorname{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}))) \leq -k - n$ for all k.

This lemma extends [Kaso4, Proposition 5.2] to singular varieties. The proof is same as for the smooth case, but we will include it here for completeness.

Proof. By [SGA2, Proposition VII.1.2], $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\geq n}_{ac}(X)$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \in D_c^{\geq n}(X)$$
 (1)

for all $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)$ with supp $\mathscr{G} \subseteq Z$. Let d(n) = -n be the dual standard perversity. Then by [Bezoo, Lemma 5a], (1) holds if and only if $\mathbb{D}\mathscr{Hom}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{F}) \in {}^dD^{\leq -n}(X)$. By [Har66, Proposition V.2.6], $\mathbb{D}\mathscr{Hom}(\mathscr{G},\mathscr{F}) = \mathscr{G} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathbb{D}\mathscr{F}$, so that by Proposition 2(a) we need to show that

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} H^k\left(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}\right) \leq -k-n$$

for all k. By [Kaso4, Lemma 5.3] this is equivalent to

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{DF}) \right) \le -k - n$$

for all k, completing the proof.

3. Measuring subvarieties

From now on we assume that the *G*-action has finitely many orbits.

Definition 4. Let p be a perversity. A p-measuring subvariety of X is an equidimensional subvariety Z of X such that the following conditions hold for each $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ with $\overline{x} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$:

- $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) = p(x) + \dim x$;
- $\overline{x} \cap Z$ is the underlying variety of a regularly embedded subscheme in \overline{x} , i.e., up to radical $\overline{x} \cap Z$ it is locally defined in \overline{x} by exactly -p(x) functions.

We say that *X* has *enough p-measuring subvarieties* if for each $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ there exists a *p*-measuring subvariety *Z* with $Z \cap \overline{x} \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 5. Let Z be a p-measuring subvariety. Then $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) = -\overline{p}(x)$. Thus comonotonicity of p ensures that if $\dim y \le \dim x$ then $\dim(\overline{y} \cap Z) \le \dim(\overline{x} \cap Z)$ for each p-measuring Z. Monotonicity of p then further says that $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) - \dim(\overline{y} \cap Z) \le \dim x - \dim y$. We clearly have $0 \le \dim(\overline{x} \cap Z) \le \dim x$ and hence $-\dim x \le p(x) \le 0$. We will show in Theorem 9 that these condition are actually sufficient for the existence of enough p-measuring subvarieties, at least when X is affine.

Theorem 6. Let p be a strictly monotone and (not necessarily strictly) comonotone perversity and assume that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. Then,

(i)
$${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X) = \{ \mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(X) \text{ for all p-measuring subvarieties } Z \};$$

$$(ii) \ \ ^p\!D^{\geq 0}(X) = \big\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_c(X)^G : \Gamma_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(X) \ for \ all \ p\text{-measuring subvarieties} \ Z \big\}.$$

Therefore the sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X)^G$ is perverse with respect to p if and only if $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}$ is cohomologically concentrated in degree 0 for each p-measuring subvariety Z.

The following lemma encapsulates the central argument of the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Lemma 7. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(X)^G$ be a G-equivariant coherent sheaf on X, let p be a monotone perversity and let n be an integer. Assume that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}) \ge n$;
- (ii) $H^{\ell}(\Gamma_{Z}\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $\ell \geq -n+1$ and all measuring subvarieties Z.

Proof. Since supp \mathcal{F} is always a union of the closure of orbits, we can restrict to the support and assume that supp $\mathcal{F} = X$.

First assume that $p(\dim X) = p(\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}) \ge n$. By the definition of a p-measuring subvariety, this means that, up to radical, Z can be locally defined by at most -n equations. Thus $H^{\ell}(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $\ell > -n$ [BS98, Theorem 3.3.1].

Now assume conversely that $H^{\ell}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $\ell \ge -n+1$ and all measuring subvarieties Z. We have to show that $p(\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}) \ge n$. Set $d = \dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}$. Choose any p-measuring subvariety Z. Then $\operatorname{codim}_Z X = -p(d)$. We will show that $H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}) \ne 0$ and hence $p(d) \ge n$ by assumption. Take some affine open subset U of X such that $U \cap Z$ is non-empty and irreducible in U. It suffices to show that the cohomology is non-zero in U. Thus we can assume without loss of generality that X is affine, say $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, and Z is irreducible. Write $Z = V(\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A. By flat base change [BS98, Theorem 4.3.2],

$$\Gamma(X,H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_{\!\!Z}\mathscr{F}))_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(H^{-p(d)}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Gamma(X,\mathscr{F}))\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}=H^{-p(d)}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\Gamma(X,\mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

Since dim supp $\mathscr{F} = \dim X = d$, the dimension of the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\Gamma(X, \mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is -p(d). Thus by the Grothendieck non-vanishing theorem [BS98, Theorem 6.1.4] $H_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{-p(d)}(\Gamma(X, \mathscr{F})_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ and hence $\Gamma(X, H^{-p(d)}(\Gamma_Z \mathscr{F})) \neq 0$ as required.

Proof of Theorem 6.

(i) We use the description of ${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X)$ given by Proposition 2, i.e.

$${}^{p}D^{\leq 0}(X) = \left\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^{b}_{c}(X)^{G} : p\left(\dim\left(\operatorname{supp} H^{n}(\mathscr{F})\right)\right) \geq n \text{ for all } n \right\}.$$

We induct on the largest k such that $H^k(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ to show that $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}$ if and only if $\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(X)$ for all p-measuring subvarieties Z.

The equivalence is trivial for $k \ll 0$. For the induction step note that there is a distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to H^k(\mathcal{F})[-k] \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Applying the functor Γ_Z and taking cohomology we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{split} \cdots &\to H^1(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F})) \to H^1(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) \to H^{k+1}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathcal{F}))) \to \\ & \qquad \qquad H^2(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k} \mathcal{F})) \to H^2(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}) \to H^{k+2}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathcal{F}))) \to \cdots. \end{split}$$

By induction, $H^{\ell}(\Gamma_Z(\tau_{< k}\mathscr{F}))$ vanishes for $\ell \geq 1$ so that $H^{\ell}(\Gamma_Z\mathscr{F}) \cong H^{k+\ell}(\Gamma_Z(H^k(\mathscr{F})))$ for $\ell \geq 1$. Thus the statement follows from Lemma 7.

(ii) By Proposition 2(b), $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{p}D^{\geq 0}$ if and only if

$$\dim (\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} (H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) \le -p(x) - k$$
 for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ and all k . (2)

Using Lemma 3 for $\Gamma_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(X)$, we see that we have to show the equivalence of (2) with

$$\dim (Z \cap \operatorname{supp} (H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) \le -k$$
 for all k and p -measuring Z .

Since there are only finitely many orbits, this is in turn equivalent to

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} \left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \right) \right) \le -k \qquad \forall x \in X^{\operatorname{top}}, k \text{ and } p\text{-measuring } Z. \tag{3}$$

We will show the equivalence for each fixed k separately. Let us first show the implication from (2) to (3). Since $H^k(\mathbb{D}\mathscr{F})$ is G-equivariant and there are only finitely many G-orbits, it suffices to show (3) assuming that $\dim x \leq \dim \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$ and $\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} H^k(\mathbb{D}F) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\dim (\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp} (H^k(\mathbb{D}F))) = \dim \overline{x}$. Thus,

$$\dim \left(Z \cap \overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) \leq \dim(Z \cap \overline{x}) = p(x) + \dim x = p(x) + \dim \left(\overline{x} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) \leq p(x) - p(x) - k = -k.$$

Conversely, assume that (3) holds for k. If $\overline{x} \cap \text{supp } H^k(\mathbb{D}F) = \emptyset$, then (2) is trivially true. Otherwise choose a p-measuring Z that intersects supp $H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$. First assume that \overline{x} is contained in supp $H^k(\mathbb{D}F)$. Then

$$\dim\left(\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^{k}(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) = \dim x = -p(x) + \dim(Z\cap\overline{x}) = -p(x) + \dim\left(Z\cap\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^{k}(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right) \le -p(x) - k.$$

Otherwise $\overline{x} \cap \text{supp}(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)) = \overline{y}$ for some $y \in X^{\text{top}}$ with dim $y < \dim x$. Then (2) holds for y in place of x and hence

$$\dim\left(\overline{x}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right)=\dim\left(\overline{y}\cap\operatorname{supp}\left(H^k(\mathbb{D}F)\right)\right)\leq -p(y)-k\leq -p(x)-k$$
 by monotonicity of p .

Example 8. For the dual standard perversity p(n) = -n (i.e. $p(x) = -\dim x$), we recover the definition of Cohen-Macaulay sheaves [Har66, Section IV.3].

Of course, for the theorem to have any content, one needs to show that X has enough p-measuring subvarieties. The next theorem shows that at least for affine varieties there are always enough measuring subvarieties whenever p satisfies the obvious conditions (see Remark 5).

Theorem 9. Assume that X is affine and the perversity p satisfies $-n \le p(n) \le 0$ and is monotone and comonotone. Then X has enough p-measuring subvarieties.

Proof. Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$. We induct on the dimension d. More precisely, we induct on the following statement:

There exists a closed equidimensional subvariety Z_d of X such that for all $x \in X^{\text{top}}$ the following holds:

- $Z_d \cap \overline{x} \neq \emptyset$ and $Z_d \cap \overline{x}$ is regularly embedded in \overline{x} ;
- if $\dim x \le d$, then $\dim(\overline{x} \cap Z_d) = p(x) + \dim x$;
- if dim x > d, then dim $(\overline{x} \cap Z_d) = p(d) + \dim x$.

We set p(-1) = 0. The statement is trivially true for d = -1, e.g. take Z = X. Assume that the statement is true for some $d \ge -1$. We want to show it for $d + 1 \le \dim X$.

If p(d) = p(d+1), then $Z_{d+1} = Z_d$ works. Otherwise, by (co)monotonicity, p(d+1) = p(d) - 1. Set $S = \bigcup \{\overline{x} \in X^{\text{top}} : \dim x \le d\}$. Since there are only finitely many orbits, we can choose a function f such that f vanishes identically on S, V(f) does not share a component with Z_d and V(f) intersects every \overline{x} with $\dim x > d$. Then $Z_{d+1} = Z_d \cap V(f)$ satisfies the conditions.

APPENDIX. CONSTRUCTIBLE SHEAVES

We return now to the claim about exact functors on the t-structure of constructible perverse sheaves made in the introduction. Let X be a complex manifold and \mathfrak{S} a finite stratification of X by complex submanifolds. We write $D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ for the bounded derived category of \mathfrak{S} -constructible sheaves on X. We call a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ perverse if it is perverse with respect to the middle perversity function on \mathfrak{S} . We are going to formulate and prove an analog of Theorem 6 in this situation.

A closed real submanifold Z of X is called a *measuring submanifold* if for each stratum S of X either $Z \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$ or $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z \cap S = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} S$.

Theorem 10. A sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ is perverse if and only if $\iota^!_Z \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0 for each measuring submanifold Z of X.

Lemma 11. Let X be a real manifold, \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf (concentrated in degree 0) on X and let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a closed submanifold. Then $H^j(i^!\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $j > \operatorname{codim}_X Z$.

*Proof.*¹ By taking normal slices we can reduce to the case that $Z = \{z\}$ is a point. Let j be the inclusion of $X \setminus \{z\}$ into X and consider the distinguished triangle

$$i_! i^! \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$
.

By [KS94, Lemma 8.4.7] we have

$$H^{j}(j_{*}j^{*}\mathcal{F})_{z}=H^{j}(S_{\varepsilon}^{\dim X-1},\mathcal{F})$$

for a sphere $S^{\dim X-1}_{\varepsilon}$ around x of sufficiently small radius. The latter cohomology vanishes for $j \geq \dim X$ and hence $H^j(i^!\mathscr{F}) = 0$ for $j > \dim X$ as required.

Proof of Theorem. Clearly it is enough to check the condition on a collection of measuring submanifolds $\{Y_i\}$ such that each connected component of each stratum has non-empty intersection with at least one Y_i . Similarly to Theorem 9, one easily shows inductively that such a collection of submanifolds exists.

Define two full subcategories ${}^L D^{\leq 0}(X)$ and ${}^L D^{\geq 0}(X)$ of $D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X)$ by

$${}^L D^{\leq 0}(X) = \left\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X) \, : \, \iota^!_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\leq 0}(Z) \text{ for all measuring submanifolds } Z \right\},$$

$${}^L D^{\geq 0}(X) = \left\{ \mathscr{F} \in D^b_{\mathfrak{S}}(X) \, : \, \iota^!_Z \mathscr{F} \in D^{\geq 0}(Z) \text{ for all measuring submanifolds } Z \right\}.$$

We will show that these categories are the same as the categories ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(X)$ defining the perverse t-structure on $D^b_{cc}(X)$.

We induct on the number of strata. If X consists of only one stratum and Z is a measuring submanifold, then $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F} \cong \omega_{Z/X} \otimes \iota_Z^* \mathscr{F}$ and hence $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F}$ is in degree 0 if and only if \mathscr{F} is in degree $-\frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X$. So assume that X has more then one stratum. Without loss of generality we can assume that X is connected. Let U be the union of all open strata and F its complement. Both U and F are unions of strata of X. Let F be the inclusion of F and F the inclusion of F.

- If $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$, then $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}$ follows in exactly the same way as in the coherent case, using Lemma 11.
- Let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$. Then $i^! \mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(F)$ and $j^* \mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(U)$. Let Z be a measuring subvariety. Consider the distinguished triangle

$$i_*i^!\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to i_*j^*\mathcal{F}.$$

Using base change, induction and the (left)-exactness of the push-forward functors one sees that $\iota_Z^!$ of the outer sheaves in the triangle are concentrated in non-negative degrees. Thus so is $\iota_Z^! \mathscr{F}$.

• Let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(X)$. Since all measurements are local this implies that $j^*\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(U) = {}^p D^{\geq 0}(U)$. Using the same triangle and argument as in the last point, this implies that also $i^! \mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\geq 0}(F) = {}^p D^{\geq 0}(F)$. Hence, by recollement, $\mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\geq 0}(X)$.

 $^{^1}$ Following http://mathoverflow.net/questions/129244 by Geordie Williamson.

• Finally, let $\mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(X)$. Again this immediately implies that $j^* \mathscr{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(U) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(U)$. Thus $j_! j^* \mathscr{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$. Let Z be a measuring submanifold and consider the distinguished triangle

$$\iota_{Z}^{!}j_{!}j^{*}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_{Z}^{!}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_{Z}^{!}i_{*}i^{*}\mathcal{F}.$$

By what we already know, the first sheaf is concentrated in non-positive degrees and hence so is $i_Z^! i_* i^* \mathcal{F}$. By base change and the exactness of i_* this implies that $i^* \mathcal{F} \in {}^L D^{\leq 0}(F) = {}^p D^{\leq 0}(F)$. Hence, by recollement, $\mathcal{F} \in {}^p D^{\leq 0}(X)$.

Remark 12. The equality ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(X) = {}^LD^{\geq 0}(X)$ could also be proved in exactly the same way as in the coherent case, using [KS94, Exercise X.10].

REFERENCES

- [ABo9] Dmitry Arinkin and Roman Bezrukavnikov. "Perverse coherent sheaves". In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb. 2009). arXiv: 0902.0349 [math.AG].
- [Beaoo] Arnaud Beauville. "Symplectic singularities". In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 139.3 (2000), pp. 541–549. DOI: 10.1007/s002229900043.
- [Bezoo] Roman Bezrukavnikov. "Perverse coherent sheaves (after Deligne)". In: *ArXiv e-prints* (May 2000). arXiv: math/0005152.
- [BS98] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp. Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 60. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998. ISBN: 0-521-37286-0. DOI: 10. 1017/CB09780511629204.
- [Har66] Robin Hartshorne. *Residues and Duality*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 20. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1966. vii+423.
- [Kaso4] Masaki Kashiwara. "t-structures on the derived categories of holonomic \mathscr{D} -modules and coherent \mathscr{O} -modules". In: Moscow Mathematical Journal 4.4 (2004), pp. 847–868. URL: http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/mmj173.
- [KS94] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. Sheaves on manifolds. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 292. With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel, Corrected reprint of the 1990 original. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994. x+512. ISBN: 3-540-51861-4.
- [MVo7] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen. "Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings". In: *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series* 166.1 (2007), pp. 95–143. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2007. 166.95.
- [SGA2] Alexander Grothendieck and Michele Raynaud. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. Ed. by Yves Laszlo. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) 4. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962. Augmenté d'un exposé de Michèle Raynaud. Revised reprint of the 1968 French original. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2005. x+208. ISBN: 2-85629-169-4. arXiv: math/0511279.