Euler numbers of Hilbert schemes of points on simple surface singularities HIRAKU NAKAJIMA (Kavli IPMV)

June 25 22:15@ tokyo

—— ≥∞M ——

Resolutions

§ 1. Gyenge - Némethi - Szendröi conjecture

\[
\times \text{SU(2)} \quad \text{nox} \text{vox} \text{of Dynkin diagram}
 \[
\times \text{affine fulver of type ADE}
 \]
 \[
\text{Q0} = \frac{10}{10} \cdots \cdots \cdots \text{vertex}
 \]

e.g. An  $\Gamma = \frac{2}{(M+1)}$ 

 $S \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$ : stability parameter  $V, W \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ : dimension vectors

Mz(v, w): quiver variety ) Hom(Voker. Viker) & Hom(Wi, Vi) //TTEL(Vi)

Mz (v, w): quiver variety ) Hom(Voker. Viker) & Hom(Wi, Vi)

No moment map = 0

We consider only  $\overline{w} = \Lambda_0$  (1 at veitex 0, 0 on other vertices) hereafter.

Easy Facts (1) Take 5=0.  $M_0(\vec{\nabla}, \Lambda_0) \cong \text{Sym}^N(\vec{\Gamma}^2/\Gamma)$   $N = \text{min}(V_i)$ 

(2) Take generic 
$$S \iff M_S(\vec{v}, \vec{w})$$
 is smooth)

 $M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0) \simeq Hillo^N (\vec{v}, \vec{w})$  is smooth)

 $N = \frac{1}{2} \dim M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0)$ 

The  $[N'02]$ 
 $X(M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0)) \stackrel{n}{=} e^{-V_i d_i^2} = \prod_{M=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-MS})^{-(M+1)} \sum_{M \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{-\frac{M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0)}{2}} \int_{\hat{c} \neq 0}^{\infty} e^{-M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0)} \int_{\hat{c} \neq 0}^{\infty} e^{-M_S(\vec{v}, \Lambda_0)}$ 

Remark. RHS = character of the basic representation of Jaff
× char. Fock rep. of Heisakung algebra

· [GNS] checked the conjecture for type A,D

Theorem [N, 20] Conjecture is true for all ADE.

Remark Proof is case by case (at least for the crucial part) § 2. Reduction to Euler numbers of quiver varieties of finite type.
The proof uses the following results

(1) The [Craw-Gammelgaard-Gyenge-Szendvoi 19]

Choose stability parameter  $5^{\circ}$  sit.  $5^{\circ} = 0$  ( $i \neq 0$ )  $M_{5^{\circ}}(m\delta, \Lambda_{0}) \cong Hilb^{m}(\mathbb{C}^{2}/\Gamma)_{red}$ 

(2) [N 69] Take  $\leq sit$ ,  $\leq i < 0 \quad \forall i$  (generic)  $\longrightarrow M_{\zeta}(\overrightarrow{U}, \bigwedge) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{s,s}} M_{\zeta_{s}}(\overrightarrow{U}, \Lambda_{\delta}) \quad \text{projective unphism}$   $\longrightarrow M_{\zeta}(\overrightarrow{U}, \overrightarrow{U}, \overrightarrow{U})$ 

Moreover  $M_{\zeta}^{s}(\vec{v}, \Lambda_{0}) = \frac{1}{\vec{v}'} M_{\zeta}^{s}(\vec{v}', \Lambda_{0})$  stratification  $\vec{v}'$  and  $m_{\zeta,\zeta}^{s}$  is a fiber bundle on each stratum with fiber  $= L(\vec{v}, \vec{v}^{s}) : [agrangian subvariety in finite ADE type quiver variety Here <math>\{\vec{v}^{s} = \vec{v} - \vec{v}'\}$   $\{\vec{v}^{s} = 0 \text{ always}\}$   $\{\vec{v}^{s} = \vec{v} - (\vec{v}^{s})\}_{i}$   $\{\vec{v}^{s} = 0 \text{ always}\}$ 

One can also show  $\vec{\nabla}' \in MS - \sum Z_{\geq 0} di$ .

:  $W_{3}^{s}(\vec{v}, \Lambda_{0}) \subset W_{3}^{o}(M\delta, \Lambda_{0})$  i.e. strata are strata of  $Hilb^{m}(\mathbb{C}^{2}/T)$ 

Therefore X(Hillom(Ce/p)) is given in terms of Z and Euler numbers of Lx(vs, vs) for all vs, vs

Difficulty: Although there are several known algorithms to compute X(Zz(vs, ws)), very difficult to manipulate......

§ 3. Miraculous cancellation

In fact, the above analysis gives much more than X(Hillm(C=7)). It gives X(m=(V', w)) for all V' (all strata).

We should compute specific sum of Euler numbers of L(Vs, Ws)

Lemma GNS conjecture follows from  $\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{5}} \times (\sqrt[3]{5}, \overline{w}^{5}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{5} \wedge_{i} - V_{i}^{5} \otimes_{i} = \exp(\frac{2\pi \sqrt{11}}{\sqrt[3]{11}}) = 1$ 

Remark  $\oplus$   $H_*(J_S(\vec{v}^S, \vec{w}^S))$  is a representation of  $\text{gfin}(\ln fact | \mathcal{V}_g(\vec{y})|_{g_{s,l}})$  called a standard module.

: LHS = specialization of character of a standard module (called quantum dimension  $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{2(f+1)})$ ) (appeared in repr. of worts of 1. In this case

Th. & is true. (proof) enough to show 4 for  $\vec{w}^s = \Lambda_i (\vec{x}_i + \delta)$  by the torus action This case Euler numbers are known.
e.g. An -> all quiver varieties are pts (or \$)
Es -> computed by supercomputer

(it took 350 hows for \$\frac{29}{13/45628})

~y & is true.

In fact, & is the simplest case of a conjecture by Kuniba 193 (see also Kuniba-Nakanishi-Suzuki 11) ~>> 1 vill explain it on July 2 at LAGOON.

Good Night and See you!