STRATEGIC LITIGATION

Using Private Law to Achieve Public Goals

Summer 2010

Professor Richard Daynard

Office: 102 The Fenway, Room 117

Phone: 617-373-2026 Email: <u>r.daynard@neu.edu</u>

Required Text:

Packet at NU Bookstore

Course Requirements:

- 1) Class participation.
- 2) Final Assignment: Describe how you would apply what you have learned this quarter to address a specific problem through private litigation. Specifically describe the social problem being addressed, who would be sued, what defenses may be used, and what procedural obstacles either side may encounter. How would the litigation be financed? What effect would the method of financing, identity of plaintiff or counsel, choice of legal theories and forum, etc. have on the ability of this litigation to achieve its social goals? Explain what you think can be achieved by using litigation strategies. **Please discuss your choice of topic with me before June 25, 2010.** Please limit your analysis to no more than 20 pages. The papers are due in student services by noon of the last day of finals.
- 3) Present PowerPoint based on your paper during the final classes.

I. Introduction

June 1 - Why Private Litigation?

- 1) Adam Smith, excerpt from An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations, and Archimedes, as quoted in Book of Histories (Chaliades), translated by Francis R. Walton.
- 2) Deborah R. Hensler, Has the Fat Lady Sung? The Future of Mass Toxic Torts. 26. Rev. Litig. 883 (2007).

June 3 - Could and Should Private Litigation be Used to Achieve Public Goals

<u>Page</u>

- 1) Richard Kluger, Simple Justice: The History of Brown v. Board of Education and Black America's Struggle for Equality, pp.132-135.
- 2) 1989 Surgeon General's Report, Reducing the Health Consequences of Smoking, pp. 20-22.
- Richard A. Daynard, Tobacco Liability Litigation as a Cancer Control Strategy, 80 JNCI 9 (1988).
- 4) W.E. Parmet and R.A. Daynard, *The New Public Health Litigation*, Annual Review of Public Health, 2000.

June 8 - Preview of Strict Liability/Mass Torts

<u>Page</u>

- 1) Steven P. Croley and Jon D. Hanson, Rescuing the Revolution: The Revived Case for Enterprise Liability, 91 Mich. L. Rev. 683 (1993).
- 2) Borel v. Fibreboard Paper Products, 493 F.2d 1076 (5th Cir. 1973).
- 77 3) Deborah R. Hensler, et al., Asbestos in the Courts: The Challenge of Mass Toxic Torts, pp. 110-124 (Rand: The Institute for Civil Justice 1985).

II. The Plaintiff's Case

June 10 - Epidemiology in Establishing Causation

<u>Page</u>

- 93 1) 1964 Surgeon General's Report
- Kenneth J. Rothman and Sander Greenland, Causation and Causal Inference in Epidemiology, American Journal of Public Health Supplement 1, 2005.
- 3) Bert Black and David E. Lilienfeld, *Epidemiologic Proof in Toxic Tort Litigation*, 52 Fordham L. Rev. 732 (1984).
- 4) Richard S. Cornfeld and Michael B. Minton, How to Defend Against an Adverse Epidemiological Study, 3 TXLR (BNA) 1092 (Feb. 8, 1989).

June 15 - Scientific Evidence

- 135 1) Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579 (1993).
- 145 2) Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 43 F.3d 1311 (9th Cir. 1995).
- 155 3) General Electric v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136 (1997).
- 157 4) Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999).
- 159 5) Weisgram v. Marley, 528 U.S. 440 (2000).
- 161 6) Joe S. Cecil, *Ten Years of Judicial Gatekeeping Under Daubert*, American Journal of Public Health Supplement 1, 2005.
- 7) Lissy C. Friedman, Richard A. Daynard, and Christopher N. Banthin, Learning from the Tobacco Industry about Science and Regulation, American Journal of

- Public Health Supplement 1, 2005.
- 8) Neil Vidmar, Expert Evidence, the Adversary System, and the Jury, American Journal of Public Health Supplement 1, 2005.

June 17 - Climate Change (Matt Pawa, Guest Speaker)

Page

- 179 1) Courts as Battlefields in Climate Fights, New York Times (2010)
- 2) Connecticut v. American Electric Power Co., 582 F.3d 309 (2d Cir. 2009)
- 3) Native Village of Kivalina v. ExxonMobil (U.S.D. Ct. N.D. CA 2009)

June 22 - Consumer Protection

Page

- 253 1) Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 93A, §§2, 9 (2009).
- 257 2) Charles of the Ritz Distrubutors Corporation v. Federal Trade Commission. 143 F.2d 676 (U.S. App. 1944)
- 269 3) In re First Alliance Mortgage Co., 280 B.R. 246 (C.D. Cal. 2002).
- 271 4) In re First Alliance Mortgage Co., 471 F.3d 977 (9th Cir. 2006).
- 275 5) Complaint, Newell v. Polar Beverages, Inc.
- 285 6) Kansas Opinion, Newell v. Polar Beverages, Inc.
- 7) Settlement Agreement, Newell v. Polar Beverages, Inc.
- 293 8) Baby Einstein saga

June 24 - Obesity

Page

- 311 1) Richard A. Daynard, Obesity Litigation: Who's to Blame?
- 2) Jess Alderman, Jason A. Smith, Ellen J. Fried, and Richard A. Daynard, Application of Law to the Childhood Obesity Epidemic, 35 J.L. Med. & Ethics 90 (2007).
- 326 3) Pelman v. McDonald's, 237 F. Supp. 2d 512 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).
- 347 4) Pelman v. McDonald's, 396 F.3d. 508 (2d Cir. 2005).

June 29 - Liability Standard

A. Strict Liability

Page

- Restatement of Torts Third: Products Liability Sections 1 (Liability of Commercial Seller or Distributor for Harm Caused by Defective Products) and 2 (Categories of Product Defect).
 - 1) Consumer Expectation
- 366 Lamke v. Futorian Co., 709 P.2d 684 (Okla. 1985).

2) Risk-Benefit

- 372 a) Cipollone v. Liggett, 644 F. Supp. 283 (D.N.J. 1986).
- 378 b) N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2A:58C-3 (2009).

379 c) Haglund v. Philip Morris, 446 Mass. 741 (2006).

B. Duty to Warn

- 387 1) Cigarette Warning Labels, 6.1B T.P.L.R. 3.200.
- 388 2) Canadian Cigarette Warning Labels.

July 1 - Liability Standard Continued/Plaintiff's Damages

C. Misrepresentation, Fraud, Conspiracy

<u>Page</u>

- 390 1) Crocker v. Winthrop, 514 S.W.2d 429 (Tex. 1974).
- 394 2) Cipollone v. Liggett, 683 F. Supp. 1487 (D.N.J. 1988).
- 406 3) Nicolet v. Nutt, 525 A.2d 146 (Del. 1987).
- 410 3) Williams v. Philip Morris, 127 P.3d 1165 (Or. 2006).

D. Economic Injury: RICO

- 1) Florida Court Approves Civil RICO Action for Marketing, Litigation Abuses by DuPont, 13 TXLR (BNA) 1016 (January 20, 1999).
- 2) Law synopsis by the Tobacco Control Resource Center, USA v. Philip Morris.

July 6 - Punitive Damages

Page Page

- 430 1) Williams v. Philip Morris, 127 P.3d 1165 (Or. 2006).
- 441 2) Williams v. Philip Morris, 549 U.S. 346 (2007).
- 3) Williams v. Philip Morris, 176 P.3d 1255 (Or. 2008), questions presented by Philip Morris's petition for certiorari, Williams v. Philip Morris, 128 S. Ct. 2904 (2008), Williams v. Philip Morris, 2009 U.S. LEXIS 2493.
- 449 4) Sara D. Guardino and Richard A. Daynard, Punishing Tobacco Industry Misconduct: The Case for Exceeding a Single Digit Ratio Between Punitive and Compensatory Damages, 67 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 1 (2005).

July 8 - When to Sue: Fear of Cancer/Medical Monitoring/Statute of Limitations

- 473 1) Waage v. Cutter, 926 P.2d 1145 (Alaska 1996).
- 479 2) Eagle-Picher v. Cox, 481 So. 2d 517 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1985).
- 490 3 Khan v. Shiley, 217 Cal. App. 3d 848 (1990).
- 496 4) Potter v. Firestone, 863 P.2d 795 (Cal. 1993).
- 504 5) Metro-North Commuter RR v. Buckley, 521 U.S. 424 (1997).

III. Defenses

July 13 - Preemption

<u>Page</u>

- 528 1) Altria v. Good, 129 S. Ct. 538 (2008).
- 546 2) Riegel v. Medtronic, 128 S. Ct. 999 (2008).
- 561 3) Wyeth v. Levine, 129 S. Ct. 1187 (2009).
- 591 4) Richard A. Daynard, *How "Implied Express Preemption" Happened*, Annual Rev. Amer. Law (in press)

July 15 - Plaintiff's Fault/Conduct or Knowledge/Assumption of the Risk/Proximate Cause

Page

- 1) Note, Plaintiff's Conduct as a Defense to Claims Against Cigarette Manufacturers, 99 Harv. L. R. 809 (1986).
- 604 2) Tompkins v. American Brands, 219 F.3d 566 (6th Cir. 2000).

A. Worker's Compensation

615 1) Sarocco v. General Electric, 879 F. Supp. 156 (D. Mass 1995).

B. Conflicts of Law/Forum Non Conveniens

622 1) In re Union Carbide, 634 F. Supp. 842 (S.D.N.Y. 1986).

IV. Can Courts Deliver Justice?

July 20 - Cost of Litigation and Discovery Abuse

Page 1

- 630 1) Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, 11, 26 (2009).
- 2) Sara D. Guardino and Richard A. Daynard, Punishing Tobacco Industry
 Misconduct: The Case for Exceeding a Single Digit Ratio Between Punitive
 and Compensatory Damages, 67 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 1 (2005). ????
- 673 3) Fla. Stat. Ann. § 69.081 (2009).
- 674 4) AC and S v. Askew, 597 So. 2d 895 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1992).
- 677 5) Haines v. Liggett, 814 F. Supp. 414 (D.N.J. 1993).
- 686 6) Richard A. Daynard, Lawyer Management of Systems of Evil: The Case of the Tobacco Industry, 5 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 117 (1999).

July 22 - Class Actions

- 689 1) Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (2009).
- 692 2) McLaughlin v. Philip Morris, 522 F.3d 215 (2d Cir. 2008).
- 703 3) Aspinall v. Phillip Morris, 453 Mass. 431 (2009).
- 706 4) Broin v. Phillip Morris, 641 So. 2d 888 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1994).

- 710 5) Engle v. Liggett, 945 So. 2d 1246 (Fl. 2006).
- 718 6) Neisen-Stone v. R.J. Reynolds (Dade Cty 2010)
- 724 7) Brown v. R.J. Reynolds (M.D. FL 2008)

July 27 - Class Actions Continued

<u>Page</u>

- 739 1) Aspinall v. Philip Morris, 442 Mass. 381 (2004).
- 753 2) Class Action Faimess Act of 200 (CAFA): Text for the Class Action Defense Lawyer.
- 765 3) Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591 (1997).
- 783 4) Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp., 527 U.S. 815 (1999).

July 29 - Other Approaches

Page

A. Multi-District Litigation

- 1) Multi-District Litigation Statute, 28 U.S.C. Ann. § 1407 (2009).
- 805 2) In re Asbestos Products Liab. Litig., 771 F. Supp. 415 (J.P.M.L. 1991).

B. Other Ways of Resolving Mass Torts

- 1) Francis E. McGovern, Resolving Mature Mass Tort Litigation, 69 B.U.L. Rev. 659 (1989).
- 836 2) Findley v. Blinken, 982 F.2d 721 (2d Cir. 1992).
- 841 3) United States v. Bestfoods, 524 U.S. 51 (1998).

Aug. 3 - Presentation of Papers

- Aug. 5 " " "
- Aug. 9 " " "
- Aug. 12 " " "