

## Homework 3: Logistic Regression

AUTHORS: Jed Pulley

**DO NOT POLLUTE!** AVOID PRINTING, OR PRINT 2-SIDED MULTIPAGE.**Problem 3.1**

- (a) I used good ol' fashioned guess and check. I set the iterations to 3000 and printed out the weights after every 100 iterations. Once the values started to level off, I considered that convergence
- (b) It took me about 3000 iterations to converge.
- (c) I performed min-max normalization to avoid running into a RuntimeWarning (when the exponential got WAY too big), so my results for  $\hat{\theta}$  were:

$$[-6.75837555, 4.55726066, -14.28891882, -3.05890586, -0.50213527, 7.82165471]$$

- (d) The maximum log-likelihood of  $\hat{\theta}$  is: -470.15901001623024
- (e) From Theorem 6.2 in the Logistic Regression notes, we can see that  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\theta^*, I_{\theta^*}^{-1})$  where the Fisher Information is shown as:

$$I_{\theta^*} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{-\theta^{*\top} \mathbf{x}_i}}{(1 + e^{-\theta^{*\top} \mathbf{x}_i})^2} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top$$

**Problem 3.2**

- (a) Borrowing from the Logistic Regression notes again, we can see that the MLE of the log-odds  $\hat{\omega} := \hat{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}$  where  $\hat{\theta}$  are the true parameters,  $\theta^*$ .
- (b) Furthermore, the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\omega}$  is defined as  $\hat{\omega} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\theta^{*\top} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^\top I_{\theta^*}^{-1} \mathbf{x})$

**Problem 3.3**

- (a) I maximized my feature vector, having my entire family on board in the cheapest class and fare I could find. With that, my feature vector looked like so:

$$[Pclass = 3, male = 0, age = 24, siblings = 7, parents = 2, fare = 8]$$

When I run this through my model, unfortunately I do not survive. As I tested other values, I found that affluent women were far more likely to survive.

(b) Given  $\tau = \Phi_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2} | 0, \mathbf{x}^{\top} I_{\theta^*}^{-1} \mathbf{x})$

(c)

### Problem 3.4

(a)

(b)

(c)