STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

NON-PARAMETRIC TESTS

Intracoronary delivery of extracellular vesicles from human cardiac-derived progenitor cells reduces infarct size and improves cardiac function in porcine acute myocardial infarction

Maximilian Y. Emmert, a-d* Jacopo Burrello, e,f Petra Wolint, Monika Hilbe, Gabriella Andriolo, Carolina Balbi, e,j Elena Provasi, Lucia Turchetto, Marina Radrizzani, Timo Z. Nazari-Shafti, b,c,k Nikola Cesarovic, b,c,k Sebastian Neuber, Volkmar Falk, a,b,k Simon P. Hoerstrup, Rayyan Hemetsberger, Mariann Gyöngyösi, Lucio Barile, m,n† and Giuseppe Vassalli. e,j,n†*

^aDeutsches Herzzentrum der Charite (DHZC), Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Berlin, Germany. ^bCharité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ^cBerlin Institute of Health at Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Center for Regenerative Therapies, 13353 Berlin, Germany. ^dInstitute for Regenerative Medicine (IREM), University of Zurich, 8952 Zurich, Switzerland. ^eLaboratories for Translational Research, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland. ^fDepartment of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Italy University of Torino, Italy. ^gDivision of Surgical Research, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland. ^hInstitute of Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ^hUniversity of Zurich, Switzerland. ^hDepartment of Health Sciences and Technology, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland. ^hDepartment of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Wien, Austria. ^mLaboratory for Cardiovascular Theranostics, Istituto Cardiocentro Ticino, EOC, 6900 Lugano, Switzerland. ^hFaculty of Biomedical Sciences, Università della Svizzera italiana (USI), 6900 Lugano, Switzerland. [†]These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

List of Contents

2. IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study	
2.1 Comparison of treatments at each time-point	
2.1.1 Evaluation at Baseline: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV	page 02
2.1.2 Evaluation at 2/3 Days: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV	page 03
2.1.3 Evaluation at 1 Month: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV	page 04
2.2 Comparison of time-points for each treatment	
2.2.1 Paired evaluation of Ctrl group	page 05
2.2.2 Paired evaluation of IC-sEV	page 06
2.2.3 Paired evaluation of IM-sEV	page 07
2.3. Comparison of delta values	
2.3.1 Evaluation of 2/3 Days minus Baseline	page 08
2.3.2 Evaluation of 1 Month <i>minus</i> 2/3 Days	page 09
3. Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study	
3.1 Comparison of treatments at each time-point	
3.1.1 Evaluation at 2/3 Days: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV	page 10
3.1.2 Evaluation at 1 Month: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV	page 11
3.1.3 Evaluation at 3 Months: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV	page 12
3.2. Comparison of time-points for each treatment	
3.2.1 Paired evaluation of Ctrl group	page 13
3.2.2 Paired evaluation of IC-sEV	page 14
3.3. Comparison of delta values	
3.3.1 Evaluation of 1 Month <i>minus</i> 2/3 Days	page 15
3.3.2 Evaluation of 3 Months <i>minus</i> 1 Month	page 16
3.3.3 Evaluation of 3 Months <i>minus</i> 2-3 Days	page 17

List of abbreviations

LVM: Left Ventricular Mass; LVEDV: Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Volume; LVESV: Left Ventricular End-Systolic Volume; LVSV: Left Ventricular Stroke Volume; LVEF: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; CO: Cardiac Output; RVEDV: Right Ventricular End-Diastolic Volume; RVESV: Right Ventricular End-Systolic Volume; RVSV: Right Ventricular Stroke Volume; RVEF: Right Ventricular Ejection Fraction; IS: Infarct Size; IV: Infarct Volume.

2. IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study

2.1 Comparison of treatments at each time-point

2.1.1 Evaluation at Baseline: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV

Baseline	Ctrl	IC	IM	Overall	Pairw	ise compa	risons
Evaluation	[n=5]	[n=4]	[n=5]	<i>P</i> -value	Ctrl vs.	Ctrl vs. IM	IC vs. IM
Height [cm]	83 [79; 87]	76 [71; 80]	75 [72; 78]	0.038	0.140	0.055	1.000
Weight [Kg]	32 [31; 35]	34 [31; 35]	31 [30; 36]	0.851	-	-	-
Heart Rate [bpm]	80 [68; 95]	97 [91; 103]	89 [76; 95]	0.170	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	753 [642; 882]	619 [582; 664]	676 [633; 791]	0.170	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	91.2 ± 6.91	83.0 ± 14.39	71.5 ± 10.65	0.038	1.000	0.038	0.282
LVM [g]	98.3 ± 9.25	87.9 ± 16.19	78.3 ± 13.01	0.097	-	-	-
LVEDV [mL]	78.3 ± 16.42	73.4 ± 14.23	73.9 ± 8.80	0.656	-	-	-
LVESV [mL]	34.0 ± 6.89	32.0 ± 6.82	31.6 ± 3.60	0.593	-	-	-
LVSV [mL]	44.2 ± 9.52	41.4 ± 7.64	42.3 ± 5.21	0.603	-	-	-
LVEF [%]	56.3 [55.6; 57.2]	56.8 [54.8; 57.9]	57.2 [56.9; 57.6]	0.413	-	-	-
CO [L/min]	3.6 ± 1.17	4.1 ± 0.97	3.6 ± 0.50	0.744	-	-	-
RVEDV [mL]	70.2 ± 10.58	70.6 ± 7.36	72.4 ± 9.90	0.946	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	30.4 ± 4.63	31.4 ± 6.45	29.8 ± 5.63	0.762	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	39.9 ± 6.79	39.2 ± 4.46	38.6 ± 10.10	0.941	-	-	-
RVEF [%]	56.7 ± 3.05	55.7 ± 6.54	56.1 ± 2.29	0.643	-	-	-

Data from $\underline{\text{IM-sEV}}$ and $\underline{\text{IC-sEV}}$ delivery study have been analyzed $\underline{\text{at baseline}}$ with Kruskal-Wallis (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{\text{independent samples}}$.

2.1.2 Evaluation at 2/3 Days: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV

2-3 Days	Ctrl	IC	IM	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
Evaluation	[n=5]	[n=4]	[n=5]	<i>P</i> -value	Ctrl vs.	Ctrl vs.	IC vs. IM
Height [cm]	83 [79; 87]	78 [72; 88]	77 [74; 80]	0.227	-	-	-
Weight [Kg]	33 [32; 38]	36 [32; 37]	32 [29; 36]	0.628	-	-	-
Heart Rate [bpm]	80 [65; 89]	89 [70; 93]	83 [51; 92]	0.606	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	754 [675; 919]	678 [647; 874]	721 [653; 1200]	0.606	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	104.9 ± 16.97	97.5 ± 26.97	82.0 ± 12.01	0.105	-	-	-
LVM [g]	110.1 ± 17.83	102.4 ± 28.36	86.1 ± 12.59	0.105	-	-	-
LVEDV [mL]	92.1 ± 5.28	79.8 ± 20.07	76.8 ± 8.64	0.143	-	-	-
LVESV [mL]	54.3 ± 9.01	46.3 ± 10.07	50.6 ± 5.47	0.418	-	-	-
LVSV [mL]	37.8 ± 8.99	33.5 ± 10.36	26.2 ± 3.25	0.105	-	-	-
LVEF [%]	44.4 [31.3; 49.2]	42.5 [37.6; 44.5]	34.3 [33.3; 34.8]	0.214	-	-	-
CO [L/min]	2.9 ± 0.40	2.8 ± 0.73	1.9 ± 0.50	0.047	1.000	0.048	0.456
RVEDV [mL]	74.1 ± 12.20	71.4 ± 3.15	74.8 ± 10.10	0.613	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	40.1 ± 13.85	36.8 ± 2.45	54.6 ± 2.11	0.059	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	32.0 ± 4.64	34.6 ± 3.56	20.2 ± 8.11	0.021	1.000	0.085	0.034
RVEF [%]	46.4 ± 11.16	48.5 ± 3.61	26.2 ± 8.01	0.016	1.000	0.030	0.059
IS [%]	28.3 ± 5.30	25.9 ± 12.27	23.5 ± 9.30	0.526	-	-	-
IV [mL]	25.5 ± 4.10	22.2 ± 10.52	17.3 ± 5.75	0.114	-	-	-

Data from $\underline{\text{IM-sEV}}$ and $\underline{\text{IC-sEV}}$ delivery study have been analyzed at 2-3 Days with Kruskal-Wallis test (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{\text{independent samples}}$.

2.1.3 Evaluation at 1 Month: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV vs. IM-sEV

1 Month	Ctrl	IC	IM	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
Evaluation	[n=5]	[n=4]	[n=5]	<i>P</i> -value	Ctrl vs.	Ctrl vs.	IC vs. IM
Height [cm]	87 [83; 89]	89 [87; 91]	85 [83; 87]	0.120	-	-	-
Weight [Kg]	39 [36; 42]	41 [34; 42]	43 [39; 43]	0.433	-	-	-
Heart Rate [bpm]	86 [73; 106]	90 [76; 103]	92 [84; 99]	0.893	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	699 [565; 824]	670 [586; 788]	649 [605; 711]	0.893	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	95.0 ± 14.40	98.9 ± 19.01	89.1 ± 12.06	0.761	-	-	-
LVM [g]	99.7 ± 15.15	103.8 ± 20.01	93.6 ± 12.63	0.761	-	-	-
LVEDV [mL]	79.3 ± 7.63	85.9 ± 17.61	89.9 ± 11.97	0.392	-	-	-
LVESV [mL]	47.7 ± 8.16	44.9 ± 6.43	54.6 ± 9.16	0.231	-	-	-
LVSV [mL]	31.6 ± 5.13	40.9 ± 13.08	35.3 ± 7.12	0.553	-	-	-
LVEF [%]	43.6 [33.0; 45.3]	47.7 [39.4; 53.4]	39.6 [34.0; 44.5]	0.137	-	-	-
CO [L/min]	2.8 ± 0.22	3.7 ± 1.22	3.3 ± 0.92	0.273	-	-	-
RVEDV [mL]	76.0 ± 15.43	71.8 ± 13.22	72.0 ± 21.35	0.744	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	45.3 ± 11.03	38.9 ± 4.89	47.8 ± 11.97	0.525	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	30.7 ± 6.81	32.9 ± 9.21	24.2 ± 10.53	0.309	-	-	-
RVEF [%]	40.6 ± 6.61	45.4 ± 4.37	32.9 ± 5.60	0.030	0.783	0.336	0.026
IS [%]	29.3 ± 12.12	15.3 ± 3.28	20.0 ± 8.41	0.170	-	-	-
IV [mL]	29.1 ± 10.69	13.5 ± 2.48	17.6 ± 8.54	0.045	0.047	0.631	1.000

Data from $\underline{\text{IM-sEV}}$ and $\underline{\text{IC-sEV}}$ delivery study have been analyzed $\underline{\text{at 1 Month}}$ with Kruskal-Wallis test (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{\text{independent samples}}$.

2.2 Comparison of time-points for each treatment

2.2.1 Paired evaluation of Ctrl group

	Baseline	2-3 Days	1 Month	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
Controls	[n=5]	[n=5]	[n=5]	<i>P</i> -value	B vs. 2-3 D	B <i>vs.</i> 1 M	2-3 D vs. 1 M
Height [cm]	83 [79; 87]	83 [79; 87]	87 [83; 89]	0.165	-	-	-
Weight [Kg]	32 [31; 35]	33 [32; 38]	39 [36; 42]	0.015	1.000	0.013	0.173
Heart Rate [bpm]	80 [68; 95]	80 [65; 89]	86 [73; 106]	0.549	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	753 [642; 882]	754 [675; 919]	699 [565; 824]	0.549	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	91.2 ± 6.91	104.9 ± 16.97	95.0 ± 14.40	0.104	-	-	-
LVM [g]	98.3 ± 9.25	110.1 ± 17.83	99.7 ± 15.15	0.049	0.053	0.464	1.000
LVEDV [mL]	78.3 ± 16.42	92.1 ± 5.28	79.3 ± 7.63	0.091	-	-	-
LVESV [mL]	34.0 ± 6.89	54.3 ± 9.01	47.7 ± 8.16	0.007	0.005	0.342	0.342
LVSV [mL]	44.2 ± 9.52	37.8 ± 8.99	31.6 ± 5.13	0.015	1.000	0.013	0.173
LVEF [%]	56.3 [55.6; 57.2]	44.4 [31.3; 49.2]	43.6 [33.0; 45.3]	0.015	0.173	0.013	1.000
CO [L/min]	3.6 ± 1.17	2.9 ± 0.40	2.8 ± 0.22	0.368	-	-	-
RVEDV [mL]	70.2 ± 10.58	74.1 ± 12.20	76.0 ± 15.43	0.549	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	30.4 ± 4.63	40.1 ± 13.85	45.3 ± 11.03	0.091	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	39.9 ± 6.79	32.0 ± 4.64	30.7 ± 6.81	0.247	-	-	-
RVEF [%]	56.7 ± 3.05	46.4 ± 11.16	40.6 ± 6.61	0.074	-	-	-
IS [%]	N.A.	28.3 ± 5.30	29.3 ± 12.12	0.893	-	-	-
IV [mL]	N.A.	25.5 ± 4.10	29.1 ± 10.69	0.500	-	-	-

 $\frac{Controls}{started} \ \ \text{from} \ \ \underline{\text{IM-seV}} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \underline{\text{IC-seV}} \ \ \text{delivery study} \ \ \text{have been analyzed} \ \ \underline{\text{at all time points}} \ \ \text{with Friedman test for paired samples} \ \ \text{(all variables)}. \ \ \text{Groups were treated as} \ \ \underline{\text{related samples}}.$

2.2.2 Paired evaluation of IC-sEV

Intracoronary	Baseline	2-3 Days	1 Month	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
Infusion	[n=4]	[n=4]	[n=4]	<i>P</i> -value	B vs. 2-3 D	B <i>vs.</i> 1 M	2-3 D vs. 1 M
Height [cm]	76 [71; 80]	78 [72; 88]	89 [87; 91]	0.022	0.874	0.027	0.339
Weight [Kg]	34 [31; 35]	36 [32; 37]	41 [34; 42]	0.021	0.867	0.024	0.335
Heart Rate [bpm]	97 [91; 103]	89 [70; 93]	90 [76; 103]	0.368	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	619 [582; 664]	678 [647; 874]	670 [586; 788]	0.368	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	83.0 ± 14.39	97.5 ± 26.97	98.9 ± 19.01	0.057	-	-	-
LVM [g]	87.9 ± 16.19	102.4 ± 28.36	103.8 ± 20.01	0.039	0.231	0.040	1.000
LVEDV [mL]	73.4 ± 14.23	79.8 ± 20.07	85.9 ± 17.61	0.018	0.472	0.014	0.472
LVESV [mL]	32.0 ± 6.82	46.3 ± 10.07	44.9 ± 6.43	0.049	0.102	0.102	1.000
LVSV [mL]	41.4 ± 7.64	33.5 ± 10.36	40.9 ± 13.08	0.048	0.102	1.000	0.102
LVEF [%]	56.8 [54.8; 57.9]	42.5 [37.6; 44.5]	47.7 [39.4; 53.4]	0.039	0.040	0.231	1.000
CO [L/min]	4.1 ± 0.97	2.8 ± 0.73	3.7 ± 1.22	0.038	0.039	1.000	0.231
RVEDV [mL]	70.6 ± 7.36	71.4 ± 3.15	$\textbf{71.8} \pm \textbf{13.22}$	0.779	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	31.4 ± 6.45	36.8 ± 2.45	38.9 ± 4.89	0.105	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	39.2 ± 4.46	34.6 ± 3.56	32.9 ± 9.21	0.368	-	-	-
RVEF [%]	55.7 ± 6.54	48.5 ± 3.61	45.4 ± 4.37	0.039	0.231	0.040	1.000
IS [%]	N.A.	25.9 ± 12.27	15.3 ± 3.28	0.144	-	-	-
IV [mL]	N.A.	22.2 ± 10.52	13.5 ± 2.48	0.145	-	-	-

Animals underwent Intracoronary infusion from IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study have been analyzed at all time points with Friedman test for paired samples (all variables). Groups were treated as related samples.

2.2.3 Paired evaluation of IM-sEV

Intramyocardial	Baseline	2-3 Days	1 Month	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
Infusion	[n=5] [n=5]	•	[n=5]	P-value	B vs. 2-3 D	B <i>vs.</i> 1 M	2-3 D vs. 1 M
Height [cm]	75 [72; 78]	77 [74; 80]	85 [83; 87]	0.007	0.342	0.005	0.342
Weight [Kg]	31 [30; 36]	32 [29; 36]	43 [39; 43]	0.022	1.000	0.034	0.081
Heart Rate [bpm]	89 [76; 95]	83 [51; 92]	92 [84; 99]	0.247	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	676 [633; 791]	721 [653; 1200]	649 [605; 711]	0.247	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	71.5 ± 10.65	82.0 ± 12.01	89.1 ± 12.06	0.165	-	-	-
LVM [g]	78.3 ± 13.01	86.1 ± 12.59	93.6 ± 12.63	0.074	-	-	-
LVEDV [mL]	73.9 ± 8.80	76.8 ± 8.64	89.9 ± 11.97	0.015	0.173	0.013	1.000
LVESV [mL]	31.6 ± 3.60	50.6 ± 5.47	54.6 ± 9.16	0.014	0.175	0.013	1.000
LVSV [mL]	42.3 ± 5.21	26.2 ± 3.25	35.3 ± 7.12	0.007	0.005	0.342	0.342
LVEF [%]	57.2 [56.9; 57.6]	34.3 [33.3; 34.8]	39.6 [34.0; 44.5]	0.015	0.013	0.173	1.000
CO [L/min]	3.6 ± 0.50	1.9 ± 0.50	3.3 ± 0.92	0.013	0.011	1.000	0.151
RVEDV [mL]	72.4 ± 9.90	74.8 ± 10.10	72.0 ± 21.35	0.549	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	29.8 ± 5.63	54.6 ± 2.11	47.8 ± 11.97	0.022	0.034	0.081	1.000
RVSV [mL]	38.6 ± 10.10	20.2 ± 8.11	24.2 ± 10.53	0.023	0.036	0.077	1.000
RVEF [%]	56.1 ± 2.29	26.2 ± 8.01	32.9 ± 5.60	0.015	0.013	0.174	1.000
IS [%]	N.A.	23.5 ± 9.30	20.0 ± 8.41	0.225	-	-	-
IV [mL]	N.A.	17.3 ± 5.75	17.6 ± 8.54	0.893	-	-	-

Animals underwent <u>Intramyocardial infusion</u> from <u>IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study</u> have been analyzed <u>at all time points</u> with Friedman test for paired samples (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>related samples</u>.

2.3. Comparison of delta values

2.3.1 Evaluation of 2/3 Days minus Baseline

2/3 Days minus	Ctrl	IC	IM	Overall	Pairwi	se compa	risons
Baseline [%]	[n=5]	[n=4]	[n=5]	P-value	Ctrl vs.	Ctrl vs.	IC vs. IM
Delta LVM [mL]	14.6 ± 11.68	16.2 ± 16.20	15.7 ± 17.54	0.936	-	-	-
Delta LVM [g]	11.6 ± 7.84	15.1 ± 13.61	11.3 ± 18.69	0.606	-	-	-
Delta LVEDV [mL]	23.8 ± 37.02	8.1 ± 10.24	4.0 ± 3.05	0.421	-	-	-
Delta LVESV [mL]	71.0 ± 73.20	45.7 ± 18.58	60.4 ± 5.42	0.460	-	-	-
Delta LVSV [mL]	-12.9 ± 17.20	-20.5 ± 10.71	-38.1 ± 2.61	0.023	1.000	0.030	0.111
Delta LVEF [%]	-27.2 ± 16.41	$\textbf{-26.4} \pm 7.66$	-40.5 ± 1.42	0.214	-	-	-
Delta CO [L/min]	$\textbf{-14.9} \pm \textbf{26.21}$	$\textbf{-32.2} \pm 5.92$	-45.8 ± 18.17	0.064	-	-	-
Delta RVEDV [mL]	5.6 ± 10.78	2.0 ± 10.95	3.4 ± 5.11	0.897	-	-	-
Delta RVESV [mL]	29.9 ± 30.51	22.8 ± 38.2	88.5 ± 36.3	0.086	-	-	-
Delta RVSV [mL]	-17.1 ± 21.19	-10.6 ± 13.9	-47.0 ± 19.77	0.062	-	-	-
Delta RVEF [%]	-17.6 ± 21.98	-11.9 ± 13.74	-53.5 ± 13.36	0.023	1.000	0.070	0.044

<u>Delta 2-3 Days minus Baseline</u> from data of the <u>IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study</u> have been analyzed with Kruskal-Wallis test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.

2.3.2 Evaluation of 1 Month minus 2/3 Days

1 Month <i>minus</i>	Ctrl	IC	IM	Overall	Pairwi	ise compa	risons
2/3 Days [%]	[n=5]		[n=5]	<i>P</i> -value	Ctrl vs.	Ctrl vs.	IC vs. IM
Delta LVM [mL]	-8.7 ± 12.61	4.8 ± 20.98	10.9 ± 22.54	0.291	-	-	-
Delta LVM [g]	$\textbf{-8.9} \pm \textbf{12.73}$	4.7 ± 20.96	11.1 ± 22.48	0.291	-	-	-
Delta LVEDV [mL]	-13.8 ± 7.65	8.6 ± 6.86	17.8 ± 16.29	0.010	0.078	0.012	1.000
Delta LVESV [mL]	-11.8 ± 8.36	-1.3 ± 12.91	9.2 ± 23.19	0.195	-	-	-
Delta LVSV [mL]	-14.9 ± 10.14	21.7 ± 4.68	34.2 ± 13.70	0.006	0.184	0.004	0.785
Delta LVEF [%]	-1.3 ± 8.90	12.3 ± 7.98	15.2 ± 17.72	0.089	-	-	-
Delta CO [L/min]	$\textbf{-2.0} \pm 20.28$	31.4 ± 17.1	83.5 ± 72.49	0.026	0.242	0.024	1.000
Delta RVEDV [mL]	6.4 ± 35.96	0.2 ± 15.60	$\textbf{-3.4} \pm \textbf{26.40}$	0.946	-	-	-
Delta RVESV [mL]	26.7 ± 61.21	6.5 ± 18.17	-12.8 ± 20.34	0.526	-	-	-
Delta RVSV [mL]	-1.8 ± 26.89	-6.2 ± 15.75	34.3 ± 66.30	0.650	-	-	-
Delta RVEF [%]	$\textbf{-8.0} \pm \textbf{27.59}$	$\textbf{-6.4} \pm \textbf{6.96}$	34.4 ± 37.70	0.065	-	-	-
Delta IS [%]	3.4 ± 42.17	-32.1 ± 25.86	-13.1 ± 31.47	0.248	-	-	-
Delta IV [mL]	15.1 ± 44.84	-21.3 ± 50.13	$\textbf{-0.5} \pm \textbf{29.56}$	0.206	-	-	-

<u>Delta 1 Month minus 2-3 Days</u> from data of the <u>IM-sEV and IC-sEV delivery study</u> have been analyzed with Kruskal-Wallis test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.

3. Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study

3.1 Comparison of treatments at each time-point

3.1.1 Evaluation at 2/3 Days: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV

2-3 Days Evaluation	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	<i>P</i> -value
Height [cm]	83 [82; 83]	78 [67; 80]	0.071
Weight [Kg]	42 [37; 42]	39 [36; 50]	0.548
Heart Rate [bpm]	95 [50; 108]	76 [70; 85]	0.352
R-R [ms]	631 [556; 1424]	788 [706; 852]	0.352
LVM [mL]	64.4 ± 7.82	66.6 ± 6.20	0.762
LVM [g]	67.7 ± 8.25	69.9 ± 6.52	1.000
LVEDV [mL]	81.5 ± 10.47	87.4 ± 3.87	0.476
LVESV [mL]	52.0 ± 8.74	56.7 ± 4.45	0.257
LVSV [mL]	29.5 ± 5.38	30.7 ± 3.66	0.762
LVEF [%]	36.6 [31.0; 41.1]	34.1 [32.3; 39.4]	0.762
CO [L/min]	2.5 ± 1.06	2.4 ± 0.47	0.914
RVEDV [mL]	76.7 ± 6.75	72.9 ± 8.17	0.610
RVESV [mL]	38.1 ± 7.44	38.5 ± 5.76	1.000
RVSV [mL]	38.7 ± 6.60	34.5 ± 8.75	0.576
RVEF [%]	50.4 ± 8.09	46.9 ± 8.21	0.476
IS [%]	23.4 ± 0.90	24.6 ± 3.33	1.000
IV [mL]	21.0 ± 1.16	20.0 ± 3.57	0.914

Data from $\underline{\text{Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study}}$ have been analyzed $\underline{\text{at 2-3 days}}$ with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{\text{independent samples}}$.

3.1.2 Evaluation at 1 Month: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV

1 Month Evaluation	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	<i>P</i> -value
Height [cm]	93 [92; 93]	91 [83; 93]	0.262
Weight [Kg]	47 [40; 47]	44 [41; 45]	0.381
Heart Rate [bpm]	84 [76; 87]	80 [63; 85]	0.476
R-R [ms]	710 [691; 791]	755 [708; 1132]	0.476
LVM [mL]	76.4 ± 7.16	86.5 ± 13.09	0.257
LVM [g]	85.2 ± 4.97	90.8 ± 13.69	0.476
LVEDV [mL]	110.7 ± 9.17	100.8 ± 8.19	0.067
LVESV [mL]	73.9 ± 8.04	65.8 ± 6.28	0.114
LVSV [mL]	36.8 ± 2.93	35.0 ± 3.93	0.576
LVEF [%]	33.2 [30.9; 35.9]	35.9 [31.1; 37.1]	0.352
CO [L/min]	3.0 ± 0.33	$\textbf{3.2} \pm \textbf{1.11}$	0.476
RVEDV [mL]	76.4 ± 4.59	73.3 ± 9.56	0.762
RVESV [mL]	36.2 ± 9.03	39.4 ± 7.16	0.762
RVSV [mL]	40.2 ± 4.51	33.9 ± 5.43	0.114
RVEF [%]	53.1 ± 9.30	46.4 ± 5.64	0.352
IS [%]	20.9 ± 0.85	22.3 ± 2.95	0.610
IV [mL]	16.2 ± 0.29	16.2 ± 9.40	1.000

Data from $\underline{Placebo\text{-}controlled IC\text{-}sEV\text{-}delivery study}$ have been analyzed $\underline{at\ 1\ Month}$ with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{independent\ samples}$.

3.1.3 Evaluation at 3 Months: Ctrl vs. IC-sEV

3 Months Evaluation	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	<i>P</i> -value
Height [cm]	97 [97; 98]	107 [102; 110]	0.024
Weight [Kg]	62 [55; 62]	67 [60; 70]	0.262
Heart Rate [bpm]	89 [82; 100]	77 [59; 87]	0.114
R-R [ms]	676 [604; 730]	780 [697; 1025]	0.114
LVM [mL]	94.2 ± 14.17	111.2 ± 19.38	0.171
LVM [g]	98.9 ± 14.84	116.8 ± 20.4	0.171
LVEDV [mL]	119.7 ± 6.62	114.5 ± 16.3	0.476
LVESV [mL]	79.6 ± 4.09	71.4 ± 11.52	0.352
LVSV [mL]	40.2 ± 3.32	41.5 ± 6.38	0.914
LVEF [%]	33.4 [32.3; 35.0]	36.2 [35.1; 37.1]	0.019
CO [L/min]	3.6 ± 0.50	3.7 ± 0.62	0.610
RVEDV [mL]	80.4 ± 3.66	77.1 ± 10.9	0.352
RVESV [mL]	43.6 ± 8.86	41.7 ± 7.59	0.610
RVSV [mL]	36.9 ± 5.66	35.4 ± 7.61	0.610
RVEF [%]	46.1 ± 9.09	45.8 ± 6.90	0.914
IS [%]	23.9 ± 2.45	18.0 ± 2.45	0.010
IV [mL]	24.3 ± 3.74	18.0 ± 4.4	0.114

Data from <u>Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study</u> have been analyzed <u>at 3 Months</u> with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.

3.2. Comparison of time-points for each treatment

3.2.1 Paired evaluation of Ctrl group

Controls	2-3 Days	1 Month	3 Months	Overall	Pairwise comparisons		
	[n=4] [n=4]	[n=4]	<i>P</i> -value	2-3 D vs. 1 M	2-3 D vs. 3 M	1 M <i>vs.</i> 3 M	
Height [cm]	83 [82; 83]	93 [92; 93]	97 [97; 98]	0.018	0.472	0.014	0.472
Weight [Kg]	42 [37; 42]	47 [40; 47]	62 [55; 62]	0.019	0.475	0.019	0.478
Heart Rate [bpm]	95 [50; 108]	84 [76; 87]	89 [82; 100]	0.472	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	631 [556; 1424]	710 [691; 791]	676 [604; 730]	0.472	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	64.4 ± 7.82	76.4 ± 7.16	94.2 ± 14.17	0.105	-	-	-
LVM [g]	67.7 ± 8.25	85.2 ± 4.97	98.9 ± 14.84	0.039	0.231	0.040	1.000
LVEDV [mL]	81.5 ± 10.47	110.7 ± 9.17	119.7 ± 6.62	0.034	0.189	0.037	1.000
LVESV [mL]	52.0 ± 8.74	73.9 ± 8.04	79.6 ± 4.09	0.033	0.185	0.034	1.000
LVSV [mL]	29.5 ± 5.38	36.8 ± 2.93	40.2 ± 3.32	0.042	0.375	0.046	1.000
LVEF [%]	36.6 [31.0; 41.1]	33.2 [30.9; 35.9]	33.4 [32.3; 35.0]	0.471	-	-	-
CO [L/min]	2.5 ± 1.06	3.0 ± 0.33	3.6 ± 0.50	0.174			
RVEDV [mL]	76.7 ± 6.75	76.4 ± 4.59	80.4 ± 3.66	0.472			
RVESV [mL]	38.1 ± 7.44	36.2 ± 9.03	43.6 ± 8.86	0.779			
RVSV [mL]	38.7 ± 6.60	40.2 ± 4.51	36.9 ± 5.66	0.780			
RVEF [%]	50.4 ± 8.09	53.1 ± 9.30	46.1 ± 9.09	0.627			
IS [%]	23.4 ± 0.90	20.9 ± 0.85	23.9 ± 2.45	0.039	0.040	1.000	0.231
IV [mL]	21.0 ± 1.16	16.2 ± 0.29	24.3 ± 3.74	0.033	0.097	1.000	0.035

 $\frac{Controls}{strong} \ from \ \underline{Placebo-controlled} \ IC-sEV-delivery \ study \ have been \ analyzed \ \underline{at \ all \ time \ points} \ with \ Friedman \ test \ for \ paired \ samples \ (all \ variables). \ Groups \ were \ treated \ as \ \underline{related \ samples}.$

3.2.2 Paired evaluation of IC-sEV

Intracoronary Infusion		1 Month		Overall <i>P</i> -value	Pairwise comparisons		
		[n=6]			2-3 D vs. 1 M	2-3 D vs. 3 M	1 M <i>vs.</i> 3 M
Height [cm]	78 [67; 80]	91 [83; 93]	107 [102; 110]	0.002	0.250	0.002	0.250
Weight [Kg]	39 [36; 50]	44 [41; 45]	67 [60; 70]	0.030	1.000	0.028	0.250
Heart Rate [bpm]	76 [70; 85]	80 [63; 85]	77 [59; 87]	1.000	-	-	-
R-R [ms]	788 [706; 852]	755 [708; 1132]	780 [697; 1025]	1.000	-	-	-
LVM [mL]	66.6 ± 6.20	86.5 ± 13.09	111.2 ± 19.38	0.002	0.250	0.002	0.250
LVM [g]	69.9 ± 6.52	90.8 ± 13.69	116.8 ± 20.4	0.001	0.203	0.001	0.203
LVEDV [mL]	87.4 ± 3.87	100.8 ± 8.19	114.5 ± 16.3	0.011	0.028	0.028	1.000
LVESV [mL]	56.7 ± 4.45	65.8 ± 6.28	71.4 ± 11.52	0.042	0.063	0.130	1.000
LVSV [mL]	30.7 ± 3.66	35.0 ± 3.93	41.5 ± 6.38	0.115	-	-	-
LVEF [%]	34.1 [32.3; 39.4]	35.9 [31.1; 37.1]	36.2 [35.1; 37.1]	0.846	-	-	-
CO [L/min]	2.4 ± 0.47	3.2 ± 1.11	3.7 ± 0.62	0.022	0.250	0.028	1.000
RVEDV [mL]	72.9 ± 8.17	73.3 ± 9.56	77.1 ± 10.9	0.846	-	-	-
RVESV [mL]	38.5 ± 5.76	39.4 ± 7.16	41.7 ± 7.59	0.568	-	-	-
RVSV [mL]	34.5 ± 8.75	33.9 ± 5.43	35.4 ± 7.61	0.513	-	-	-
RVEF [%]	46.9 ± 8.21	46.4 ± 5.64	45.8 ± 6.90	0.845	-	-	-
IS [%]	24.6 ± 3.33	22.3 ± 2.95	18.0 ± 2.45	0.042	1.000	0.048	0.130
IV [mL]	20.0 ± 3.57	16.2 ± 9.40	18.0 ± 4.4	0.872	-	-	-

Animals underwent $\underline{\text{Intracoronary infusion}}$ from $\underline{\text{Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study}}$ have been analyzed $\underline{\text{at all time points}}$ with Friedman test for paired samples (all variables). Groups were treated as $\underline{\text{related samples}}$.

3.3. Comparison of delta values

3.3.1 Evaluation of 1 Month minus 2/3 Days

1 Month <i>minus</i> 2/3 Days [%]	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	<i>P</i> -value
Delta LVM [mL]	20.0 ± 18.56	30.3 ± 18.90	0.476
Delta LVM [g]	26.7 ± 8.59	30.2 ± 18.88	0.762
Delta LVEDV [mL]	37.4 ± 19.00	15.4 ± 8.42	0.038
Delta LVESV [mL]	43.8 ± 18.96	16.2 ± 10.78	0.038
Delta LVSV [mL]	27.7 ± 21.75	15.1 ± 15.64	0.352
Delta LVEF [%]	-7.1 ± 8.27	-0.3 ± 10.84	0.171
Delta CO [L/min]	50.2 ± 93.67	33.0 ± 45.20	1.000
Delta RVEDV [mL]	0.3 ± 12.69	2.3 ± 21.70	0.762
Delta RVESV [mL]	-4.6 ± 19.86	4.7 ± 27.03	1.000
Delta RVSV [mL]	$\textbf{5.2} \pm \textbf{9.90}$	6.4 ± 40.50	0.914
Delta RVEF [%]	5.5 ± 10.08	2.1 ± 22.67	1.000
Delta IS [%]	-10.6 ± 6.36	-8.4 ± 14.16	0.352
Delta IV [mL]	$\textbf{-22.4} \pm 5.02$	$\textbf{-2.5} \pm 26.0$	0.476

<u>Delta 1 Month minus 2-3 Days</u> from data of the <u>Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study</u> have been analyzed with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.

3.3.2 Evaluation of 3 Months minus 1 Month

3 Months <i>minus</i> 1 Month [%]	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	Overall <i>P</i> -value
Delta LVM [mL]	24.5 ± 23.78	30.3 ± 27.81	0.476
Delta LVM [g]	17.0 ± 24.06	30.1 ± 27.78	0.476
Delta LVEDV [mL]	9.0 ± 14.24	14.3 ± 20.17	0.476
Delta LVESV [mL]	8.9 ± 16.12	9.8 ± 24.94	0.171
Delta LVSV [mL]	10.1 ± 16.36	19.4 ± 19.69	0.610
Delta LVEF [%]	1.2 ± 9.73	4.8 ± 9.72	0.257
Delta CO [L/min]	23.2 ± 18.19	40.6 ± 87.96	1.000
Delta RVEDV [mL]	5.6 ± 8.60	7.5 ± 25.55	0.762
Delta RVESV [mL]	29.5 ± 57.05	9.3 ± 28.06	1.000
Delta RVSV [mL]	-7.1 ± 18.66	7.2 ± 30.97	0.476
Delta RVEF [%]	-10.6 ± 23.85	-0.5 ± 17.89	1.000
Delta IS [%]	14.6 ± 8.62	-18.1 ± 16.44	0.010
Delta IV [mL]	49.4 ± 21.47	-1.6 ± 27.72	0.171

<u>Delta 3 Months minus 1 Month</u> from data of the <u>Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study</u> have been analyzed with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.

3.3.3 Evaluation of 3 Months minus 2-3 Days

3 Months <i>minus</i> 2-3 Days [%]	Ctrl [n=4]	IC [n=6]	Overall <i>P</i> -value
Delta LVM [mL]	49.6 ± 39.79	66.9 ± 24.27	1.000
Delta LVM [g]	49.5 ± 39.02	66.4 ± 24.75	0.610
Delta LVEDV [mL]	49.3 ± 24.25	31.9 ± 24.36	1.000
Delta LVESV [mL]	56.5 ± 28.29	27.4 ± 29.05	0.762
Delta LVSV [mL]	40.2 ± 31.24	36.6 ± 23.85	0.476
Delta LVEF [%]	-5.8 ± 13.77	3.9 ± 8.57	0.762
Delta CO [L/min]	78.7 ± 95.31	58.0 ± 26.20	0.352
Delta RVEDV [mL]	5.7 ± 13.15	6.4 ± 14.65	0.476
Delta RVESV [mL]	18.1 ± 37.79	10.6 ± 23.92	0.914
Delta RVSV [mL]	-2.5 ± 20.91	7.6 ± 29.55	0.476
Delta RVEF [%]	-7.1 ± 19.59	0.7 ± 26.44	0.610
Delta IS [%]	2.5 ± 11.37	$\textbf{-26.5} \pm 8.80$	0.038
Delta IV [mL]	16.8 ± 24.08	-8.7 ± 21.53	0.019

<u>Delta 3 Months minus 2-3 Days</u> from data of the <u>Placebo-controlled IC-sEV-delivery study</u> have been analyzed with Mann-Whitney test (all variables). Groups were treated as <u>independent samples</u>.