

ON THE GENERALIZED DIRICHLET DIVISOR PROBLEM

RUNBO LI

ABSTRACT. Using more advanced results on the growth exponent for Riemann zeta-function and accurate numerical estimations, we obtain better upper bounds for α_k ($9 \leq k \leq 20$) on the generalized Dirichlet divisor problem. This gives a minor improvement upon the recent result of Trudgian and Yang.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Growth exponents for Riemann zeta-function	2
3. A large value theorem	3
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2	3
Acknowledgements	4
References	4

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $k \geq 2$ denotes an integer and $d_k(n)$ is the divisor function that represents the number of ways n may be written as a product of exactly k factors. The generalized Dirichlet divisor problem consists of the estimation of the function

$$\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} d_k(n) - xP_{k-1}(\log x), \quad (1)$$

where P_{k-1} is an explicit polynomial of degree $k-1$. Clearly we have $\Delta_k(x) = o(x)$. We then define α_k as the least exponent for which

$$\Delta_k(x) \ll x^{\alpha_k + \varepsilon}. \quad (2)$$

In 1916, Hardy [2] first proved a lower bound that $\alpha_k \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}$ for all $k \geq 2$. The generalized Dirichlet divisor problem conjecture states that $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2k}$ holds for all $k \geq 2$, and this conjecture implies the Lindelöf hypothesis. Now, the best upper bounds for α_k ($k \leq 8$) are

$$\alpha_2 \leq 0.3144831759741, \quad \alpha_3 \leq \frac{43}{96}, \quad \alpha_k \leq \frac{3k-4}{4k} \text{ for } 4 \leq k \leq 8$$

by Li and Yang [8], Kolesnik [7] and Heath-Brown [3] (and Ivić [5]) respectively. Ivić also gave upper bounds with $k \geq 9$ in his book. For results with large k , one can see works of Heath-Brown [4] and Bellotti and Yang [1]. We also refer the readers to the blueprint of the new project ANTEDB organized by Tao, Trudgian and Yang [9].

In 1989, Ivić and Ouellet [6] refined the technique used in and gave better bounds for α_k with $k \geq 9$. In [5], Ivić connected this problem with the function $m(\sigma)$ defined as follows: For any fixed $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ we define $m(\sigma)$ as the supremum of all numbers $m \geq 4$ such that

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^m dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}. \quad (3)$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M06, 11N37, 11N56.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet divisor problem, Riemann zeta-function, Large value theorem.

In order to obtain good bounds for α_k , one need to get lower bounds for $m(\sigma)$. Ivić and Ouellet [6] used a large value theorem and growth exponents for Riemann zeta-function to bound $m(\sigma)$. Specially, for $10 \leq k \leq 20$ they got

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.675, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.6957, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.7130, & \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.7306, \\ \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.7461, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.75851, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.7691, & \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.7785, \\ \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.7868, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.7942, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.8009. \end{aligned}$$

In 2024, Trudgian and Yang [10] mentioned a series of new bounds for α_k . They combined the method of Ivić and Ouellet [6] with their new growth exponents for Riemann zeta-function to obtain those bounds.

Theorem 1.1. ([10], Theorem 2.9). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_9 &\leq 0.64720, & \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.67173, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.69156, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.70818, \\ \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.72350, & \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.73696, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.74886, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.75952, \\ \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.76920, & \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.77792, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.78581, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.79297, & \alpha_{21} &\leq 0.79951. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we use the essentially same methods to give a very minor improvement on their results.

Theorem 1.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_9 &\leq 0.638889, & \alpha_{10} &\leq 0.663329, & \alpha_{11} &\leq 0.684349, & \alpha_{12} &\leq 0.701768, \\ \alpha_{13} &\leq 0.717611, & \alpha_{14} &\leq 0.732262, & \alpha_{15} &\leq 0.745070, & \alpha_{16} &\leq 0.756380, \\ \alpha_{17} &\leq 0.766588, & \alpha_{18} &\leq 0.775721, & \alpha_{19} &\leq 0.783939, & \alpha_{20} &\leq 0.791374. \end{aligned}$$

2. GROWTH EXPONENTS FOR RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

In this section we list the new growth exponents for Riemann zeta-function proved by Trudgian and Yang [10], which is the most powerful and important input in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 a weaker version of this was used.

Lemma 2.1. ([9], Table 6.2). *We have*

$$\mu(\sigma) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{31}{36} - \frac{3}{7}\sigma, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{88225}{153852}, \\ \frac{220633}{620612} - \frac{62831}{155153}\sigma, & \frac{88225}{153852} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{521}{796}, \\ \frac{1333}{3825} - \frac{1508}{3825}\sigma, & \frac{521}{796} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{53141}{76066}, \\ \frac{405}{1202} - \frac{227}{601}\sigma, & \frac{53141}{76066} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{454}{641}, \\ \frac{779}{2590} - \frac{423}{1295}\sigma, & \frac{454}{641} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3473692}{4856993}, \\ \frac{1610593}{5622410} - \frac{861996}{2811205}\sigma, & \frac{3473692}{4856993} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{52209}{69128}, \\ \frac{157319}{560830} - \frac{251324}{841245}\sigma, & \frac{52209}{69128} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1389}{1736}, \\ \frac{2841}{10316} - \frac{754}{2579}\sigma, & \frac{1389}{1736} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{587779}{702192}, \\ \frac{1691}{6554} - \frac{890}{3277}\sigma, & \frac{587779}{702192} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{7441}{8695}, \\ \frac{29}{130} - \frac{3}{13}\sigma, & \frac{7441}{8695} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{277}{300}, \\ \frac{3}{23} - \frac{3}{23}\sigma, & \frac{277}{300} \leq \sigma < 1. \end{cases}$$

In order to use the large value theorem in the next section, we also need the following two results, which give the upper bounds for $\mu(\sigma)$ when $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$:

Lemma 2.2. ([9], Lemma 6.4). *We have*

$$\mu(\sigma) = \mu(1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma$$

for all $0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.3. ([9], Lemma 6.5). *We have*

$$\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} - \sigma$$

for all $\sigma \leq 0$.

3. A LARGE VALUE THEOREM

Now we provide a new large value theorem, which is a refined version of Ivić's large value theorem [[5], Lemma 8.2]. Note that Ivić's version was used by Ivić and Ouellet [6].

Lemma 3.1. *Let t_1, \dots, t_R be real numbers such that $T \leq t_r \leq 2T$ for $r = 1, \dots, R$ and $|t_r - t_s| \geq (\log T)^4$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq R$. If*

$$T^\varepsilon < V \leq \left| \sum_{m \sim M} a_m m^{-\sigma - it_r} \right|$$

where $a_m \ll M^\varepsilon$ for $m \sim M$, $1 \ll M \ll T^C$, then

$$R \ll T^\varepsilon \left(M^{2-2\sigma} V^{-2} + TV^{-f(\sigma)} \right),$$

where

$$f(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3-4\sigma}, & \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{58}{63-80\sigma}, & \frac{2}{3} < \sigma \leq \frac{583}{860}, \\ \frac{47}{41-50\sigma}, & \frac{583}{860} < \sigma \leq \frac{16581}{24022}, \\ \frac{4968}{3981-4774\sigma}, & \frac{16581}{24022} < \sigma \leq \frac{1333047}{1920826}, \\ \frac{15998}{12283-14600\sigma}, & \frac{1333047}{1920826} < \sigma \leq \frac{3269}{4658}, \\ \frac{656601}{497599-589921\sigma}, & \frac{3269}{4658} < \sigma \leq \frac{644621}{905666}, \\ \frac{2210899}{1649056-1949209\sigma}, & \frac{644621}{905666} < \sigma \leq \frac{4491541}{6228387}, \\ \frac{1037}{743-872\sigma}, & \frac{4491541}{6228387} < \sigma \leq \frac{592}{819}, \\ \frac{503}{325-374\sigma}, & \frac{592}{819} < \sigma \leq \frac{140323}{193464}, \\ \frac{12982}{8109-9268\sigma}, & \frac{140323}{193464} < \sigma \leq \frac{1461}{1982}, \\ \frac{1061878}{648903-738576\sigma}, & \frac{1461}{1982} < \sigma \leq \frac{1960121}{2577906}, \\ \frac{146}{85-96\sigma}, & \frac{1960121}{2577906} < \sigma \leq \frac{76}{97}, \\ \frac{158}{67-72\sigma}, & \frac{76}{97} < \sigma \leq \frac{1960121}{2419300}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the arguments in [[5], Lemma 8.2]. Let $c(\sigma)$ be an upper bound for $\mu(\sigma)$. By Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we know that $c(\sigma)$ can be written in the form $A - B\sigma$ with positive A, B when $\sigma \leq 0.8$. We choose 0.8 as the end point because it is enough for our proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\theta = \theta(\sigma)$ be implicitly defined by

$$2c(\theta) + 1 + \theta - 2(1 + c(\theta))\sigma = 0. \quad (4)$$

Suppose that for some σ , the value of θ lies in an interval $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ with fixed A, B . Then by (4) we have

$$\theta = \frac{2(1 + A)\sigma - (2A + 1)}{2B\sigma + (1 - 2B)}. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, let

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{2(1 + c(\theta))}{c(\theta)}. \quad (6)$$

The values of $f(\sigma)$ when $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 0.8$ are listed above. Now by similar arguments as in the proof of [[5], Lemma 8.2], Lemma 3.1 is proved. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We shall use the method of Ivić and Ouellet [6] to prove Theorem 1.2. It was shown in [[5], Chapter 8] that to obtain bounds for $m(\sigma)$ it suffices to obtain bounds of the form

$$R \ll T^{1+\varepsilon} V^{-m(\sigma)}, \quad (7)$$

where R is the number of points t_r ($1 \leq r \leq R$) such that $|t_r| \leq T$, $|t_r - t_s| \geq (\log T)^4$ for $1 \leq r \neq s \leq R$ and $|\zeta(\sigma + it_r)| \geq V > 0$ for any given V . Moreover, by [[5], (8.97)] we know that

$$R \ll T^\varepsilon \left(TV^{-2f(\sigma)} + T^{\frac{4-4\sigma}{1+2\sigma}} V^{\frac{-12}{1+2\sigma}} + T^{\frac{4(1-\sigma)(\kappa+\lambda)}{(2-4\lambda)\sigma-1+2\kappa-2\lambda}} V^{\frac{-4(1+2\kappa+2\lambda)}{(2-4\lambda)\sigma-1+2\kappa-2\lambda}} \right), \quad (8)$$

where (κ, λ) is an exponent pair. We shall use $(\kappa, \lambda) = (\frac{3}{40}, \frac{31}{40})$ in the rest of our paper for the sake of convenience.

Note that $c(\sigma)$ is an upper bound for $\mu(\sigma)$ given by Lemmas 2.1–2.3. By (8) and the definitions of $f(\sigma)$ and $c(\sigma)$, we can easily calculate the corresponding $m(\sigma)$ for σ between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0.8. Numerical calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} m(0.638889) &> 9, & m(0.663329) &> 10, & m(0.684349) &> 11, & m(0.701768) &> 12, \\ m(0.717611) &> 13, & m(0.732262) &> 14, & m(0.745070) &> 15, & m(0.756380) &> 16, \\ m(0.766588) &> 17, & m(0.775721) &> 18, & m(0.783939) &> 19, & m(0.791374) &> 20, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1.2 is now proved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the Analytic Number Theory Exponent Database (ANTEDB) project.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bellotti and A. Yang. On the generalised Dirichlet divisor problem. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 56(5):1859–1878, 2024.
- [2] G. H. Hardy. On Dirichlet’s divisor problem. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 15(2):1–25, 1916.
- [3] D. R. Heath-Brown. Mean values of the zeta–function and divisor problems. In *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*, pages 115–119. Academic Press, London–New York, 1981.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown. A new k –th derivative estimate for exponential sums via Vinogradov’s mean value. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 296(1):88–103, 2017.
- [5] A. Ivić. *The Riemann zeta–function*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2003.
- [6] A. Ivić and M. Ouellet. Some new estimates in the Dirichlet divisor problem. *Acta Arith.*, 52(3):241–253, 1989.
- [7] G. Kolesnik. On the estimation of multiple exponential sums. In *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*, pages 231–246. Academic Press, London–New York, 1981.
- [8] X. Li and X. Yang. An improvement on Gauss’s Circle Problem and Dirichlet’s Divisor Problem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2308.14859v2, 2023.
- [9] T. Tao, T. Trudgian, and A. Yang. Database of known results on analytic number theory exponents.
- [10] T. S. Trudgian and A. Yang. Toward optimal exponent pairs. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2306.05599v3, 2024.

INTERNATIONAL CURRICULUM CENTER, THE HIGH SCHOOL AFFILIATED TO RENMIN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: carey.lee.0433@gmail.com