## ON THE UPPER AND LOWER BOUND ORDERS OF ALMOST PRIME TRIPLES

#### RUNBO LI

ABSTRACT. A Hardy-Littlewood triple is a 3-tuple of integers with the form (n, n+2, n+6). In this paper, we study Hardy-Littlewood triples of the form  $(p, P_a, P_b)$  and improve the upper and lower bound orders of it, where p is a prime and  $P_r$  has at most r prime factors. Our new results generalize and improve the previous results.

### Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary lemmas	3
3. Weighted sieve method	5
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1	6
4.1. Evaluation of $S_1, S_2, S_3$	6
4.2. Evaluation of $S_4, S_5, S_6$	8
4.3. Evaluation of $S_7$	10
4.4. Proof of theorem 1.1	11
5. An upper bound result	11
References	12

## 1. Introduction

Let x be a sufficiently large integer, N be a sufficiently large even integer, p be a prime, and let  $P_r$  denote an integer with at most r prime factors counted with multiplicity. For each  $N \ge 4$  and  $r \ge 2$ , we define

$$\pi_{1,r}(x) := |\{p : p \leqslant x, p+2 = P_r\}| \tag{1}$$

and

$$D_{1,r}(N) := |\{p : p \le N, N - p = P_r\}|. \tag{2}$$

In 1966 Jingrun Chen [3] proved his remarkable Chen's theorem: let x be a sufficiently large integer and N be a sufficiently large even integer, then

$$\pi_{1,2}(x) \gg \frac{C_2 x}{(\log x)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{1,2}(N) \gg \frac{C(N)N}{(\log N)^2},$$
(3)

where

$$C_2 := 2 \prod_{p>2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad C(N) := \prod_{\substack{p \mid N \\ p>2}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \prod_{p>2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right)$$
(4)

and the detail was published in [4]. In 1990, Wu [14] generalized Chen's theorem and proved that

$$D_{1,3}(N) \gg \frac{C(N)N}{(\log N)^2} \log \log N \tag{5}$$

and

$$D_{1,r}(N) \gg \frac{C(N)N}{(\log N)^2} (\log \log N)^{r-2}.$$
 (6)

 $2020\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 11P32,\ 11N35,\ 11N36.$ 

Key words and phrases. Prime, Goldbach-type problems, Sieve, Application of sieve method.

and Kan [10] proved the similar result in 1991. Kan [11] also proved the more generalized theorem in 1992:

$$D_{s,r}(N) \gg \frac{C(N)N}{(\log N)^2} (\log \log N)^{s+r-3},\tag{7}$$

where  $s \geqslant 1$ ,

$$D_{s,r}(N) := |\{P_s : P_s \leqslant N, N - P_s = P_r\}|. \tag{8}$$

Clearly their methods can be modified to get a similar lower bound order on the twin prime version. For this, we refer the interested readers to [9].

Now we focus on the Hardy-Littlewood triples (n, n + 2, n + 6) with almost-prime values. In fact, if we define

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) := |\{p : p \leqslant x, p+2 = P_a, p+6 = P_b\}| \tag{9}$$

and

$$D_{1,a,b}(N) := |\{p : p \leqslant N, N - p = P_a, p + 6 = P_b\}|, \tag{10}$$

then a special case of Hardy-Littlewood conjecture states that  $\pi_{1,1,1}(x)$  should be asymptotic to  $\frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}$ , where

$$C_3 = \frac{9}{2} \prod_{p>3} \left( 1 - \frac{3p-1}{(p-1)^3} \right) \approx 2.86259.$$
 (11)

In 2015, Heath-Brown and Li [7] proved that  $\pi_{1,2,76}(x) \gg \frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}$  and Cai [2] improved this result to  $\pi_{1,2,14}(x) \gg \frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}$  by using a delicate sieve process later. Their results refined Chen's theorem. Like Wu's generalization of Chen's theorem, we may conjecture that  $\pi_{1,3,r}(x)$  should be asymptotic to  $\frac{C_3x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}$  for some large r. Very recently, Li and Liu [12] proved  $\pi_{1,3,6}(x) \gg \frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}$ . They also got  $\pi_{1,3,3}(x) \gg \frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}$  by assuming GEH(0.99). In this paper, we improve their asymptotic estimates of  $\pi_{1,3,r}(x)$  on the orders by fixing some small prime factors q and prove that:

**Theorem 1.1.** For every integer  $a \ge 2$  and  $b \ge 14$ , we have

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3} (\log \log x)^{a-2}$$
 and  $D_{1,a,b}(N) \gg \frac{N}{(\log N)^3} (\log \log N)^{a-2}$ ,

where  $\pi_{1,a,b}(x)$  and  $D_{1,a,b}(N)$  are defined above.

By similar arguments, we also obtain those theorems:

**Theorem 1.2.** For every integer  $a \ge 3$  and  $b \ge 6$ , we have

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3} (\log \log x)^{a-3}$$
 and  $D_{1,a,b}(N) \gg \frac{N}{(\log N)^3} (\log \log N)^{a-3}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** For every integer  $a \ge 4$  and  $b \ge 5$ , we have

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3} (\log \log x)^{a-4}$$
 and  $D_{1,a,b}(N) \gg \frac{N}{(\log N)^3} (\log \log N)^{a-4}$ .

We also prove some conditional results:

**Theorem 1.4.** For every integer  $a \ge 2$  and  $b \ge 4$ , assuming GEH(0.99), we have

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3} (\log \log x)^{a-2}$$
 and  $D_{1,a,b}(N) \gg \frac{N}{(\log N)^3} (\log \log N)^{a-2}$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** For every integer  $a \ge 3$  and  $b \ge 3$ , assuming GEH(0.99), we have

$$\pi_{1,a,b}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3} (\log \log x)^{a-3}$$
 and  $D_{1,a,b}(N) \gg \frac{N}{(\log N)^3} (\log \log N)^{a-3}$ .

While calculating, we find that it seems hard to improve our Theorems 1.1–1.5. (For example, you need to get an improvement about 45 percent on the sieve process to replace the condition  $b \ge 14$  by  $b \ge 13$  in our Theorem 1.)

In this paper, we only provide a detailed proof of  $\pi_{1,3,14}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}$ , which is a simple version of Theorem 1.1. The readers can modify our proof to get Theorems 1.1–1.5.

### 2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a finite set of positive integers,  $\mathcal{P}$  denote an infinite set of primes, q denote a prime number satisfies  $q < x^{\varepsilon}$  and put

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \frac{p+2}{q} : 7 
$$\mathcal{P}(r) = \{p : p \in \mathcal{P}, (p,r) = 1\}, \quad P(z) = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p < z}} p,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \{a : a \in \mathcal{A}, a \equiv 0(\bmod d)\}, \quad S(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{P}, z) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A} \\ (a, P(z)) = 1}} 1,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \{n : n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k, 13 < n \leqslant x + 6, x^{0.005} \leqslant p_1 < \cdots < p_k, n \equiv 4(\bmod q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \left\{ \frac{p+2}{q} : 7 
$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ qm p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 : qm p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \leqslant x + 2, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < p_4 < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8.4}}, (m, q p_1^{-1} P(p_2)) = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{n - 2 : n \in \mathcal{E}\}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{p+2}{q}, p + 6 \right\} : 7 
$$\mathcal{W}^{(1)} = \{\{n - 2, n + 4\} : n \in \mathcal{E}\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^{(2)} = \left\{ \left\{ n - 6, \frac{n-4}{q} \right\} : n \in \mathcal{M}_k \right\}.$$$$$$$$

**Lemma 2.1.** ([2], Lemma 1], deduced from [7], Proposition 1). Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . Suppose that  $z_1, z_2 \ge 2$  with  $\log z_1 \asymp \log z_2$  and write  $\mathbf{z} = \{z_1, z_2\}$ . For  $\mathbf{d} = \{d_1, d_2\}$  and  $\mathbf{n} = \{n_1, n_2\}$ , we write  $\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{n}$  to mean that  $d_1 \mid n_1$  and  $d_2 \mid n_2$ . Set

$$n_2$$
. Set 
$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{ \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{W} : \mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{n} \}, \quad S(\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\{n_1, n_2\} \in \mathcal{W} \\ p \mid n_1 \Rightarrow p \geqslant z_1 \\ n_1 n_2 \Rightarrow n_2 z_2}} 1.$$

Suppose that

$$|\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{d}}| = h(\mathbf{d})X + R(\mathbf{d})$$

for some X > 0 independent of  $\mathbf{d}$  and some multiplicative function  $h(\mathbf{d}) \in [0,1)$  such that  $h(p,1) + h(1,p) - 1 < h(p,p) \leq h(p,1) + h(1,p)$  for all primes p, and

$$h(p,1), h(1,p) \leqslant cp^{-1}, \quad h(p,p) \leqslant cp^{-2}$$

for some constant  $c \ge 2$ .

Let  $h_1(d) = h(d,1)$  and  $h_2(d) = h(1,d)$ . Suppose that

$$\prod_{w \le p \le z} (1 - h_j(p))^{-1} \le \frac{\log z}{\log w} \left( 1 + \frac{L}{\log w} \right) \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

for  $z \ge w \ge 2$  and some positive constant L. Then:

$$S(\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{z}) \leqslant XV(z_0, h^*) V_1 V_2 \left\{ (F(s_1) F(s_2)) \left( 1 + O\left( (\log D_1 D_2)^{-1/6} \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$+ O_{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{d_1 d_2 \leqslant (D_1 D_2)^{1+\varepsilon}} \tau^4 (d_1 d_2) |R(\{d_1, d_2\})| \right),$$

$$S(\mathcal{W}, \mathbf{z}) \geqslant XV(z_0, h^*) V_1 V_2 \left\{ (f(s_1) F(s_2) + F(s_1) f(s_2) - F(s_1) F(s_2)) \left( 1 + O\left( (\log D_1 D_2)^{-1/6} \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$+ O_{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{d_1 d_2 \leqslant (D_1 D_2)^{1+\varepsilon}} \tau^4 (d_1 d_2) |R(\{d_1, d_2\})| \right)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where

$$z_0 = \exp\left(\sqrt[3]{\log z_1 z_2}\right), \quad s_j = \frac{\log D_j}{\log z_j} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

$$V(z_0, h^*) = \prod_{p < z_0} (1 - h^*(p)), \quad h^*(p) = h(p, 1) + h(1, p) - h(p, p),$$

$$V_j = \prod_{z_0 \le p < z_j} (1 - h_j(p)) \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

and  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler's constant, f(s) and F(s) are determined by the following differential-difference equation

$$\begin{cases} F(s) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s}, & f(s) = 0, \\ (sF(s))' = f(s-1), & (sf(s))' = F(s-1), \end{cases} \quad 0 < s \le 2,$$

**Lemma 2.2.** ([1], Lemma 2], deduced from [6]).

$$\begin{split} F(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s}, \quad 0 < s \leqslant 3; \\ F(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s} \left( 1 + \int_{2}^{s-1} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt \right), \quad 3 \leqslant s \leqslant 5; \\ F(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s} \left( 1 + \int_{2}^{s-1} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt + \int_{2}^{s-3} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt \int_{t+2}^{s-1} \frac{1}{u} \log \frac{u-1}{t+1} du \right), \quad 5 \leqslant s \leqslant 7; \\ f(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma} \log(s-1)}{s}, \quad 2 \leqslant s \leqslant 4; \\ f(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s} \left( \log(s-1) + \int_{3}^{s-1} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{2}^{t-1} \frac{\log(u-1)}{u} du \right), \quad 4 \leqslant s \leqslant 6; \\ f(s) &= \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s} \left( \log(s-1) + \int_{3}^{s-1} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{2}^{t-1} \frac{\log(u-1)}{u} du \right), \quad 6 \leqslant s \leqslant 8. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** ([1], Lemma 4], deduced from [8], [13]). Let

$$x>1, \quad z=x^{\frac{1}{u}}, \quad Q(z)=\prod_{p< z} p.$$

Then for  $u \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ (n,Q(z))=1}} 1 = w(u) \frac{x}{\log z} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 z}\right),$$

where w(u) is determined by the following differential-difference equation

$$\begin{cases} w(u) = \frac{1}{u}, & 1 \leq u \leq 2, \\ (uw(u))' = w(u-1), & u \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{cases} w(u) \leqslant \frac{1}{1.763}, & u \geqslant 2, \\ w(u) < 0.5644, & u \geqslant 3, \\ w(u) < 0.5617, & u \geqslant 4. \end{cases}$$

Conjecture 2.4. (GEH( $\theta$ ), deduced from [[12], Conjecture 1.2]). Let  $\theta \in (0,1)$  be a constant and put  $P(y_1,\ldots,y_k;z_1,\ldots,z_k) = \{m = p_1\cdots p_k : y_1 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant z_1,\ldots,y_k \leqslant p_k \leqslant z_k\}$ ,

$$\pi_k(x; q, a) = \sum_{m \in P(y_1, \dots, y_k; z_1, \dots, z_k)} 1$$

$$\pi_k(x;q) = \sum_{\substack{m \in P(y_1, \dots, y_k; z_1, \dots, z_k) \\ m \le x \ (m, a) = 1}} 1.$$

Then for any A > 0 and  $l \ge 1$  there exists B = B(A, l) > 0 such that

$$\sum_{q \le x^{\theta} \log^{-B} x} \tau^{l}(q) \max_{(a,q)=1} \left| \pi_k(x;q,a) - \frac{\pi_k(x;q)}{\varphi(q)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{\log^A x},$$

where the implied constant depends only on k, l and A.

**Lemma 2.5.** ([2], Lemma 3], deduced from [7], [5]).  $GEH(\theta)$  is true for  $\theta \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ .

### 3. Weighted sieve method

Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\begin{split} 4\pi_{1,3,14}(x) \geqslant &3S\left(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) + S\left(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8-4}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8-4}} \leqslant p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{0.475 - \frac{2}{13} - \varepsilon} p_{1}^{-1}} \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leqslant p_{1} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < p_{3} < p_{4} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8-4}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < \left(\frac{x}{qp_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{p_{1}p_{2}}; \mathcal{P}(p_{1}), p_{2}\right) \\ &- 2 \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3-145}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2}$$

$$-\sum_{k=15}^{199} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{(k)}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) - \sum_{k=15}^{199} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{(k)}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8.4}}\right)$$

$$-\sum_{k=15}^{199} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{(k)}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3.81}}\right) - \sum_{k=15}^{199} S\left(\mathcal{A}^{(k)}; \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{3.145}}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{12}{13}}\right)$$

$$= (3S_{11} + S_{12}) + (S_{21} + S_{22}) - (S_{31} + S_{32}) - (S_{41} + S_{42})$$

$$- (S_{51} + S_{52}) - 2(S_{61} + S_{62}) - (S_{71} + S_{72} + S_{73} + S_{74}) + O\left(x^{\frac{12}{13}}\right)$$

$$= S_1 + S_2 - S_3 - S_4 - S_5 - 2S_6 - S_7 + O\left(x^{\frac{12}{13}}\right).$$

*Proof.* It is similar to that of [2], Lemma 6] so we omit it here.

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, sets  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$  are defined respectively.

4.1. Evaluation of  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ . We are going to use Lemma 2.1 to the set  $\mathcal{W}$  and obtain upper and lower bounds of  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$ . For a prime p > 7, we note that  $d_1 \mid \left(\frac{p+2}{q}\right)$  and  $d_2 \mid (p+6)$  imply that  $(d_1, d_2) = (d_1, 2) = (d_2, 6) = 1$ . Therefore we can take

$$|\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{d}}| = h(\mathbf{d})X + R(\mathbf{d}), \quad X = \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)},$$
$$h(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1 d_2)}, & (d_1, d_2) = (d_1, 2) = (d_2, 6) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that

$$h_1(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 2, \\ \frac{1}{p-1}, & p \geqslant 3; \end{cases} \quad h_2(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 2, 3, \\ \frac{1}{p-1}, & p \geqslant 5; \end{cases} \quad h^*(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & p = 3, \\ \frac{2}{2-1}, & p \geqslant 5. \end{cases}$$

and

$$V(z_0, h^*) V_1 V_2 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{3 
$$= \left( 1 + O\left(z_0^{-1}\right) \right) C_3 V\left( \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \right) V(x^{0.005})$$

$$(12)$$$$

with

$$V(z) = \prod_{p < z} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log z} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log z}\right) \right).$$

To deal with the error term, by the Chinese remainder theorem, we have

$$|R(\mathbf{d})| \leqslant |r(d_1 d_2)|,$$

where

$$|r(d)| = \max_{(a,d)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} 1 - \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(d)} \right| + O(1).$$

By Bombieri's theorem we have

$$\sum_{d_1 d_2 \leqslant x^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}} \tau^4 (d_1 d_2) |R(\mathbf{d})| \ll x (\log x)^{-5}.$$
(13)

Then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$S_{11} = S\left(\mathcal{W}, \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}, x^{0.005} \right\} \right)$$

$$\geqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)} C_3 V\left(\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right) V\left(x^{0.005}\right) \left\{ f(6.175) F(5) + F(6.175) f(5) - F(6.175) F(5) \right\}$$

$$+ O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_3 \pi(x)}{\varphi(q) \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{ f_0(6.175) F_0(5) + F_0(6.175) f_0(5) - F_0(6.175) F_0(5) \right\}$$

$$+ O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\geqslant 818.10189 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$f_0(s) = \frac{s}{2e^{\gamma}} f(s), \quad F_0(s) = \frac{s}{2e^{\gamma}} F(s).$$

Similarly, we have

$$S_{12} = S\left(\mathcal{W}, \left\{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{8-3}{3}}, x^{0.005}\right\}\right)$$

$$\geqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)} C_3 V\left(\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8-4}}\right) V\left(x^{0.005}\right) \left\{f(3.99)F(5) + F(3.99)f(5) - F(3.99)F(5)\right\}$$

$$+ O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_3\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon})(\log x^{0.025})} \left\{f_0(3.99)F_0(5) + F_0(3.99)f_0(5) - F_0(3.99)F_0(5)\right\}$$

$$+ O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\geqslant 516.86063 \frac{C_3x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3}, \qquad (15)$$

$$S_{21} \geqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{0.475 \times 4C_3\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon})(\log x^{0.025})} \left\{f_0(5)G + F_0(5)g - F_0(5)G\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\geqslant 73.9301 \frac{C_3x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3}, \qquad (16)$$

$$S_{22} \geqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{0.475 \times 4C_3\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon})(\log x^{0.025})} \left\{f_0(5)H + F_0(5)h - F_0(5)H\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\geqslant 149.13684 \frac{C_3x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3}, \qquad (17)$$

$$S_{31} \leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{0.475 \times 4C_3\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon})(\log x^{0.025})} \left\{F_0(5)J\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 1282.38485 \frac{C_3x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3}, \qquad (18)$$

$$S_{32} \leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{0.475 \times 4C_3\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon})(\log x^{0.025})} \left\{F_0(5)K\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

where

$$G = \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 (0.475 - t_1 - t_2)}$$

 $\leq 1048.20211 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$ 

(19)

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} \int_{2}^{5.175 - 13(t_1 + t_2)} \frac{\log \left(t_3 - 1\right)}{t_3} dt_3, \\ g &= \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{1/8.4} \frac{\log \left(5.175 - 13 \left(t_1 + t_2\right)\right)}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} dt_2 \\ &+ \int_{1/13}^{2.175 / 26} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{2.175 / 13 - t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} \int_{3}^{5.175 - 13(t_1 + t_2)} \frac{dt_3}{t_3} \int_{2}^{t_3 - 1} \frac{\log \left(t_4 - 1\right)}{t_4} dt_4, \\ H &= \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} \\ &+ \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} \\ &+ \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} \int_{2}^{5.175 - 13(t_1 + t_2)} \frac{\log \left(t_3 - 1\right)}{t_3} dt_3, \\ h &= \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_1} \frac{\log \left(5.175 - 13 \left(t_1 + t_2\right)\right)}{t_2 \left(0.475 - t_1 - t_2\right)} dt_2, \\ J &= \int_{1/13}^{1/3.145} \frac{dt}{t_1} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_1} \int_{1/13}^{0.475 - 3/13} \frac{dt_1}{t_1 \left(0.475 - t_1\right)} \int_{2}^{5.175 - 13t_1} \frac{\log \left(t_2 - 1\right)}{t_2} dt_2 \int_{t_2 + 2}^{5.175} \frac{1}{t_3} \log \frac{t_3 - 1}{t_2 + 1} dt_3, \\ K &= \int_{1/13}^{1/3.81} \frac{dt}{t \left(0.475 - t\right)} + \int_{1/13}^{0.475 - 3/13} \frac{dt_1}{t_1 \left(0.475 - t_1\right)} \int_{2}^{5.175 - 13t_1} \frac{\log \left(t_2 - 1\right)}{t_2} dt_2 \int_{t_2 + 2}^{5.175} \frac{1}{t_3} \log \frac{t_3 - 1}{t_2 + 1} dt_3, \\ K &= \int_{1/13}^{1/3.81} \frac{dt}{t \left(0.475 - t\right)} + \int_{1/13}^{0.475 - 3/13} \frac{dt_1}{t_1 \left(0.475 - t_1\right)} \int_{2}^{5.175 - 13t_1} \frac{\log \left(t_2 - 1\right)}{t_2} dt_2 \int_{t_2 + 2}^{5.175} \frac{1}{t_3} \log \frac{t_3 - 1}{t_2 + 1} dt_3. \end{split}$$

# 4.2. Evaluation of $S_4, S_5, S_6$ . By Chen's role-reversal trick we know that

$$S_{51} = \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B} \\ (p+6, P(x^{0.005}))=1}} 1$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{B} \\ (m, P\left(x^{\frac{0.475 - \varepsilon}{2}}\right))=1 \\ (m+6, P(x^{0.005}))=1}} 1 + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

$$= S\left(\mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \left\{x^{\frac{0.475 - \varepsilon}{2}}, x^{0.005}\right\}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{20}$$

we may write

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{(1)} \right| = h(\mathbf{d})|\mathcal{E}| + R^{(1)}(\mathbf{d}),$$

where

$$h(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1 d_2)}, & (d_1, d_2) = (d_1, 2) = (d_2, 6) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left| R^{(1)}(\mathbf{d}) \right| \leq \max_{(a,d_1d_2)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{E} \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d_1d_2}}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1d_2)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{E} \\ (n,d_1d_2)=1}} 1 \right| + \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1d_2)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{E} \\ (n,d_1d_2)>1}} 1 \\
= R_1^{(1)}(\mathbf{d}) + R_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{d}).$$

To deal with the error term, by the arguments used in [12], we have

$$\sum_{d_1 d_2 \leqslant x^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}} \tau^4 (d_1 d_2) R^{(1)}(\mathbf{d}) \ll x (\log x)^{-5}.$$
(21)

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}| &= \sum_{\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}} \leqslant p_{1} < p_{2} < p_{3} < p_{4} < \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{8.4}}} \sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{x}{qp_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}}} 1\\ & \left(m, qp_{1}^{-1}P(p_{2})\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$&\leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{0.5617x}{q \log x} \int_{\frac{1}{13}}^{\frac{1}{8.4}} \frac{dt_{1}}{t_{1}} \int_{t_{1}}^{\frac{1}{8.4}} \frac{1}{t_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{t_{1}} - \frac{1}{t_{2}}\right) \log \frac{1}{8.4t_{2}} dt_{2}.$$

$$&\leq \frac{0.00934x}{q \log x}. \tag{22}$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$S_{51} \leqslant S\left(\mathcal{W}^{(1)}, \left\{x^{\frac{0.475 - \varepsilon}{2}}, x^{0.005}\right\}\right)$$

$$\leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_3 |\mathcal{E}|}{(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}) (\log x^{0.025})} \left\{F_0(2)F_0(5)\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 4.41937 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}.$$

$$(23)$$

Similarly, we have

$$S_{52} \leqslant (1+o(1)) \frac{4C_3xL}{q \log x (\log x^{0.475-\varepsilon}) (\log x^{0.025})} \left\{ F_0(2)F_0(5) \right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 22.91504 \frac{C_3x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$
(24)

$$S_{41} \leqslant (1+o(1)) \frac{4C_3 x \left(\int_{2.145}^{12} \frac{\log(2.145 - \frac{3.145}{t+1})}{t} dt\right)}{q \log x \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{F_0(2) F_0(5)\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 371.11243 \frac{C_3 x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3},$$
(25)

$$S_{42} \leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_3 x \left( \int_{2.81}^{7.4} \frac{\log(2.81 - \frac{3.81}{t+1})}{t} dt \right)}{q \log x \left( \log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon} \right) \left( \log x^{0.025} \right)} \left\{ F_0(2) F_0(5) \right\} + O\left( x (\log x)^{-5} \right)$$

$$\leqslant 341.31874 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}, \tag{26}$$

$$S_{61} \leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_3 x \left(\int_2^{2.145} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt\right)}{q \log x \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{F_0(2) F_0(5)\right\} + O\left(x (\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 2.27032 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$
(27)

$$S_{62} \leqslant (1+o(1)) \frac{4C_3 x \left(\int_2^{2.81} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt\right)}{q \log x \left(\log x^{0.475-\varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{F_0(2)F_0(5)\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 49.78864 \frac{C_3 x \log\log x}{(\log x)^3},$$
(28)

where

$$L = \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_2}{t_2^2} \int_{t_2}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_3}{t_3} \int_{1/8.4}^{0.475 - 2/13 - t_3} \frac{w\left(\frac{1 - t_1 - t_2 - t_3 - t_4}{t_2}\right)}{t_4} dt_4$$

$$\leqslant 0.5644 \int_{1/13}^{1/8.4} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{1/8.4} \frac{1}{t_2} \left( \frac{1}{t_1} - \frac{1}{t_2} \right) \log 8.4 \left( 0.475 - \frac{2}{13} - t_3 \right) dt_2 \\ \leqslant 0.04839.$$

4.3. Evaluation of  $S_7$ . By Chen's role-reversal trick we know that

$$S_{71} = \sum_{\substack{p+6 \in \mathcal{M}_k \\ \left(\frac{p+2}{q}, P\left(\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right)\right) = 1}} 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{M}_k \\ \left(m-6, P\left(x^{\frac{0.025}{2}}\right)\right) = 1}} 1 + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{m-4}{q}, P\left(\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right)\right) = 1$$

$$= S\left(\mathcal{W}^{(2)}, \left\{x^{\frac{0.025}{2}}, \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{13}}\right\}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{29}$$

we may write

$$\left| \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} \right| = h(\mathbf{d}) |\mathcal{M}_k| + R^{(2)}(\mathbf{d}),$$

where

$$h(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1 d_2)}, & (d_1, d_2) = (d_1, 2) = (d_2, 6) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R^{(2)}(\mathbf{d}) \right| &\leq \max_{(a,d_1d_2)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{M}_k \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d_1d_2}}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1d_2)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{M}_k \\ (n,d_1d_2)=1}} 1 \right| + \frac{1}{\varphi(d_1d_2)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{M}_k \\ (n,d_1d_2)>1}} 1 \\ &= R_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{d}) + R_2^{(2)}(\mathbf{d}). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the error term, by the arguments similar to those for  $S_{51}$ , we have

by the arguments similar to those for 
$$S_{51}$$
,
$$\sum_{d_1 d_2 \leqslant x^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}} \tau^4 (d_1 d_2) R^{(2)}(\mathbf{d}) \ll x (\log x)^{-5}.$$

By the prime number theorem and summation by parts we have

$$|\mathcal{M}_{k}| = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{z \leqslant p_{1} \leqslant \dots \leqslant p_{k-1} \leqslant \left(\frac{x+6}{p_{1} \dots p_{k-2}}\right)^{1/2}} \frac{x}{p_{1} \dots p_{k-1} \log \frac{x}{p_{1} \dots p_{k-1}}}$$

$$= \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right) c_{k} \frac{\pi(x)}{\varphi(q)},$$
(30)

where

$$c_k = \int_{k-1}^{199} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \int_{k-2}^{t_1-1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdots \int_{3}^{t_{k-4}-1} \frac{dt_{k-3}}{t_{k-3}} \int_{2}^{t_{k-3}-1} \frac{\log(t_{k-2}-1) dt_{k-2}}{t_{k-2}}.$$

By similar numerical integration used in [2], we have

$$C_0 = \sum_{k=15}^{199} c_k < 0.00408. \tag{31}$$

Then from (29)–(31) we have

$$S_{71} \leqslant (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_0 C_3 \pi(x)}{\varphi(q) \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{ F_0(2) F_0(6.175) \right\} + O\left(x (\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leqslant 2.38485 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}.$$
(32)

Similarly, we have

$$S_{72} \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_0 C_3 \pi(x)}{\varphi(q) \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{ F_0(2) F_0(3.99) \right\} + O\left(x (\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leq 1.57643 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$

$$(33)$$

$$S_{73} \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{4C_0 C_3 \pi(x)}{\varphi(q) \left(\log x^{0.475 - \varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{ F_0(2) F_0(2) \right\} + O\left(x (\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leq 1.37432 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$
(34)

$$S_{74} \leq (1+o(1)) \frac{4C_0 C_3 \pi(x)}{\varphi(q) \left(\log x^{0.475-\varepsilon}\right) \left(\log x^{0.025}\right)} \left\{ F_0(2) F_0(2) \right\} + O\left(x (\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leq 1.37432 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}.$$
(35)

# 4.4. **Proof of theorem 1.1.** By (14)-(19), (23)-(28) and (32)-(35) we get

$$S_1 + S_2 \geqslant 3194.23324 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$

$$S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + 2S_6 + S_7 \leqslant 3181.18071 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$

$$4\pi_{1,3,14}(x) \geqslant (S_1 + S_2) - (S_3 + S_4 + S_5 + 2S_6 + S_7) \geqslant 13.05253 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3},$$

$$\pi_{1,3,14}(x) \geqslant 3.26313 \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}.$$

Now the proof of  $\pi_{1,3,14}(x) \gg \frac{C_3 x \log \log x}{(\log x)^3}$  is completed. Then we can prove Theorem 1.1 by replacing q by products of small primes  $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{a-1}$  where  $q_i$  denote a prime number satisfies

$$a \ge 2$$
,  $q_i < x^{\varepsilon}$  for every  $1 \le i \le a - 1$ .

#### 5. An upper bound result

Now we finish this paper with a look at the upper bound estimate. Let

$$\mathcal{W}' = \{ \{ p + 2, p + 6 \} : 7$$

then we have

$$\pi_{1,1,1}(x) \leqslant S\left(\mathcal{W}', \left\{x^{\frac{1}{10}}, x^{\frac{1}{10}}\right\}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{10}}\right).$$
(36)

By Lemma 2.1 and some routine arguments we have

$$S\left(\mathcal{W}', \left\{x^{\frac{1}{10}}, x^{\frac{1}{10}}\right\}\right)$$

$$\leq (1 + o(1))C_3\pi(x)V\left(x^{\frac{1}{10}}\right)V\left(x^{\frac{1}{10}}\right)\left\{F(2)F(2)\right\} + O\left(x(\log x)^{-5}\right)$$

$$\leq 100\frac{C_3x}{(\log x)^3}.$$
(37)

Finally by (36)–(37) we get the following theorem of the upper bound orders of Hardy-Littlewood prime triples.

# Theorem 5.1.

$$\pi_{1,1,1}(x) \ll \frac{C_3 x}{(\log x)^3}$$
 and  $D_{1,1,1}(N) \ll \frac{N}{(\log N)^3}$ .

#### References

- [1] Yingchun Cai. On Chen's theorem. II. J. Number Theory, 128(5):1336–1357, 2008.
- [2] Yingchun Cai. Almost prime triples and Chen's theorem. Acta Arith., 179(3):233–250, 2017.
- [3] Jingrun Chen. On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. Kexue Tongbao, 17:385–386, 1966.
- [4] Jingrun Chen. On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. *Sci. Sinica*, 16:157–176, 1973.
- [5] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec. Opera de cribro, volume 57 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [6] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert. Sieve methods, volume No. 4. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1974.
- [7] Roger Heath-Brown and Xiannan Li. Almost prime triples and Chen's theorem. J. Number Theory, 169:265–294, 2016.
- [8] Chaohua Jia. Almost all short intervals containing prime numbers. Acta Arith., 76(1):21-84, 1996.
- [9] Jiahai Kan. On the number of solutions of  $p + h = P_r$ . Mathematische Zeitschrift, 203:37–42, 1990.
- [10] Jiahai Kan. On the number of solutions of  $N-p=P_r$ . J. Reine Angew. Math., 414:117–130, 1991.
- [11] Jiahai Kan. On the problem of Goldbach's type. Math. Ann., 292(1):31-42, 1992.
- [12] Jiamin Li and Jianya Liu. Triples of almost primes. Science China Mathematics, 66:2779–2794, 2023.
- [13] Chengdong Pan and Chengbiao Pan. Goldbach conjecture. Science Press Beijing, Beijing, 1992. Translated and revised from the Chinese manuscript by the authors.
- [14] Jie Wu. On the lower bound of the number of solutions of  $N p = P_3$ . Journal of Wuhan University (Natural Science Edition), 67(3):26–36, 1990.

THE HIGH SCHOOL AFFILIATED TO RENMIN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA INTERNATIONAL CURRICULUM CENTER, BEIJING 100080, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

 $Email\ address: {\tt carey.lee.0433@gmail.com}$