

Data Selection

Many strategies were used, the results are the following:

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Greedy Stepwise (forwards).
Start set: no attributes
Merit of best subset found: 0.11

Attribute Subset Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):

CFS Subset Evaluator
Including locally predictive attributes

Selected attributes: 10,13,15,20 : 4
isRetweet
links
openness
words

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Greedy Stepwise (forwards).
Start set: no attributes
Merit of best subset found: 0.796

Attribute Subset Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):

Wrapper Subset Evaluator
Learning scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.RandomForest
Scheme options: -P 20 -I 1000 -num-slots 1 -K 0 -M 1.0 -V 0.001 -S 1
Subset evaluation: classification accuracy
Number of folds for accuracy estimation: 5

Selected attributes: 1,4,7,10,13,14,20 : 7
agreeableness
conscientiousness
hashtags
isRetweet
links
mentions
words

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):

Classifier feature evaluator

Using Wrapper Subset Evaluator

Learning scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.RandomForest

Scheme options: -P 20 -I 1000 -num-slots 1 -K 10 -M 1.0 -V 0.001 -S 1

Subset evaluation: classification accuracy

Number of folds for accuracy estimation: 5

Ranked attributes:

0.059	10	isRetweet
0.03633	13	links
0.02433	14	mentions
0.0135	20	words
0.00267	19	topic
0	9	isIronic
0	16	polarity
0	11	isSubjective
0	3	confidence
0	8	isAgreement
-0.00183	7	hashtags
-0.01233	15	openness
-0.01367	18	surprise
-0.02533	5	extraversion
-0.0305	1	agreeableness
-0.03183	2	anger
-0.03317	4	conscientiousness
-0.03789	12	joy
-0.038	6	fear
-0.039	17	sadness

Selected attributes: 10,13,14,20,19,9,16,11,3,8,7,15,18,5,1,2,4,12,6,17 : 20

Carlos Augusto Amador Manilla
A01329447

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):
Correlation Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:

0.32585	10	isRetweet
0.23681	13	links
0.23652	14	mentions
0.0983	17	sadness
0.08924	11	isSubjective
0.07709	7	hashtags
0.07023	18	surprise
0.0633	4	conscientiousness
0.06099	2	anger
0.05888	5	extraversion
0.05392	3	confidence
0.04678	16	polarity
0.03817	19	topic
0.03714	6	fear
0.02999	15	openness
0.01944	20	words
0.01866	1	agreeableness
0.01271	9	isIronic
0.01173	8	isAgreement
0.00283	12	joy

Selected attributes: 10,13,14,17,11,7,18,4,2,5,3,16,19,6,15,20,1,9,8,12 : 20

Carlos Augusto Amador Manilla
A01329447

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):
Gain Ratio feature evaluator

Ranked attributes:

0.151947	10	isRetweet
0.091226	13	links
0.034068	14	mentions
0.02868	18	surprise
0.021565	20	words
0.020519	4	conscientiousness
0.018869	7	hashtags
0.016632	15	openness
0.016199	17	sadness
0.015305	19	topic
0.012587	5	extraversion
0.011068	3	confidence
0.006361	6	fear
0.006117	11	isSubjective
0.002774	16	polarity
0.001684	9	isIronic
0.000259	8	isAgreement
0	12	joy
0	2	anger
0	1	agreeableness

Selected attributes: 10,13,14,18,20,4,7,15,17,19,5,3,6,11,16,9,8,12,2,1 : 20

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):

Information Gain Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:

0.0840678	19	topic
0.0723797	10	isRetweet
0.0424877	14	mentions
0.0407238	13	links
0.0280916	20	words
0.0155475	4	conscientiousness
0.0152097	18	surprise
0.0136295	15	openness
0.0111454	17	sadness
0.0109937	5	extraversion
0.0087091	7	hashtags
0.0059529	16	polarity
0.0058244	11	isSubjective
0.0056987	6	fear
0.0048308	3	confidence
0.0001209	9	isIronic
0.0000984	8	isAgreement
0	12	joy
0	2	anger
0	1	agreeableness

Selected attributes: 19,10,14,13,20,4,18,15,17,5,7,16,11,6,3,9,8,12,2,1 : 20

Carlos Augusto Amador Manilla
A01329447

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):
OneR feature evaluator.

Using 10 fold cross validation for evaluating attributes.
Minimum bucket size for OneR: 6

Ranked attributes:

72.5667	10	isRetweet
70.3	13	links
69.1	14	mentions
68.1333	20	words
66.9	19	topic
66.6667	9	isIronic
66.6667	16	polarity
66.6667	11	isSubjective
66.6667	3	confidence
66.6667	8	isAgreement
65.7	7	hashtags
64.0667	4	conscientiousness
63.7333	18	surprise
63.5667	15	openness
63.3667	5	extraversion
63.1	1	agreeableness
62.4667	17	sadness
61.9667	6	fear
61.5	2	anger
61.1	12	joy

Selected attributes: 10,13,14,20,19,9,16,11,3,8,7,4,18,15,5,1,17,6,2,12 : 20


```
=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===
```

```
Search Method:
```

```
Attribute ranking.
```

```
Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 21 class):
```

```
ReliefF Ranking Filter
```

```
Instances sampled: all
```

```
Number of nearest neighbours (k): 10
```

```
Equal influence nearest neighbours
```

```
Ranked attributes:
```

0.1052	19	topic
0.023667	10	isRetweet
0.022322	20	words
0.018659	18	surprise
0.0171	14	mentions
0.0126	12	joy
0.012497	1	agreeableness
0.011196	17	sadness
0.010725	5	extraversion
0.01045	13	links
0.009282	15	openness
0.008962	6	fear
0.008233	2	anger
0.008033	11	isSubjective
0.006535	4	conscientiousness
0.005098	3	confidence
0.0041	16	polarity
0.0033	7	hashtags
0.0013	8	isAgreement
0.000633	9	isIronic

```
Selected attributes: 19,10,20,18,14,12,1,17,5,13,15,6,2,11,4,3,16,7,8,9 : 20
```

Tests

We can observe that there are some attributes that were selected or better ranked constantly (isRetweet, words count, links count, mentions and hashtags). However there are others that introduce noise. Tests were made to check that indeed the selected attributes provided better results.

RankerCorrelation.csv 4 hours ago by Carlos A Data Selection Ranker Correlation with BRF	0.69975
RankerClassAttEvalRf.csv 4 hours ago by Carlos A Data Selection: Ranker Class Attribute Eval with Random Forest	0.67475
AttSubsetEvaluatorRF.csv 5 hours ago by Carlos A Data selection: Greedy Stepwise with Random Forest	0.72964
GreedyCfsBRF.csv 5 hours ago by Carlos A Data Selection: Greedy CFS subset eval with best Random Forest	0.69026

Conclusion

A Random Forest with only 7 attributes got better results than a Random Forest with all the attributes, this means that there are attributes which lower the accuracy of the classifier. The next step is obtain more attributes that contribute tests will be performed using data selection methods with the new attributes.