Deep learning methods for high dimensional PDE's

An application to N-agent games

by

Carlos Daniel Contreras Quiroz

Advisor: Mauricio Junca

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master in
Mathematics

at the
Universidad de los Andes
2023

Deep learning methods for high dimensional PDE's

An application to N-agent games

Carlos Daniel Contreras Quiroz

Abstract

Nada

Acknowledgements

A mi lulú y mi pancita.Nadita.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Backward stochastic differential equations and PDEs **3**
2.1 Backward stochastic differential equations . . . 3
2.1.1 Motivation . . . 3
2.1.2 Some useful theorems . . . 5
2.1.3 Forward-Backward stochastic differential equations . . . 11
2.2 The Feynman-Kac formulas . . . 13
2.2.1 The linear Feynman-Kac formula . . . 13
2.2.2 The non-linear Feynman-Kac formula . . . 15

3 Deep Learning Methods for PDEs **19**
3.1 Free boundary problems . . . 21
3.1.1 Deep BSDE method . . . 21
3.1.2 Raissi's method . . . 22
3.1.3 An example . . . 22
3.2 Boundary problems . . . 22
3.2.1 Deep Galerkin method . . . 22
3.2.2 Interpolating BSDEs with PINNs . . . 22
3.2.3 Reflection on Boundary . . . 22
3.2.4 An example with optimal control . . . 22

4 Crowd motion modeling **23**
4.1 Some examples . . . 23
4.2 N-agent games . . . 23
4.3 Mean Field Games . . . 23
4.4 Numerical methods . . . 23
4.4.1 Finite differences . . . 23
4.4.2 Deep Fictious Play . . . 23

5 An application **24**

6 Conclusion **25**

Appendices **26**

Appendix A Neural Networks **26**

Chapter 1

Introduction

Las ecuaciones en derivadas parciales aparecen comúnmente como herramientas útiles para la modelación en múltiples disciplinas. Se encuentran frecuentemente aplicaciones en ciencias naturales como la física y biología, en diseño en ingeniería , y también en áreas como la economía y finanzas. Sin embargo, las propiedades matemáticas de las ecuaciones que aparecen son tan diversas como las áreas en que se aplican, y aunque se pueden clasificar parcialmente según algunas de sus características, no podría existir una teoría completa que describa nuestro conocimiento sobre estas.

Por otro lado, las soluciones analíticas a estos modelos generalmente no están a nuestro alcance, por lo que es necesario recurrir a métodos numéricos para obtener aproximaciones. Para esto, usualmente se recurre a métodos clásicos como diferencias finitas, elementos finitos, volúmenes finitos o métodos espectrales, para los cuales existe una amplia teoría que soporta y justifica rigurosamente su funcionamiento.

No obstante, la aplicación de estos métodos a problemas particulares a veces se restringe por propiedades especificas de la ecuación que se resuelve. Por ejemplo, los métodos mencionados sufren de la maldición de la dimensionalidad (*"the curse of dimentionality"*), esto es, su complejidad computacional escala exponencialmente en la dimensión del problema, por lo que su uso se restringe a problemas de dimensión baja ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Lo anterior dificulta su implementación en aplicaciones como valoración en matemática financiera, donde la dimensión del problema está determinada por el número de activos considerados . También, su eficiencia computacional se reduce considerablemente conforme se aumenta la complejidad de los dominios en que se resuelven, o por las no-linealidades que aparecen, como es el caso de la ecuación de Navier-Stokes modelando flujos turbulentos.

Otra área en donde estos inconvenientes aparecen es en el análisis de datos y aprendizaje de maquinas. Por ejemplo, la complejidad de algunos modelos de regresión no lineal crece exponencialmente con el tamaño de los datos subyacentes. Para este tipo de problemas se

han desarrollado herramientas poderosas que permiten modelar problemas en altas dimensiones y con posibles no linealidades. Entre estas, las redes neuronales han demostrado ser de gran utilidad como modelo para representar funciones con estas complejidades[1].

En consecuencia, intentando replicar el éxito obtenido con estas herramientas en aprendizaje de máquinas, recientemente han surgido nuevas perspectivas para aproximar soluciones de ecuaciones en derivadas parciales usando estas mismas herramientas. Entre estas se encuentran las PINNs (Physics Informed Neural Networks)[**PINNs**, **PINNS2**], FNO (Fourier Neural Operators)[2], y DGM (Deep Garlekin Method)[3]. La evidencia práctica muestra que estos métodos pueden proporcionar soluciones en casos donde los clásicos no [4, 5], a pesar de usualmente no competir con su eficiencia en las situaciones donde los últimos sí aplican. Además, se ha venido desarrollando un marco teórico riguroso que permite justificar su aplicación en situaciones específicas.

Chapter 2

Backward stochastic differential equations and PDEs

When addressing deterministic optimal control problems of dynamical systems, there are two approaches, one involving Bellman's dynamic programming principle, and the other relying on the Pontryagin's maximum principle. The former approach leads to a partial differential equation, the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation, to be solved for the value function and the optimal control of the process. The latter leads to a system of ordinary differential equations, one equation forward in time for the state and one backward in time for its adjoint.

The stochastic version of these problems is solved by methods analogous to those of the deterministic case. However, there are issues with desirable mathematical properties of solutions when we state them extending directly the ones proposed by deterministic methods. That is the case of the stochastic version of the Pontryagin's maximum principle, in which the backward differential equation cannot be stated directly as an SDE with terminal condition, as the solution is not guaranteed to be adapted to the filtration generated by the brownian motion.

The theory of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) emerged in Bismut's [6] early work, and later generalized by Pardoux and Peng [7], as an attempt to formalize the application of the stochastic maximum principle. Here we give an introduction and compilation of results about them based on [8, 9, 10, 11], including its relation with a certain class of nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations, which will be the main tool for the method explained in the following chapters.

2.1 Backward stochastic differential equations

2.1.1 Motivation

Let's introduce the necessity for a different formulation of stochastic differential equations through an example [10]. In the usual setting for a stochastic differential equation (SDE),

we specify the evolution of a $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued stochastic process X_t through its dynamics and an initial value $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$(possibly random), in the form

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \mu(t, X_t)dt + \int_0^t \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \tag{2.1}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t \\ X_0 &= x_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where W_t is a d-dimensional Brownian motion process and the stochastic integral is defined in the Ito sense.

We know that, under some Lipschitz and boundedness conditions for the drift μ and the volatility σ, the equation with initial condition (2.2) has a unique solution which is adapted with respect to the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ generated by W_t.

Now, what happens if we consider the problem (2.2) with a terminal condition at time $T > 0$? Consider, for instance, the particular case with $\mu(t, X_t) = \sigma(t, X_t) = 0$, and a square-integrable $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variable $\xi \in L^2(0, T)$ for which we try to solve the problem of finding a process Y_t such that

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= 0 \\ Y_t(T) &= \xi. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

This equation has a unique solution given by $Y(t) = \xi$, which is not necessarily $\mathcal{F}_t-$measurable for every $0 \leq t \leq T$, and therefore (2.3) may not have solution in the usual SDE sense.

Despite this, we can try to solve this problem reinterpreting the solution to (2.3) based on the following representation theorem.

Theorem 2.1.1 (Martingale representation theorem [12])**.** *Let $(M_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ be a continuous $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued square-integrable martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t$, the augmented filtration generated by an d-dimensional Brownian motion $(W_t)_t$. Then, there is a unique $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted stochastic process $f(s)$, with $\mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |f|^2 dt] < \infty$, such that*

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t f(s)dW_s \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.4}$$

where the uniqueness is interpreted in the mean squared norm.

We can intend to enforce the solution Y_t to be $\mathcal{F}_t-$measurable for every $0 \leq t \leq T$ by taking its conditional expectation with respect to the evolving σ-algebra

$$Y(t) := \mathbb{E}[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t], \tag{2.5}$$

which satisfies the terminal condition $Y(T) = \xi$, since ξ is $\mathbb{F}_T$-measurable. Thus, as a consequence of the Martingale representation theorem 2.1.1, we conclude that there exist a square-integrable $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable process Z_t such that

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t Z_s dW_s \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.6}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= Z_t dW_t \\ Y(T) &= \xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, problem (2.3) can be reinterpreted as in problem (2.7), that we will denote as a bacward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) , in which we seek a pair of processes (Y_t, Z_t) that will provide an adapted solution to our original problem. Indeed, the process Z_t will "steer" the system so that the process Y_t remains adapted, and is thus called a control process. It is not possible to revert time as $t \to T - t$ as the filtration goes only in one direction [13].

Finally, we can write this equation in another form. Note that (2.7) is a forward SDE problem, hence we can solve for $Y(0)$ in the integral form , and so we have

$$Y(0) = \xi - \int_0^T Z_s dW_s, \tag{2.8}$$

that is inserted in (2.6) to obtain

$$Y(t) = \xi - \int_0^T Z_s dW_s + \int_0^t Z_s dW_s = \xi - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{2.9}$$

which is the standard way to write the BSDE in integral form.

2.1.2 Some useful theorems

Now that we have motivated the use of BSDEs, we follow [14] to provide a formal definition and prove that under certain regularity conditions, we can ensure the existence of a solution for that kind of equations.

Let be $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space and $T > 0$ a fixed horizon time. We consider a d-dimensional Brownian motion $W = (W_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ and let $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the corresponding natural augmented filtration (i.e with the completeness and right continuity conditions).

Denote by $\mathbb{S}^2(0, T)$ the set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued progressively measurable processes Y_t such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |Y_t|^2\right] < \infty, \tag{2.10}$$

and by $\mathbb{H}^2(0, T)^d$ the set of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued progressively measurable processes Z_t such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt\right] < \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Here we consider the backward stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= -f(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + Z_t \cdot dW_t \\ Y(T) &= \xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Definition 2.1.1. *A solution to the BSDE (2.12) is a pair* $(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ *such that*

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)\, ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T \tag{2.13}$$

Now we establish an existence and uniqueness theorem for $\mathbb{R}$-valued process, which can be extended to $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued processes.

Assumptions 2.1.2. *Let* (ξ, f) *satisfy*

I. $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})$

II. $f : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

a) $f(\cdot, t, y, z)$*, written* $f(t, y, z)$ *for simplicity, is progressively measurable for all* y, z

b) $f(t, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{H}^2[0,T]$

c) f *is uniformly Lipschitz in* (y, z)*, i.e ,there exist a constant* C_f *such that for all* $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ *and* $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ *we have*

$$|f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| \le C_f (|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|) \quad a.s \tag{2.14}$$

Theorem 2.1.2 (Existence and uniqueness of solutions to BSDEs [14])**.** *Given a pair* (ξ, f)*, called the terminal condition and the driver of the BSDE, that satisfy the assumptions 2.1.2 , there exist a unique solution* (Y, Z) *to the backward stochastic differential equation (2.12).*

To give a demonstration we will need the following inequalities about SDEs, whose proofs will be omitted.

Theorem 2.1.3 (Doob's martingale inequality [12])**.** *Let* $\{M_t\}_t \ge 0$ *be a* $\mathbb{R}^m$*-valued martingale in* $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$*. Let* $[0,T]$ *be a bounded interval with* $T > 0$ *and let* $p > 1$*. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t|^p\right] \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \mathbb{E}[|M_T|^p], \tag{2.15}$$

in particular, if $p = 2$*,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t|^2\right] \le 4\mathbb{E}[|M_T|^2]. \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.1.4 (Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality [12])**.** *Let* $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d})$*. Define for* $t \ge 0$

$$x(t) = \int_0^t g(s) dW_s \quad \text{and} \quad A(t) = \int_0^t |g(s)|^2 ds$$

then, for every $p > 0$ *there exist universal positive constants* c_p, C_p*, depending only on* p*, such that the following inequalities hold,*

$$c_p \mathbb{E}[|A(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}}] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le s \le t} |x(s)|^p\right] \le C_p \mathbb{E}[|A(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}}], \tag{2.17}$$

in particular, if $p = 1$, we can take $c_p = \frac{1}{2}$ and $C_p = 4\sqrt{2}$.

Proof of theorem 2.1.2 . Here we give a fixed point argument. To do it, lets consider a pair of process $(U, V) \in \mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ and, as in the motivation example, consider the martingale

$$M_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi + \int_0^T f(s, U_s, V_s)ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \tag{2.18}$$

which is square-integrable under the hypothesis on (ξ, f). Using to the martingale representation theorem 2.1.1, we deduce the existence and uniqueness of a process $Z_s \in \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ such that

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dW_s. \tag{2.19}$$

Now, define the process Y_t for $0 \leq t \leq T$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \mathbb{E}\left[\xi + \int_t^T f(s, U_s, V_s)ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi + \int_0^T f(s, U_s, V_s)ds - \int_0^t f(s, U_s, V_s)ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= M_t - \int_0^t f(s, U_s, V_s)ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and note that from this and using (2.19), Y_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= M_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dW_s - \int_0^t f(s, U_s, V_s)ds \\ &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, U_s, V_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Thus, consider the function $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d \to \mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ that maps the pair (U, V) to the pair (Y, Z) constructed as above, $\Phi(U, V) = (Y, Z)$. Note that it is well-defined as the Z process is unique, and by Doob's martingale inequality 2.1.3 we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s \right|^2\right] \leq 4\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds\right] < \infty, \tag{2.22}$$

and therefore, by assumptions I, $IIa)$ and $IIb)$, Y_t lies in $\mathbb{S}^2(0,T)$. Also note that a solution to the BSDE (2.12) is a fixed point of Φ. We will show that such fixed point exist by showing it is a contraction if we endow the $\mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ space with the metric

$$\|(Y, Z)\|_\beta = \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}(|Y_s|^2 + |Z_s|^2)ds\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.23}$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

To show that Φ is a contraction, let $(U,V),(U',V') \in \mathbb{S}^2(0,T)\times\mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ and $(Y,Z) = \Phi(U,V)$, $(Y',Z') = \Phi(U',V')$. We denote $(\bar{U},\bar{V}) = (U-U',V-V')$, $(\bar{Y},\bar{Z}) = (Y-Y',Z-Z')$ and $\bar{f}_t = f(t,U_t,V_t) - f(t,U'_t,V'_t)$.

Using equation (2.21), we know that $\bar{Y}_s$ satisfies

$$\bar{Y}_s = -\int_0^t \bar{f}_s ds + \int_0^t \bar{Z}_s \cdot dW_s \tag{2.24}$$

So let's apply Ito's formula to the process $e^{\beta s}|\bar{Y}_s|^2$ between 0 and T to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta T}|\bar{Y}_T|^2 = |\bar{Y}_0|^2 &+ \int_0^T (\beta e^{\beta s}|\bar{Y}_s|^2 - 2e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\cdot\bar{f}_s + e^{\beta s}|\bar{Z}_s|^2)ds \\ &+ \int_0^T 2e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{Z}_s\cdot dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Observe that we can apply the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality 2.1.4 with $p=1$ to the following expectation of the supremum associated with the last term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t 2e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{Z}_s\cdot dW_s\right|\right] &\le 4\sqrt{2}\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T 4e^{2\beta s}|\bar{Y}_s|^2|\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\ &\le 4\sqrt{2}e^{\beta T}\,\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T |\bar{Z}_s|^2 ds\right] \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

which shows that the local martingale $\int_0^t 2e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\bar{Z}_s\cdot dW_s$ is actually a uniformly integrable martingale and therefore its expected value remains constant zero. Also, note that $\bar{Y}_T = Y_T - Y'_T = \xi - \xi = 0$.

Using these facts, take the expected value to (2.25) and reorder terms to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Y}_0\right|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left(\beta\left|\bar{Y}_s\right|^2 + \left|\bar{Z}_s\right|^2\right)ds\right] = 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\bar{Y}_s\cdot\bar{f}_s ds\right] \\ \le& 2C_f\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left|\bar{Y}_s\right|\left(\left|\bar{U}_s\right| + \left|\bar{V}_s\right|\right)ds\right] \quad \text{(by condition } IIc\text{))} \\ \le& 4C_f^2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left|\bar{Y}_s\right|^2 ds\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left(\left|\bar{U}_s\right|^2 + \left|\bar{V}_s\right|^2\right)ds\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

so if we choose $\beta = 1 + 4C_f^2$ and ignore the $\mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Y}_0\right|^2$ term, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left(\left|\bar{Y}_s\right|^2 + \left|\bar{Z}_s\right|^2\right)ds\right] \le \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\left(\left|\bar{U}_s\right|^2 + \left|\bar{V}_s\right|^2\right)ds\right], \tag{2.28}$$

which is $\|(\Phi(U,V))\|_\beta \le \frac{1}{2}\|(U,V)\|_\beta$, that means Φ is a contraction in a Banach space, as $\mathbb{S}^2(0,T)\times\mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ is the product of Banach spaces, and therefore has a unique fixed point. ■

As in the every differential equation, there are cases where we can provide an explicit solution. The next theorem provides one for the BSDE with linear generator

Theorem 2.1.5 (Linear BSDEs [14])**.** *Let A_t,B_t be bounded progressively measurable processes with values in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$, C_t a process in $\mathbb{H}^2(0,T)$ and $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, the linear backward stochastic differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= -(A_t Y_t + Z_t \cdot B_t + C_t)dt + Z_t \cdot dW_t \\ Y_T &= \xi \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

has a unique solution, and is given by the formula

$$\Gamma_t Y_t = E\left[\Gamma_T \xi + \int_t^T \Gamma_s C_s ds \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right], \tag{2.30}$$

where Γ_t is the solution to the adjoint process

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma_t &= \Gamma_t(A_t dt + B_t \cdot dW_t) \\ \Gamma_0 &= 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Proof. First apply Ito's formula to $\Gamma_t Y_t$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Gamma_t Y_t) &= Y_t d\Gamma_t + \Gamma_t dY_t + d\Gamma_t dY_t \\ &= Y_t(\Gamma_t A_t dt + \Gamma_t B_t \cdot dW_t) + \Gamma_t(-(A_t Y_t + Z_t \cdot B_t + C_t)dt + Z_t \cdot dW_t) \\ &\quad + \Gamma_t Z_t \cdot B_t dt \\ &= -\Gamma_t C_t dt + \Gamma_t(Z_t + Y_t B_t) \cdot dW_t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

that can be written in integral form as

$$\Gamma_t Y_t + \int_0^t \Gamma_s C_s ds = Y_0 + \int_0^t \Gamma_s \left(Z_s + Y_s B_s\right) \cdot dW_s. \tag{2.33}$$

We will show, as in the proof of theorem 2.1.2, that the stochastic integral in the last expression, which is a local martingale, is in fact a uniformly integrable martingale. We have $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |\Gamma_t|^2\right] < \infty$,since A_t and B_t are bounded. Also, let's denote b_∞ the upper bound on B_t, then the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t \Gamma_s \left(Z_s + Y_s B_s\right) \cdot dW_s\right|\right] &\le 4\sqrt{2}\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T |\Gamma_s|^2 |Z_s + Y_s B_s|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\ &\text{(By BDG inequality 2.1.4)} \\ &\le \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2} E\left[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |\Gamma_t|^2 + 2\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt + 2b_\infty^2 \int_0^T |Y_t|^2 dt\right] \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Consequently, the right-hand side of is a uniformly integrable martingale, and so, if we take expected values to the equality (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_t Y_t + \int_0^t \Gamma_s C_s ds &= \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_T Y_T + \int_0^T \Gamma_s C_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_T \xi + \int_0^T \Gamma_s C_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

and, as $\int_0^t \Gamma_s C_s ds$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, we obtain

$$\Gamma_t Y_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_T \xi + \int_t^T \Gamma_s C_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \tag{2.36}$$

that is what we wanted to prove. The control solution Z_t can be obtained by the martingale representation theorem 2.1.1 applied to this process. ■

Finally, we state the next comparison principle for solution of BSDEs

Theorem 2.1.6 (Comparison principle for BSDEs [14])**.** *Let (ξ_1, f_1) and (ξ_2, f_2) two pairs of terminal conditions and generators satisfying assumptions 2.1.2,and let $(Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t})$ and $(Y_{2,t}, Z_{2,t})$ the solutions to their corresponding BSDE. Suppose that*

1. *$\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$ a.s*
2. *$f_1(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t}) \leq f_2(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t})$ $dt \times d\mathbb{P}$-a.e*
3. *$f_2(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t}) \in \mathbb{H}^2(0, T)$*

Then $Y_{1,t} \leq Y_{2,t}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, a.s. Furthermore, if $Y_{2,0} \leq Y_{1,0}$, then $Y_{1,t} = Y_{2,t}$ for $t \in [0, T]$. In particular, if $\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 < \xi_2) > 0$ or $f_1(t, \cdot, \cdot) < f_2(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ on a set with strictly positive measure $dt \times d\mathbb{P}$ then $Y_{1,0} < Y_{2,0}$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we give a proof with $d = 1$. We denote $\bar{Y}_t = Y_{2,t} - Y_{1,t}$ and $\bar{Z}_t = Z_{2,t} - Z_{1,t}$. Then $(\bar{Y}_t, \bar{Z}_t)$ satisfy the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned}d\bar{Y}_t &= -\left(\Delta_t^y \bar{Y}_t + \Delta_t^z \bar{Z}_t + \bar{f}_t\right) dt + \bar{Z}_t dW_t \\ \bar{Y}_T &= \xi_2 - \xi_1,\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_t^y &= \frac{f_2\left(t, Y_{2,t}, Z_{2,t}\right) - f_2\left(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{2,t}\right)}{Y_{2,t} - Y_{1,t}} 1_{Y_{2,t} - Y_{1,t} \neq 0} \\ \Delta_t^z &= \frac{f_2\left(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{2,t}\right) - f_2\left(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t}\right)}{Z_{2,t} - Z_{1,t}} 1_{Z_{2,t} - Z_{1,t} \neq 0} \\ \bar{f}_t &= f_2\left(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t}\right) - f_1\left(t, Y_{1,t}, Z_{1,t}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

By assumption, f_2 is Lipschitz in y, z, hence Δ_t^y and Δ_t^z are bounded. Moreover, $\bar{f}_t \in \mathbb{H}^2(0, T)$. Therefore, the solution to (2.37) is given by theorem 2.1.5 as

$$\Gamma_t \bar{Y}_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\Gamma_T\left(\xi_2 - \xi_1\right) + \int_t^T \Gamma_s \bar{f}_s ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \tag{2.39}$$

where Γ_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma_t &= \Gamma_t(\Delta_t^y dt + \Delta_t^z dW_t) \\ \Gamma_0 &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Note that Γ_t is strictly positive(Why?). We conclude the stated result using that $\xi_2 - \xi_2 \geq 0$ by assumption 1), and $\bar{f}_t \geq 0$ by assumption 2).

■

2.1.3 Forward-Backward stochastic differential equations

Now we consider a special case of backward stochastic differential equations in which the randomness of the drift enters through a process satisfying a forward stochastic differential equation. In its more general form, the problem is stated as find three processes $(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s &= \mu(t, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \sigma(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)dW_s \\ X_t &= x \\ dY_s &= -f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + Z_s dW_s \\ Y_T &= g(X_T), \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

for all $t \leq s \leq T$, where μ, σ and g are known functions, and x is the initial condition at starting time s. This coupled system is called a forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE).

This problem is rather difficult, as the coupling between the processes may forbid a solution to exist. There are conditions on μ, σ, g where we can establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the former system, but their detailed proof is very technical and thus is not presented here, see [8].

However, we can say something simpler about the decoupled case

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s &= \mu(s, X_s)ds + \sigma(s, X_s)dW_s \\ X_t &= x, \\ dY_s &= -f(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + Z_s dW_s \\ Y_T &= g(X_T). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

for all $t \leq s \leq T$.

In this case, if μ and σ satisfy enough regularity conditions to ensure that a solution to the forward SDE in (2.42) exists, for example, if they are Lipschitz and bounded, then we can solve it for the process X_t and insert the solution into the backward equation in 2.42 and solve for the backward process. However, the main property of FBSDEs is that the solution process (Y, Z) of the BSDE can be written as a deterministic function of time and the state process, in this case the solution is said to be *markovian*.

Let's establish this assertions in the following theorem.

Assumptions 2.1.3. *Let (μ, σ, f, g). There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that for all x, y, t*

I. $|\mu(t,x)-\mu(t,y)|+|\sigma(t,x)-\sigma(t,y)|\leq C(1+|x-y|)$

II. $|f(t,x,y_1,z_1)-f(t,x,y_2,z_2)|\leq C(|y_1-y_2|+|z_1-z_2|)$

III. $|\sigma(t,x)|+|\mu(t,x)|\leq C(1+|x|)$

IV. $|f(t,x,y,z)|+|g(x)|\leq C(1+|x|^p)$ *para* $p\geq\frac{1}{2}$

Theorem 2.1.7 (Existence and markovianity of solutions of FBSDEs [15])**.** *Under assumptions 2.1.3, the uncoupled forward-backward stochastic differential equation (2.42) has a unique solution* $(X_s^{t,x},Y_s^{t,x},Z_s^{t,x})$ *starting from* x *at time* t*. Moreover,* $(Y_s^{t,x},Z_s^{t,x})$ *is adapted to the future* σ*-algebra of* W *after* t*, i.e, it is* $\mathcal{F}_s^t$*-adapted where for each* $s\in[t,T]$ *we define* $\mathcal{F}_s^t=\sigma(W_u-W_t,t\leq u\leq s)$*. In particular,* $Y_t^{t,x}$ *is deterministic and for* $0\leq s\leq t$ *we have* $Y_s^{t,x}=Y_t^{t,x}$ *and* $Z_s^{t,x}=0$*.*

Proof. The first part about existence and uniqueness of solution to the FBSDE follows from the fact that in assumptions 2.1.3, I and III are the standard Lipschitz and linear growth conditions that guarantee the existence of a solution for the forward process [12], and that II and IV are sufficient conditions to ensure the existence of the solution to the backward process from theorem 2.1.2.

For the second part, consider the translated Brownian motion W' and its associated filtration given by $W'_s=W_{t+s}-W_t$ for $0\leq s\leq T-t$ and $\mathcal{F}'_s:=\mathcal{F}^t_{t+s}$ or $0\leq s\leq T-t$. Let $X_s'^{0,x}$ be the adapted solution to the SDE

$$\begin{aligned}&dX'_s=\mu(s,X'_s)dt+\sigma(s,X'_s)dW_s\\&X'_0=x.\end{aligned}\tag{2.43}$$

By the the uniqueness provided by the former theorems, we have $X_s^{t,x}=X_{s-t}'^{0,x}$ a.s for $s\in[0,T-t]$, hence $X_s^{t,x}$ is $\mathcal{F}_s^t$-adapted.

Now consider the associated $\mathcal{F}'$-adapted solution (Y'_s,Z'_s) with $s\in[0,T-t]$ to the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned}&dY'_s=-f(s+t,X'_s,Y'_s,Z'_s)ds+Z'_s\cdot dW_s\\&Y'_{T-t}=g(X'_{T-t}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.44}$$

We have that (Y'_{s-t},Z'_{s-t}) with $s\in[t,T]$ is also a solution of the backward equation in (2.42) in $[t,T]$. Hence, by the uniqueness provided before we have that $(Y'_{s-t},Z'_{s-t})=(Y_s^{t,x},Z_s^{t,x})$ for $s\in[t,T]$, therefore (Y'_{s-t},Z'_{s-t}) is $\mathcal{F}_s^t$-adapted.

■

From now on, we will denote by

$$v(t,x):=Y_t^{t,x},\tag{2.45}$$

the deterministic function of t and x provided by the last theorem. We also notice that $Y_t=v(t,X_t)$ for $t\in[0,T]$.

2.2 The Feynman-Kac formulas

Now we shall establish the connection between stochastic differential equations with parabolic linear partial differential equations and its non-linear generalization based on backward stochastic differential equations.

2.2.1 The linear Feynman-Kac formula

We will start by the linear case to introduce the necessity for a non-linear generalization. Consider the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued process $X_s^{t,x}$ defined to be the solution in $s \in [t, \infty)$ of the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s &= \mu(s, X_s)ds + \sigma(s, X_s)dW_s \\ X_t &= x, \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

where, again, W_t is a d-dimensional Brownian motion, μ is $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued function, σ is a $(n \times d)$-valued matrix of functions and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the initial condition. We have the following estimate

Theorem 2.2.1 ([16])**.** *Let μ and σ satisfy conditions I and III of assumptions 2.1.3, then, there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that the solution to 2.46 satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \le s \le T} |X_s|^2\right] \le C(1 + \mathbb{E}\big[|x|^2\big])e^{C(T-t)}. \tag{2.47}$$

Now, for a fixed $T > 0$, consider the following parabolic PDE with terminal condition for the function $v(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_t v - k(t, x)v + f(t, x) = 0 \\ &v(T, x) = g(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

where $f(x, t)$ and $g(x)$ are some $\mathbb{R}$-valued continuous functions, $k(x, t)$ is a non-negative $\mathbb{R}$-valued function, and $\mathcal{L}_t$ is the *generator* of the process X_s, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t v &= \mu(t, x) \cdot D_x v(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma(t, x)\sigma^T(t, x)D^2_{xx}v(t, x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i(t, x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(t, x) + \sum_{1,k=1}^{n} a_{i,k}(t, x)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}(t, x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

where we denote by $a_{i,k}$ the coefficients of the *diffusion matrix*, calculated as

$$a_{i,k} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_{i,j}(t, x)\sigma_{k,j}(t, x). \tag{2.50}$$

The linear Feynman-Kac formula establishes a connection between the process satisfying (2.46) and the classical solution to equation (2.48) as follows

Assumptions 2.2.1. *Let μ and σ satisfy conditions I and III of assumptions 2.1.3, and assume that*

I. The functions $g(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(t,x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k(t,x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are continuous.

II. The function $v(t,x) : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, one time differentiable in t, and two times differentiable in x, and satisfies the PDE (2.48). Moreover, its first derivative in x is bounded, i.e , $|D_x v(t,x)| < M$ for some constant M and all $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.2.2 (Linear Feynman-Kac formula [16])**.** *Under the assumptions 2.2.1, the solution $v(t,x)$ to the equation (2.48) admits the stochastic representation*

$$v(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_T^{t,x})e^{-\int_t^T k(s,X_s^{t,x})ds} + \int_t^T f(s,X_s^{t,x})e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u^{t,x})du}ds\right], \tag{2.51}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, this solution is unique.

Proof. In order to simplify notation, we set $X_s = X_s^{x,t}$. Let's apply Ito's formula to the process $v(s,X_s)e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}$ in $s \in [t,T]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\int_t^T k(u,X_u)du}v(T,X_T) &= e^{-\int_t^t k(u,X_u)du}v(t,X_t) \\
&\quad + \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(s,X_s) - k(s,X_s)v(s,K_s) + \mathcal{L}_s v(s,X_s)\right)ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}D_x v(s,X_s)\cdot\sigma(s,X_s)dW_s \\
&= v(t,X_t) - \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}f(s,X_s)ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}D_x v(s,X_s)\cdot\sigma(s,X_s)dW_s,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

and therefore, using that $X_t = x$, $v(T,X_t) = g(X_T)$ and solving for $v(x,t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t,x) = g(X_T)e^{-\int_t^T k(u,X_u)du} &+ \int_t^T f(s,X_s)e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}ds \\
&- \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s k(u,X_u)du}D_x v(s,X_s)\cdot\sigma(s,X_s)dW_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

To obtain the desired formula, we take expectation to this expression, and observe that the stochastic integral is a square integrable martingale by assumption 2.2.1 III on $D_x v$, the non-negativity of k, the linear growth condition on σ and the estimation 2.2.1, therefore it's expected value is constant 0. ■

Note that we required that equation (2.48) has a classical smooth solution, for which we need some regularity conditions on μ, σ and growth conditions on f and g to ensure the

uniform ellipticity of $\mathcal{L}_t$. Also note, that assumptions 2.2.1 are rather restrictive, especially the boundedness of D_x, but can be relaxed imposing some quadratic growth condition on v and additional growth condition on f and g. However, even if there is no smooth solution to this problem, the Feynman-Kac formula may provide a solution with other meaning, which will be named a viscosity solution and will be defined in what follows.

In addition, this formula is useful for approximating solutions $v(t,x)$ to PDEs of the form (2.48), even in high dimensions, where classical methods fails because of the *curse of dimensionality*. This expected value can be approximated by Monte-Carlo simulation using sample paths, whose rate of convergence is independent on the dimension n of the underlying process(Sure?). However, its use is limited to linear equations that may not be useful for certain problems.

2.2.2 The non-linear Feynman-Kac formula

Now we deal with a more general PDE than (2.48). We consider, for some fixed $T > 0$, the problem

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t,x) + \mathcal{L}_t v(t,x) + f(t,x,v(t,x),\sigma(t,x)' D_x v(t,x)) = 0 \\ &v(T,x) = g(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-linear function.

We will associate the solution to this problem with a forward-backward stochastic differential equation of the form (2.42). We have an easy association given by the following theorem

Theorem 2.2.3 (Verification theorem [14])**.** *Let $v(t,x)$ be a classical solution to 2.54, that is continuous on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, one time differentiable in t and two times differentiable in x and satisfy the linear growth condition $|v(t,x)| \leq L(1+|x|)$ for some $L > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $t \in [0,T]$. Also, let its first space derivative satisfy the growth condition $|D_x v(t,x)| \leq C(1+|x|^q)$ for some $C > 0$, $q > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $t \in [0,T]$. Then, the pair (Y,Z) defined by*

$$Y_t = v(t,X_t) \quad Z_t = \sigma(t,X_t)' D_x v(t,X_t) \tag{2.55}$$

is the solution to the backward stochastic differential equation in 2.42.

Proof. Apply Ito's formula to $Y_t = v(t,X_t)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t,X_t) + \mathcal{L}_t v(t,X_t)\right) dt + \sigma(t,X_t)' D_x v(t,X_t) \cdot dW_t \\ &= -f(t,X_t,v(t,X_t,D_x v(t,X_t)))dt + \sigma(t,X_t)' D_x v(t,X_t) \cdot dW_t \\ &= -f(t,X_t,Y_t,Z_t)dt + Z_t dW_t \end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

and observing that $Y_T = v(T,X_T) = g(X_T)$, we have the first part, as this process is in $\mathbb{S}^2(0,T) \times \mathbb{H}^2(0,T)^d$ due to the growth condition of v and $D_x v$. ∎

Nevertheless, the reciprocal affirmation y somewhat more complicated. If we have a solution (Y_t, Z_t) to the FBSDE (2.42), not necessarily $v(t,x) = Y_t^{t,x}$ will be a classical smooth solution to (2.54) as it may not exist due to the non-linearity. Nevertheless, we can define a new weaker notion of solution as follows

Definition 2.2.2. *Let $v(t,x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally bounded continuous function. Then*

- *$v(t,x)$ is called a viscosity sub-solution of (2.54) if $v(T,x) \leq g(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and for all $\phi \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the map $v(t,x) - \phi(t,x)$ attains a local maximum at $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds*

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_t \phi + f(t,x,v(x,t),\sigma(t,x)' D_x v(t,x)) \geq 0 \tag{2.57}$$

- *$v(t,x)$ is called a viscosity super-solution of 2.54 if $v(T,x) \geq g(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and for all $\phi \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the map $v(t,x) - \phi(t,x)$ attains a local minimum at $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds*

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_t \phi + f(t,x,v(x,t),\sigma(t,x)' D_x v(t,x)) \leq 0 \tag{2.58}$$

- *If $v(t,x)$ is a sub-solution and a super-solution it is called a viscosity solution of (2.54).*

In particular, note that this definition does not require the smoothness of $v(t,x)$.

With this new concept of solution, we can establish the reverse relation as follows

Theorem 2.2.4 (Representation theorem [14])**.** *(Poner explicitas las condiciones para la FBSDE) Let (X,Y,Z) be the solution to the uncoupled FBSDE (2.42) and set $v(t,x) = Y_t^{t,x}$. Then, v is a continuous function and is a viscosity solution to 2.54.*

Terminar prueba

Proof. Step 1: Continuity of $v(t,x)$:
Let $(t_1,x_1),(t_2,x_2) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, with $t_1 \leq t_2$. For lighten the notation we write $X_s^i = X_s^{t_i,x_i}$, $i = 1,2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_t^1 - Y_t^2\right|^2 = &\left|g\left(X_T^1\right) - g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2 - \int_t^T \left|Z_s^1 - Z_s^2\right|^2 ds \\
&+ 2\int_t^T \left(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2\right) \cdot \left(f\left(s, X_s^1, Y_s^1, Z_s^1\right) - f\left(s, X_s^2, Y_s^2, Z_s^2\right)\right) ds \\
&- 2\int_t^T \left(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2\right)' \left(Z_s^1 - Z_s^2\right) dW_s
\end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2\right]+E\left[\int_t^T\left|Z_s^1-Z_s^2\right|^2 ds\right] \\
=& E\left[\left|g\left(X_T^1\right)-g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2\right] \\
& +2E\left[\int_t^T\left(Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right)\cdot\left(f\left(s,X_s^1,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)-f\left(s,X_s^2,Y_s^2,Z_s^2\right)\right)ds\right] \\
\leq& E\left[\left|g\left(X_T^1\right)-g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2\right] \\
& +2E\left[\int_t^T\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|\left|f\left(s,X_s^1,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)-f\left(s,X_s^2,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)\right|ds\right] \\
& +2C_fE\left[\int_t^T\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|\left(\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|+\left|Z_s^1-Z_s^2\right|\right)ds\right] \\
\leq& E\left[\left|g\left(X_T^1\right)-g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2\right] \\
& +E\left[\int_t^T\left|f\left(s,X_s^1,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)-f\left(s,X_s^2,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)\right|^2 ds\right] \\
& +\left(1+4C_f^2\right)E\left[\int_t^T\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|^2 ds+\frac{1}{2}E\int_t^T\left|Z_s^1-Z_s^2\right|^2 ds\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.60}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2\right] \leq& E\left[\left|g\left(X_T^1\right)-g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2\right]+E\left[\int_t^T\left|f\left(s,X_s^1,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)-f\left(s,X_s^2,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)\right|^2 ds\right] \\
& +\left(1+4C_f^2\right)E\left[\int_t^T\left|Y_s^1-Y_s^2\right|^2 ds\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.61}
$$

and, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\left|Y_t^1-Y_t^2\right|^2\right] \leq C\Big\{& E\left[\left|g\left(X_T^1\right)-g\left(X_T^2\right)\right|^2\right] \\
& +E\left[\int_t^T\left|f\left(s,X_s^1,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)-f\left(s,X_s^2,Y_s^1,Z_s^1\right)\right|^2 ds\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.62}
$$

Step 2: $v(t,x)$ is a viscosity solution.
Let's prove it is a super-solution. Assume by contradiction that

$$
-\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(t,x)-\mathcal{L}\varphi(t,x)-f\left(t,x,v(t,x),\left(D_x\varphi\right)'(t,x)\sigma(x)\right)>0. \tag{2.63}
$$

By continuity of f,φ and its derivatives, there exists $h,\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $t\leq s\leq t+h, |x-y|\leq\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(s,y)\leq\varphi(s,y) \\
& -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}(s,y)-\mathcal{L}\varphi(s,y)-f\left(s,y,v(s,y),\left(D_x\varphi\right)'(s,y)\sigma(y)\right)>0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tau = \inf\left\{s \geq t : \left|X_s^{t,x} - x\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \wedge (t+h)$, and consider the pair

$$\left(Y_s^1, Z_s^1\right) = \left(Y_{s\wedge\tau}^{t,x}, 1_{[0,\tau]}(s) Z_s^{t,x}\right), \quad t \leq s \leq t+h$$

By construction, $\left(Y_s^1, Z_s^1\right)$ solves the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} -dY_s^1 &= 1_{[0,\tau]}(s) f\left(s, X_s^{t,x}, u\left(s, X_s^{t,x}\right), Z_s^1\right) ds - Z_s^1 dW_s, \quad t \leq s \leq t+h, \\ Y_{t+h}^1 &= u\left(\tau, X_\tau^{t,x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the pair

$$\left(Y_s^2, Z_s^2\right) = \left(\varphi\left(s, X_{s\wedge\tau}^{t,x}\right), 1_{[0,\tau]}(s) D_x\varphi\left(s, X_s^{t,x}\right)' \sigma\left(X_s^{t,x}\right)\right), \quad t \leq s \leq t+h$$

satisfies, by Itô's formula, the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned} -dY_s^2 &= -1_{[0,\tau]}(s)\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}\varphi\right)\left(s, X_s^{t,x}\right) - Z_s^2 dW_s, \quad t \leq s \leq t+h, \\ Y_{t+h}^1 &= \varphi\left(\tau, X_\tau^{t,x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities (6.18)-(6.19), and the strict comparison principle in Theorem 6.2.2, we deduce $Y_0^1 < Y_0^2$, i.e. $u(t,x) < \varphi(t,x)$, a contradiction. ■

Finally, note that this representation can be restated in a more similar form to the linear Feynman-Kac formula as

Theorem 2.2.5. *Under assumptions the same assumptions as 2.2.4, the function defined by*

$$v(t,x) := Y_t^{t,x} = \mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_T^{t,x}\right) + \int_t^T f\left(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}\right) ds\right], \tag{2.64}$$

where (X_t, Y_T, Z_T) is the solution to the FBSE 2.42 restricted to $[t,T]$, is a viscosity solution to the parabolic PDE (2.54).

Chapter 3

Deep Learning Methods for PDEs

Partial differential equations (PDE's) are ubiquitous among the tools for modeling complex phenomena in all sciences. However, we almost never have explicit solutions for them, making it difficult to describe those phenomenons and make accurate predictions about them. Hence, we need numerical methods to provide approximate solutions to those equations, for example, classical methods are finite differences, finite elements and spectral methods. Those rely on different discretizations of the particular problem that we can use for calculating approximations in different forms and with varying levels of accuracy. Since the advent of fast computers and efficient tools for programming them, this process is effective for many kinds of problems.

Now, when we attempt to solve numerically some particular problem, we need to play with the trade-off between accuracy of the approximate solution and the computational cost needed to obtain it. Indeed, with those classical methods, a small approximation error requires a finer grid, which implies more computational resources to store and process the information required by the method. In consequence, for some problems, we may not be able to calculate an accurate enough solution in a feasible computational time.

This is the case for high dimensional PDE's, for which the size of discretization usually scales exponentially with the number of points used for each dimension. For example, if we try to use a finite difference scheme in a 100-dimensional unit square $[0,1]^{100}$ with N points in each dimension, we would need N^{100} points in total, making it impossible to even store them in a computer. In practice, high dimension can be considered as low as $d > 4$, for which traditional methods cannot be used as regularly. This problem is known as the *curse of dimensionality*, a term established by Bellman when considering problems in dynamic programming.

High dimensional PDE's appear in many contexts, such as asset pricing, image denoising, statistical physics, many-body quantum mechanics, optimal control and game theory. Therefore, there is a necessity for numerical methods that are able to overcome this difficulty. Early attempts to solve this kind of problems used the connection between stochastic diffusions and parabolic PDE's, as we seemed in the preceding chapter. In fact, if the

PDE is linear, the linear Feynman-Kac 2.2.2 formula can be used to provide an approximate solution by computing the expectation using simulated paths of the process through the Monte-Carlo approach. The convergence of this formulation is independent(Sure?) of the dimension of the underlying process, and therefore does not suffer from the curse dimensionality.

Nevertheless, if we try a similar approach using the non-linear Feynman-Kac formula 2.2.5 for more general non-linear equations, we would have to deal with solving numerically the associated BSDE. There are numerical methods to approximate the set of solution processes (X, Y, Z), but they are not as simple as an Euler-Maruyama discretization for a forward process. Generally, they require the computation of conditional expectations that almost never are computationally cheap and hence is not a straightforward generalization of the former linear approach. Despite this, some progress has been made under this formulation, see for example [17]. Other solutions methods are based in fixed point iterations and branching methods [**bibid**].

Representing functions in a high dimensional space is a problem encountered in many other areas of applied mathematics. Particularly, in recent times, the analysis and inference on big amounts of data has emerged as the fascinating research area of *machine learning*. Many methods have been proposed for this goal, for example, regression methods, support vector machines and tree methods. Nonetheless, the approach that has encountered more success when trying to approximate high dimensional functions using big amounts of data is deep learning. In this setting, we parametrize functions using structures that use composition of simpler function for approximate complex ones, these structures are called neural networks. We refer the reader to Appendix A for a brief introduction and to [1] for a deeper exposition of the topic.

The idea of using this neural network parametrization of functions to solve PDE's can be tracked to the 80's, when in [**empty citation**] a perceptron layer approximation was proposed to (Completar). However, due to the high computational cost of training a neural network, a successful attempt was not achieved until recently, with the works of [**bibid**](Blablabla).

This is a very new area of research, for which many open questions remain. Particularly, it is not well understood yet if the curse of dimensionality is solved, even if there is work for certain equations that ensures it [**bibid**]. Also, there is not yet a good understanding of why different classes of neural networks are useful to approximate certain classes of functions and how to tune adequately its parameters to do it efficiently. In consequence, even if it is possible to give a convergence proof for certain cases, most algorithms rely on empirical experimentation and heuristic arguments to provide reasonable approximate solutions.

In this chapter we review some of these methods, implement them for toy examples and perform a comparison of speed, accuracy and practical usefulness for solving PDE's.

3.1 Free boundary problems

Let's start with problems in free space. In the same setup as Theorem 2.2.3, we deal with the following equation with terminal condition

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t,x)+\mu(t,x)\cdot D_x v(t,x)+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma(t,x)\sigma^T(t,x)D^2_{xx}v(t,x))\\&+f(t,x,v(t,x),\sigma(t,x)'D_x v(t,x))=0\\&v(T,x)=g(x).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

We have proven that we can construct a viscosity solution to this equation by setting $v(t,x)=Y_t^{t,x}$, where Y is the solution process to the FBSDE

$$\begin{aligned}&dX_s=\mu(s,X_s)ds+\sigma(s,X_s)dW_s\\&X_t=x,\\&dY_s=-f(s,X_s,Y_s,Z_s)ds+Z_s dW_s\\&Y_T=g(X_T).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, we have that $Y_t=v(t,X_t)$ and $Z_t=\sigma(t,X_t)'D_x v(t,X_t)$.

3.1.1 Deep BSDE method

The first deep learning algorithm that was successfully applied to solve equation (3.1) was proposed by Han, E and Jentzen [18, 19]. This algorithm aims to approximate $Y_0=v(0,x)$ for all x in some region of interest Ω, and in spirit is similar to the stochastic shooting method for ODE's.

Here we discretize the time domain $0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_{N-1}<t_N=T$ and the FBSDE system a forward equation using the Euler-Maruyama scheme for $n=0,\ldots,N-1$,

$$X_{t_{n+1}}\approx X_{t_n}+\mu\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)\Delta t_n+\sigma\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)\Delta W_n\tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}v\left(t_{n+1},X_{t_{n+1}}\right)\approx{}& v\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)-f\left(t_n,X_{t_n},v\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right),\sigma'\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)D_x v\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)\right)\Delta t_n\\&+\sigma\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)'D_x u\left(t_n,X_{t_n}\right)\Delta W_n,\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

where $\Delta t_n=t_{n+1}-t_n$ and $\Delta W_n\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\Delta t_n)$.

Merged Deep BSDE

Residual Merged Deep BSDE

3.1.2 Raissi's method

3.1.3 An example

3.2 Boundary problems

3.2.1 Deep Galerkin method

3.2.2 Interpolating BSDEs with PINNs

3.2.3 Reflection on Boundary

3.2.4 An example with optimal control

Chapter 4

Crowd motion modeling

People run

4.1 N-agent games

4.2 Mean Field Games

4.3 Numerical methods

4.3.1 Finite differences

4.3.2 Deep Fictious Play

Chapter 5

An application

Chapter 6

Conclusion

Appendix A

Neural Networks

-No se podia hasta recientemente por el poder computacional -Fully coupled -Residual -Batch normalization -Loss functions -Automatic differentiation -optimizacion -influencia parametros (profundidad y ancho) -densidad en L2

Bibliography

[1] Catherine F. Higham and Desmond J. Higham. "Deep Learning: An Introduction for Applied Mathematicians". In: *SIAM Review* 61.3 (Jan. 2019), pp. 860–891. ISSN: 0036-1445, 1095-7200. DOI: 10.1137/18M1165748. URL: https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/18M1165748 (visited on 09/26/2022).

[2] Zongyi Li et al. *Fourier Neural Operator for Parametric Partial Differential Equations*. May 16, 2021. arXiv: 2010.08895[cs,math]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.08895 (visited on 10/10/2022).

[3] Justin Sirignano and Konstantinos Spiliopoulos. "DGM: A deep learning algorithm for solving partial differential equations". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 375 (Dec. 2018), pp. 1339–1364. ISSN: 00219991. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2018.08.029. URL: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0021999118305527 (visited on 10/10/2022).

[4] Salvatore Cuomo et al. *Scientific Machine Learning through Physics-Informed Neural Networks: Where we are and What's next*. June 7, 2022. arXiv: 2201.05624[physics]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.05624 (visited on 10/05/2022).

[5] Jan Blechschmidt and Oliver G. Ernst. "Three ways to solve partial differential equations with neural networks — A review". In: *GAMM-Mitteilungen* 44.2 (June 2021). ISSN: 0936-7195, 1522-2608. DOI: 10.1002/gamm.202100006. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/gamm.202100006 (visited on 09/26/2022).

[6] Jean-Michel Bismut. "Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control". In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 44.2 (Nov. 1, 1973), pp. 384–404. ISSN: 0022-247X. DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(73)90066-8. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022247X73900668 (visited on 02/21/2023).

[7] E. Pardoux and S. G. Peng. "Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation". In: *Systems & Control Letters* 14.1 (Jan. 1, 1990), pp. 55–61. ISSN: 0167-6911. DOI: 10.1016/0167-6911(90)90082-6. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0167691190900826 (visited on 02/21/2023).

[8] Jianfeng Zhang. *Backward Stochastic Differential Equations*. Vol. 86. Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2017. ISBN: 978-1-4939-7254-8 978-1-4939-7256-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7256-2. URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-7256-2 (visited on 02/15/2023).

[9] Etienne Pardoux and Aurel R şcanu. *Stochastic Differential Equations, Backward SDEs, Partial Differential Equations*. Vol. 69. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2014. ISBN: 978-3-319-05713-2 978-3-319-05714-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-05714-9. URL: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-05714-9 (visited on 02/15/2023).

[10] Ricardo Romo Romero. "Maestro en ciencias con especialidad en probabilidad y estadística". In: ().

[11] Nizar Touzi. *Optimal Stochastic Control, Stochastic Target Problems, and Backward SDE*. Vol. 29. Fields Institute Monographs. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2013. ISBN: 978-1-4614-4285-1 978-1-4614-4286-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-4286-8. URL: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4614-4286-8 (visited on 02/15/2023).

[12] Xuerong Mao. *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. 2nd ed. OCLC: ocn176925635. Chichester: Horwood Pub, 2008. 422 pp. ISBN: 978-1-904275-34-3.

[13] Jared Chessari et al. *Numerical Methods for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations: A Survey*. Mar. 10, 2022. arXiv: 2101.08936[cs,math]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2101.08936 (visited on 01/24/2023).

[14] Huyên Pham. *Continuous-time Stochastic Control and Optimization with Financial Applications*. Vol. 61. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009. ISBN: 978-3-540-89499-5 978-3-540-89500-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-89500-8. URL: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-89500-8 (visited on 02/28/2023).

[15] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M. C. Quenez. "Backward Stochastic Differential Equations in Finance". In: *Mathematical Finance* 7.1 (1997). _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1467-9965.00022, pp. 1–71. ISSN: 1467-9965. DOI: 10.1111/1467-9965.00022. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1467-9965.00022 (visited on 02/27/2023).

[16] René Carmona. *Lectures on BSDEs, Stochastic Control, and Stochastic Differential Games with Financial Applications*. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Feb. 18, 2016. ISBN: 978-1-61197-423-2 978-1-61197-424-9. DOI: 10.1137/1.9781611974249. URL: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/book/10.1137/1.9781611974249 (visited on 02/21/2023).

[17] Jared Chessari et al. "Numerical methods for backward stochastic differential equations: A survey". In: ().

[18] Jiequn Han, Arnulf Jentzen, and Weinan E. "Solving high-dimensional partial differential equations using deep learning". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115.34 (Aug. 21, 2018), pp. 8505–8510. ISSN: 0027-8424, 1091-6490. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1718942115. URL: https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1718942115 (visited on 09/26/2022).

[19] Weinan E, Jiequn Han, and Arnulf Jentzen. "Deep Learning-Based Numerical Methods for High-Dimensional Parabolic Partial Differential Equations and Backward Stochastic Differential Equations". In: *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics* 5.4 (Dec. 1, 2017), pp. 349–380. ISSN: 2194-671X. DOI: 10.1007/s40304-017-0117-6. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40304-017-0117-6 (visited on 04/10/2023).