

The Egyptian Tangram



© Carlos Luna-Mota

mmaca

December 29, 2020

The Egyptian Tangram

The Egyptian Tangram



A square dissection firstly proposed as a tangram in:

Luna-Mota, C. (2019) *"El tangram egipci: diari de disseny"* Nou Biaix, 44

Origins



The Egyptian Tangram inspiration comes from the study of two other 5-piece tangrams...



The “Five Triangles” & “Greek-Cross” tangrams

Origins


...and their underlying grids



The “Five Triangles” & “Greek-Cross” underlying grids


Design Process

The Egyptian Tangram is the result of an heuristic incremental design process:



Take a square and keep adding “the most interesting straight cut” until you have a dissection with 5 or more pieces.

Design Process




To make an Egyptian Tangram from a square:

1. Connect the midpoint of the lower side with the upper corners.
2. Connect the midpoint of the left side with the top right corner.

Antecedents

It turns out that this figure is not new...

Detemple, D. & Harold, S. (1996) *"A Round-Up of Square Problems"*



Problem 3

...but, to the best of our knowledge,
nobody used it before **as a tangram**

Antecedents

The name is not new either...




This dissection is often called “Egyptian Puzzle” or “Egyptian Tangram”

...but there is a good reason to consider
our dissection the real “Egyptian Tangram”

(even if it was designed in Barcelona)

Antecedents

Its underlying grid is also a well known figure:



Brunés, T. (1967) *"The Secrets of Ancient Geometry – and Its Use"*

Bankoff, L. & W. Trigg, C. (1974) *"The Ubiquitous 3:4:5 Triangle"*

The pieces




- Just five pieces
- All pieces are different
- All pieces are asymmetric
- Areas are integer and not *too different*
- All sides are multiples of 1 or $\sqrt{5}$
- All angles are linear combinations of 90° and $\alpha = \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx 26,565^\circ$

Name	Area	Sides	Angles
T1	1	1, 2, $\sqrt{5}$	90° , α , $90^\circ - \alpha$
T4	4	2, 4, $2\sqrt{5}$	90° , α , $90^\circ - \alpha$
T5	5	$\sqrt{5}$, $2\sqrt{5}$, 5	90° , α , $90^\circ - \alpha$
T6	6	3, 4, 5	90° , $90^\circ - 2\alpha$, 2α
Q4	4	1, 3, $\sqrt{5}$, $\sqrt{5}$	90° , $90^\circ - \alpha$, 90° , $90^\circ + \alpha$

The pieces

Although all pieces are asymmetric and different, they often combine to make symmetric shapes



The pieces


This means that it is very rare for an Egyptian Tangram figure to have a unique solution



There are three different solutions for the square and, in all three cases, two of the corners of the square are built as a sum of acute angles!

The pieces


The asymmetry of the pieces also implies that each solution belongs to one of these equivalence classes:



You cannot transform one of these figures into another without flipping a piece

Why we called it the *Egyptian* Tangram?

The smallest pieces of the Chinese and Greek-Cross tangrams can be used to build all the other pieces...



...but you cannot do the same with
the Egyptian Tangram because of T6

Why we called it the *Egyptian* Tangram?

Initially, T6 was considered as the *leftover piece* that results from cutting all these $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles from the borders of the square.

But it turned out to be a very well known triangle...



...the **Egyptian** Triangle (3:4:5)
and, hence, the name of this tangram

Puzzles & Activities

Realistic figures

Use all five pieces to make these figures:



Lightning



Sailing ship



Bow tie



Wooden hut



Caltrop



Snowmobile



Candle



Viking hat



Diamond



Moses basket



Erlenmeyer



3D brick



Witch hat



Arrow Sign



Sailboat

Realistic figures

Use all five pieces to make these figures:



Gnome



Handmaid



Mountain range



Fish tail



Teddy bear



Cat



Dromedary



Cow



Snail



Fennec Fox



Penguin



Calf



Sea Turtle



Duck



Crow

Remote control symbols

Use all five pieces to make these symbols:



Rewind



Play/Pause



FFWD



Start



Stop




End



Volume

Geometric figures


Use all five pieces to make these figures:



Complete the path moving just one or two pieces at a time


Geometric figures

All of these figures, but one, have multiple solutions:



Could you find which is the only figure with unique solution?

Geometric figures




All the figures from the previous page, but one, can be drawn with their vertices lying on this lattice.

Moreover, they can be drawn with the vertices of all 5 pieces lying on the same lattice.

These conditions simplify the task of finding perimeters and areas.

Could you find which is the only figure that requires a finer-grained lattice?

Geometric figures



Pythagorean Theorem:

$$\text{Top} = \sqrt{1^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{5}$$

$$\text{Left} = \sqrt{3^2 + 4^2} = \sqrt{25} = 5$$


$$\text{Right} = \sqrt{5^2 + 0^2} = \sqrt{25} = 5$$

$$\text{Bottom} = \sqrt{3^2 + 6^2} = \sqrt{45} = 3\sqrt{5}$$

$$\text{Perimeter} = 10 + 4\sqrt{5}$$

Could you use the Pythagorean theorem to compute the perimeter of these figures?

Geometric figures



Pick's Theorem:

lattice points in the interior = 16

lattice points on the boundary = 10

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Area} &= \text{interior} + \frac{\text{boundary}}{2} - 1 \\ &= 16 + \frac{10}{2} - 1 = 20\end{aligned}$$

Could you use Pick's theorem
to compute the area of these figures?

Triangles

Could you prove that there are just 10 triangles you can make with one or more pieces of the Egyptian Tangram?

How many solutions could you find for each figure?




Top row areas: 20, 16, 9, 5, 4, 1

Bottom row areas: 15, 10, 10, 6


Quadrilaterals

Could you prove that there are just 11 **complex quadrilaterals** you can make with all five pieces of the Egyptian Tangram?



Quadrilaterals

Simple quadrilaterals: Not self-intersecting




All simple quadrilaterals tile the plane!

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta = 2\pi$$

Quadrilaterals


Convex quadrilaterals: All internal angles are smaller than π



Law of Cosines: $p^2q^2 = a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 - 2abcd \cos(\alpha + \gamma)$

Quadrilaterals


Trapeziums (UK) / Trapezoids (US): One pair of parallel sides



Trapezium/Trapezoid \Leftrightarrow Diagonals cut each other in the same ratio

Quadrilaterals


Parallelograms: Two pairs of parallel sides



$$\text{Parallelogram} \Leftrightarrow \text{Diagonals bisect each other} \Leftrightarrow a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = p^2 + q^2$$

Quadrilaterals


Cyclic quadrilaterals: All vertices lie on a circle



$$\text{Cyclic} \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \gamma = \beta + \delta$$

Quadrilaterals


Tangential quadrilaterals: All sides are tangent to a circle



$$\text{Tangential} \iff a + c = b + d$$

Quadrilaterals


Isosceles Trapezoids: Two pairs of adjacent angles are equal



Isosceles trapezoids \Leftrightarrow Cyclic quadrilaterals with equal diagonals

Quadrilaterals


Darts & Kites: Two pairs of adjacent sides are equal



Darts/Kites \Leftrightarrow Tangential quadrilaterals with perpendicular diagonals

Quadrilaterals


Rhombi: All sides are equal



Rhombi \Leftrightarrow Parallelograms with perpendicular diagonals

Quadrilaterals


Rectangles: All angles are equal



Rectangles \Leftrightarrow Parallelograms with equal diagonals

Quadrilaterals

Squares: Regular quadrilaterals



Among all quadrilaterals, squares maximize the *Area:Perimeter* ratio

The three solutions of the square

Could you prove that there are just three different solutions for the square?




What's the area of this square? What's its perimeter?

How many times do you find $\sqrt{5}$ in the Egyptian Tangram pieces?


Figures with seven solutions

Could you find seven different solutions
for each of these figures?



Figures with unique solutions

Could you prove that there is only one solution for each of these figures?



Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox



Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox


Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox


Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox


Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox



Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing triangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing triangle?

Missing rectangle paradox


Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing rectangle?

Missing rectangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing rectangle?

Missing rectangle paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing rectangle?

Missing square paradox



Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing square?

Missing square paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing square?

Missing square paradox

Both figures use all 5 pieces...



Where is the missing square?

Sum of similar figures

Use all 5 pieces to make the single figure in the LHS,
then use them to make the two figures on the RHS



In both equations, the figures are similar and areas are in ratio 5 : 4 : 1

Golden Rectangles

The dashed rectangle proportions are $1:\varphi$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Golden Rectangles

The dashed rectangle proportions are $1:\varphi$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Golden Rectangles

The dashed rectangle proportions are $1:\varphi$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Golden Rectangles

The dashed rectangles proportions are $1:\varphi$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Golden Rectangles

There are 4 golden rectangles hidden in this figure



Could you spot them?

Golden Rectangles

There are 4 golden rectangles hidden in this figure



Could you spot them?

Golden Rectangles

There are 4 golden rectangles hidden in this figure



Could you spot them?

Golden Rectangles

There are 5 golden rectangles hidden in this figure



Could you spot them?

Golden Rectangles


You can find golden rectangles of 14 different types

Type	Proportions	Type	Proportions
A	$3 - \sqrt{5} : 2\sqrt{5} - 4$	H	$2\sqrt{5} : 5 - \sqrt{5}$
B	$\sqrt{5} - 1 : 3 - \sqrt{5}$	I	$3 + \sqrt{5} : 1 + \sqrt{5}$
C	$2 : \sqrt{5} - 1$	J	$6 : 3\sqrt{5} - 3$
D	$2\sqrt{5} - 2 : 6 - 2\sqrt{5}$	K	$2 + 2\sqrt{5} : 4$
E	$5 - \sqrt{5} : 3\sqrt{5} - 5$	L	$5 + \sqrt{5} : 2\sqrt{5}$
F	$1 + \sqrt{5} : 2$	M	$1 + 3\sqrt{5} : 7 - \sqrt{5}$
G	$4 : 2\sqrt{5} - 2$	N	$4 + 2\sqrt{5} : 3 + \sqrt{5}$

Could you build an example of each type?


The Egyptian Four-Triangle-Tangram

T1, T4, T5 & T6 appear naturally
when you fold a 2:1 rectangle




The Egyptian Four-Triangle-Tangram

You can make 12 quadrilaterals using T1, T4, T5 & T6



The Egyptian Four-Triangle-Tangram

You can make 23 convex figures using T1, T4, T5 & T6



The Egyptian Four-Triangle-Tangram

You can find golden rectangles of 7 different types using just T1, T4, T5 & T6

Type	Proportions
A	$3 - \sqrt{5} : 2\sqrt{5} - 4$
C	$2 : \sqrt{5} - 1$
F	$1 + \sqrt{5} : 2$
G	$4 : 2\sqrt{5} - 2$
H	$2\sqrt{5} : 5 - \sqrt{5}$
K	$2 + 2\sqrt{5} : 4$
L	$5 + \sqrt{5} : 2\sqrt{5}$

Could you build an example of each type?

The Egyptian Three–Triangle–Tangram

Given any right triangle with sides: $a \leq b \leq c$



you can make a rectangle with three similar triangles:


$$(a^2, ab, ac), (ba, b^2, bc) \text{ \& } (ca, cb, c^2)$$

and compare the top $(a^2 + b^2)$ and the bottom (c^2) sides of the rectangle to prove the **Pythagorean Theorem**

(T1, T4 & T5 verify this relationship for: $a = 1$, $b = 2$ & $c = \sqrt{5}$)

The Egyptian Three-Triangle-Tangram


Since $\text{area}(T1) + \text{area}(T4) = \text{area}(T5) \dots$



...you can verify 3 cases of the **Pythagorean Theorem**
(and these particular cases turn out to be the T1, T4 & T5 right triangles!)

The Egyptian Three-Triangle-Tangram

You can make 15 quadrilaterals using just T1, T4 & T5



See also: Brügger, G. (1984) *"Three-Triangle-Tangram"*, Bit, 24

The Egyptian Three-Triangle-Tangram

You can make 11 convex figures using just T1, T4 & T5



See also: Brügner, G. (1984) *"Three-Triangle-Tangram"*, Bit, 24

The Egyptian Three-Triangle-Tangram

You can find golden rectangles of 5 different types using just T1, T4 & T5

Type	Proportions
C	$2 : \sqrt{5}-1$
F	$1+\sqrt{5} : 2$
G	$4 : 2\sqrt{5}-2$
H	$2\sqrt{5} : 5-\sqrt{5}$
K	$2+2\sqrt{5} : 4$

Could you build an example of each type?

Mathematical Properties

φ and $\sqrt{5}$ are irrational



This is a golden rectangle, which means that $\frac{\text{base}}{\text{height}} = \varphi$, the golden ratio.

If we remove a square, what remains is also a golden rectangle: $\frac{\text{height}}{\text{base}-\text{height}} = \varphi$


If we assume that $\varphi = \frac{b}{h}$, with b and h coprime integers, then $\varphi = \frac{h}{b-h}$ is an equivalent fraction, with a smaller integer numerator and a smaller integer denominator, which is absurd. Therefore, our initial assumption must be false.

And, since $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is irrational, $2\varphi - 1 = \sqrt{5}$ must be irrational too.



The $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ incenter

If the inradius of a $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangle is 1...



...its shorter leg measures $\varphi + 1 = \varphi^2 = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$

The 3:4:5 incenter


If we overlay T6 and T1 as shown in the figure...



...a T1 vertex lies on the incenter of T6

Dissecting 3:4:5

You can use this dissection of T6 to prove that...




$$\pi = \arctan(1) + \arctan(2) + \arctan(3)$$

(consider the sum of the angles touching the incenter of T6 and divide by 2)

Dissecting 3:4:5

You can use this dissection of T6 to prove that...




$$\frac{\pi}{2} = \arctan\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$$

(consider the sum of the angles touching the vertices of T6 and divide by 2)

Dissecting 3:4:5


You can dissect a 3:4:5 triangle into...



...a 3:4:5 triangle and
two congruent $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles

Dissecting 3:4:5

Iterating this dissection of T6 you can prove that...




$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{18}{4^n} = 6$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


You can dissect a $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangle into...



...a $3:4:5$ triangle and
two congruent $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


Removing the 3:4:5 triangle and iterating this dissection...



...produces a variant of the **Koch curve** fractal

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


You can dissect a $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangle into...



...a $3:4:5$ triangle and
two different $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


Removing the 3:4:5 triangle and iterating this dissection...



...produces a variant of the **Minkowski sausage** fractal

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


You can dissect a $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangle into...



...five congruent $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles
and iterate to get the **Pinwheel tiling** of the plane

Dissecting $1:2:\sqrt{5}$


You can dissect a $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangle into...



...five congruent $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles, remove the central one and iterate to get the **Pinwheel fractal**

Q4 tilings


Two copies of Q4 form a pentagon that can be used...



...to make this variant of the **Cairo Tiling** of the plane

Q4 tilings

You can use this **Pythagorean Tiling** to verify...




...that T4 satisfies the **Pythagorean Theorem**

The angles of Q4

The angles $90 - \alpha$ and $90 + \alpha$ that appear in Q4
also appear in the Golden Rhombus

(a rhombus whose diagonals are in proportion $1:\varphi$, with $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$)



$$90 + \alpha = 2 \cdot \arctan(\varphi) = \arctan(1) + \arctan(3)$$

$$90 - \alpha = 2 \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\varphi}\right) = \arctan(2)$$

The faces of the rhombic triacontahedron and
the rhombic hexecontahedron are Golden Rhombi

The angles of Q4

Even though they are NOT similar figures...



...the same angles appear in Q4 and $T5 \cup T6$

The perimeter of Q4


These three perimeters are in a geometric progression...



$$\frac{2\sqrt{5} + 4}{\sqrt{5} + 3} = \frac{3\sqrt{5} + 7}{2\sqrt{5} + 4} = \varphi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

The circumcircles


Since opposite angles add to π ...



...Q4 and $T5 \cup T6$ are cyclic quadrilaterals

The circumcircles


All circumcircles pass through a common point...



...and $C(T5 \cup T6)$ passes through the center of $C(Q4)$ and $C(T4)$

The circumcircles


These circumcircles intersect at the square's center...



...which happens to be T6's incenter

Tangent circles


These three points are aligned...



...and these two circles are tangent

Tangent circles


The line is tangent to this circle...



...and the right triangle below is an Egyptian Triangle

Tangent circles


The radius of these three circles are in ratio $1:\varphi^2:\varphi^4$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Tangent circles


The radius of these four circles are in ratio $1:\varphi:\varphi^2:\varphi^3$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Tangent circles


The radius of these two circles are in ratio $1:\varphi^2$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

Tangent circles


The radius of these two circles are in ratio $1:\varphi^2$



where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio

The underlying grid


Using the intersection point of the Egyptian Tangram...



...you can divide the square into 5×5 smaller squares!

The underlying grid

Using the intersection points of this figure...




...you can divide the square into:

2×2 , 3×3 , 4×4 or 5×5 smaller squares!

The underlying grid


There are 32 egyptian triangles in this figure...



...they come in 4 sizes and there are 8 of each kind

The underlying grid


There are 24 $1:2:\sqrt{5}$ triangles in this figure...



...they come in 3 sizes and there are 8 of each kind

The underlying grid

There are 24 other triangles in this figure...



...of 3 different kinds (one of them comes in 2 sizes)

The underlying grid

The relative sizes of these polygons are...



Small Triangles: 1

Small Kites: 3

Whole Square: 120


Big Triangles: 6

Big Kites: 8

Octagon: 20

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE} - \text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW} - \text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}-\text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW}-\text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}-\text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW}-\text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE} - \text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW} - \text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE} - \text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW} - \text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem


Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE} - \text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW} - \text{GREEN})$

The carpets theorem

Since $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW})...$



... $\text{Area}(\text{BLUE}-\text{GREEN}) = \text{Area}(\text{YELLOW}-\text{GREEN})$

References (by date)

- Brunés, T. – *“The Secrets of Ancient Geometry”* (1967)
- Barr, S. – *“Mathematical Brain Benders”* (1969)
- Bankoff, L. & Trigg, C. W. – *“The Ubiquitous 3:4:5 Triangle”* (1974)
- Brüchner, G. – *“Three-Triangle-Tangram”* (1984)
- Detemple, D. & Harold, S. – *“A Round-Up of Square Problems”* (1996)
- Bogomolny, A. – *“Cut The Knot”* (1996–2018)
- Luna-Mota, C. – *“El tangram egipci: diari de disseny”* (2019)
- Rajput, C. – *“A Classical Geometric Relationship That Reveals The Golden Link in Nature”* (2019)