

Phenomenology of Majorana zero modes in full-shell hybrid nanowires

Carlos Payá

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM), CSIC

May 30, 2024




Outline

- ① Engineering topologically protected edge states
- ② Signals in the LDOS: CdGM analogs
- ③ Opening the topological minigap
- ④ Conclusions

The Kitaev chain

- ▶ Chain of N spin-less fermions (p -wave superconductivity):

$$H = -\mu \sum_{j=1}^N \left(c_j^\dagger c_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[-t (c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j) + \Delta (c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) \right]$$



R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.
E. Prada *et al.* 2020, *Nature Reviews Physics*.
A. Y. Kitaev 2001, *Physics-Uspekhi*.


The Kitaev chain

- Chain of N spin-less fermions (p -wave superconductivity):

$$H = -\mu \sum_{j=1}^N \left(c_j^\dagger c_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[-t (c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j) + \Delta (c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) \right]$$

- Majorana representation:

$$c_j = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j^A + i\gamma_j^B), \quad c_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j^A - i\gamma_j^B)$$



R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.
 E. Prada et al. 2020, *Nature Reviews Physics*.
 A. Y. Kitaev 2001, *Physics-Uspekhi*.

The Kitaev chain

- Chain of N spin-less fermions (p -wave superconductivity):


$$H = -\mu \sum_{j=1}^N \left(c_j^\dagger c_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[-t (c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j) + \Delta (c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) \right]$$

- Majorana representation:


$$c_j = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j^A + i\gamma_j^B), \quad c_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j^A - i\gamma_j^B)$$

- Hamiltonian in terms of Majorana operators:

$$H = -\frac{i\mu}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j^A \gamma_j^B + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} [(\Delta + t) \gamma_j^B \gamma_{j+1}^A + (\Delta - t) \gamma_j^A \gamma_{j+1}^B]$$



Majoranas for qubits



- ▶ MZM are non-Abelian anyons.
- ▶ Gap closing/reopening \Rightarrow topological protection.

R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.
E. Prada *et al.* 2020, *Nature Reviews Physics*.
A. Y. Kitaev 2001, *Physics-Uspekhi*.

We need a p -wave superconductor!

- ▶ The superconducting pairing term in the Kitaev chain is spinless:
$$\Delta \left(c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger \right).$$

L. Fu and C. L. Kane 2008, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

We need a p -wave superconductor!

- ▶ The superconducting pairing term in the Kitaev chain is spinless:
$$\Delta \left(c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger \right).$$
- ▶ p -wave is very rare in nature. We need to engineer it.

L. Fu and C. L. Kane 2008, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

We need a p -wave superconductor!

- ▶ The superconducting pairing term in the Kitaev chain is spinless:
$$\Delta \left(c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger \right).$$
- ▶ p -wave is very rare in nature. We need to engineer it.
- ▶ Fu and Kane: s -wave pairing behaves as p -wave when projected onto the basis of helical electrons.


L. Fu and C. L. Kane 2008, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

We need a p -wave superconductor!


- ▶ The superconducting pairing term in the Kitaev chain is spinless:
$$\Delta \left(c_j c_{j+1} + c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger \right).$$
- ▶ p -wave is very rare in nature. We need to engineer it.
- ▶ Fu and Kane: s -wave pairing behaves as p -wave when projected onto the basis of helical electrons.
- ▶ Lutchyn and Oreg: proximitize semiconductors with strong spin-orbit coupling.

L. Fu and C. L. Kane 2008, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen 2010, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

Rashba, Zeeman and helical bands







Rashba, Zeeman and helical bands





R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.

Rashba, Zeeman and helical bands



R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.


Rashba, Zeeman and helical bands



R. Aguado 2017, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*.

Searching for Majoranas

- Strong experimental interest.




Zero-bias anomalies in tunneling spectroscopy experiments

Mourik 2012, Albrecht 2016, Deng 2016

Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Strong experimental interest.
- ▶ Zero-bias anomalies detected with non-topological explanations.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas

- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.




Zero-bias anomalies in tunneling spectroscopy experiments

Mourik 2012, Albrecht 2016, Deng 2016

Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.
 - ▶ High magnetic fields.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.
 - ▶ High magnetic fields.
 - ▶ Orbital effects.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.
 - ▶ High magnetic fields.
 - ▶ Orbital effects.
 - ▶ Charge and pairing inhomogeneities.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.

Searching for Majoranas


- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.
 - ▶ High magnetic fields.
 - ▶ Orbital effects.
 - ▶ Charge and pairing inhomogeneities.
 - ▶ Disorder.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.


Searching for Majoranas

- ▶ Drawbacks:
 - ▶ Multimode effects.
 - ▶ Electrostatic environment.
 - ▶ Renormalized parameters.
 - ▶ High magnetic fields.
 - ▶ Orbital effects.
 - ▶ Charge and pairing inhomogeneities.
 - ▶ Disorder.
 - ▶ QD physics.



Claims: V. Mourik *et al.* 2012, *Science*. S. M. Albrecht *et al.* 2016, *Nature*. M. T. Deng *et al.* 2016, *Science*.
Trivial explanations: E. J. H. Lee *et al.* 2012, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, *et al.* 2021, *Science*. M. Valentini, M. Borovkov, *et al.* 2022, *Nature*.


The full-shell nanowire



► Key points:

S. Vaitiekėnas et al. 2020, *Science*.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, *Phys. Rev. B*.
C. Payá et al. 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.


The full-shell nanowire



- ▶ Key points:
 - ▶ Cylindrical symmetry

S. Vaitiekėnas et al. 2020, *Science*.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, *Phys. Rev. B*.
C. Payá et al. 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.


The full-shell nanowire



- ▶ Key points:
 - ▶ Cylindrical symmetry
 - ▶ Topological transition driven by orbital effect **not Zeeman**

S. Vaitiekėnas et al. 2020, *Science*.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, *Phys. Rev. B*.
C. Payá et al. 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.

The full-shell nanowire




► Key points:

- Cylindrical symmetry
- Topological transition driven by orbital effect **not Zeeman**
- Needs lower magnetic fields.

S. Vaitiekėnas et al. 2020, *Science*.
 P. San-Jose et al. 2023, *Phys. Rev. B*.
 C. Payá et al. 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.


The full-shell nanowire



- ▶ Key points:
 - ▶ Cylindrical symmetry
 - ▶ Topological transition driven by orbital effect **not Zeeman**
 - ▶ Needs lower magnetic fields.
 - ▶ Only one angular mode can be topological.

S. Vaitiekėnas et al. 2020, *Science*.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, *Phys. Rev. B*.
C. Payá et al. 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.



The Little-Parks effect



- ▶ Cylinder \Leftrightarrow vortex.
- ▶ Too thin for full Meissner.
- ▶ Quantized winding of the order parameter: $\Delta = |\Delta| e^{in\varphi}$.
- ▶ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and jumps every flux quantum Φ_0 .
- ▶ Quasi-quantization of flux \Rightarrow pairing presents LP lobes.
- ▶ Depends on R , SC thickness d and ξ_d , the SC coherence length.



W. A. Little and R. D. Parks 1962, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
 R. D. Parks and W. A. Little 1964, *Phys. Rev.*

The CdGM analog states





C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, Phys. Rev. B.

The CdGM analog states





C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, Phys. Rev. B.

The CdGM analog states




C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, Phys. Rev. B.

The CdGM analog states




C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, Phys. Rev. B.

LDOS vs. flux




C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.
P. San-Jose et al. 2023, Phys. Rev. B.

The tubular-core model




- ▶ Adding a width to the semiconductor.

The tubular-core model




- ▶ Adding a width to the semiconductor.
- ▶ Most common scenario: CdGMs fill the MZM minigap.
- ▶ No topological protection

Pushing the WF to the interface




- ▶ Conduction band **bends** close to the interface.

Second radial mode: protection lost





Second radial mode: protection lost





- When the second radial mode is occupied, the ZEP expands over the full lobe, but CdGMs cover it.

Protected islands in the tubular-core





C. Payá *et al.* 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.

Protected islands in the tubular-core



- We need to push the charge to the interface.

Protected islands in the tubular-core



- ▶ We need to push the charge to the interface.
- ▶ Topologically protected islands appear-

Summary

- ▶ In a full-shell hybrid nanowires:
 1. Majorana zero modes coexist with CdGM analog states.

Summary

- ▶ In a full-shell hybrid nanowires:
 1. Majorana zero modes coexist with CdGM analog states.
 2. They are generally topologically unprotected except for small islands in parameter space.

Summary

- ▶ In a full-shell hybrid nanowires:
 1. Majorana zero modes coexist with CdGM analog states.
 2. They are generally topologically unprotected except for small islands in parameter space.
 3. Tubular-core nanowires are a good experimental candidate for protected MZMs.

Summary

- ▶ In a full-shell hybrid nanowires:
 1. Majorana zero modes coexist with CdGM analog states.
 2. They are generally topologically unprotected except for small islands in parameter space.
 3. Tubular-core nanowires are a good experimental candidate for protected MZMs.
 4. The solid-core phenomenology is more complex and depends on the radial modes.

Summary

- ▶ In a full-shell hybrid nanowires:
 1. Majorana zero modes coexist with CdGM analog states.
 2. They are generally topologically unprotected except for small islands in parameter space.
 3. Tubular-core nanowires are a good experimental candidate for protected MZMs.
 4. The solid-core phenomenology is more complex and depends on the radial modes.

Take home message

Majorana physics of full-shell nanowires is very rich. For pristine configurations, the tubular-core model is the optimal candidate in comparison to the solid-core geometry.

People involved

Project Leader



Elsa Prada



Pablo San José



Ramón Aguado



Theory:

Samuel D. Escribano (Weizmann Institute)

Andrea Vezzosi (U. of Modena, now U. of Lausanne)

Fernando Peñaranda (DIPC)

Experimentalists:

Saulius Vaitiekėnas (Niels Bohr Institute)

Charles M. Marcus (NBI, now U. of Washington)

Ongoing experiments:

Jesper Nygård (Niels Bohr Institute)



Phenomenology of Majorana zero modes in full-shell hybrid nanowires


Carlos Payá

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM), CSIC

May 30, 2024




Hollow-core results




C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.

Modified hollow-core results




C. Payá et al. 2024, Phys. Rev. B.

Destructive Little-Parks




Band-bending: not enough islands




- ▶ Notice axis are mean α and U_{min} , the minimum of the dome-profile.
- ▶ One wedge per radial mode. No islands outside the first radial mode.

Hexagonal wave-function



- ▶ New red stripes. Hexagon has $\ell = 6$.
- ▶ Upper stripe: $m_J = 0$ mixes with $m_J = \pm 6$.
- ▶ Lower stripe: $m_J = 3$ mixes with $m_J = -3$.
- ▶ The MZM coming from $m_J = \pm 3$ **cannot** interact with $m_J = 0 \Rightarrow$ they overlap.
- ▶ The $m_J = \pm 6$ MZM annihilates the $m_J = 0$ MZM.

Hexagonal wave-function



- Except for the new topological stripes and a region where the MZM splits, the system is equivalent to the cylinder.

C. Payá *et al.* 2024, *Phys. Rev. B*.

Phenomenology of Majorana zero modes in full-shell hybrid nanowires

Carlos Payá

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM), CSIC

May 30, 2024

