# **Deep Learning - Assignment 1**

## **Carlos Vega and Marcos Alonso**

## **Backpropagation**

- Code:

```
def backpropagation(model, y true, y pred):
  with torch.no_grad():
    # Derivative of the loss with respect to the output of the network
    dL_da = 2*(y_pred - y_true)
    for layer in range(model.L, 0, -1):
      # Derivative of activation function
      # Derivative of activation function with respect to z
    da dz = model.df[layer](model.z[layer])
   # Derivative of the loss with respect to z
    dL dz = dL da * da dz
      if model.a[layer-1].ndimension() == 1:
         activations_prev_layer = model.a[layer-1].unsqueeze(0)
      else:
         activations_prev_layer = model.a[layer-1]
      # Derivative of the loss with respect to the weights
      dL_dw = torch.mm(dL_dz.T, activations_prev_layer)
      # Derivative of the loss with respect to the biases
      dL db = dL_dz.sum(0)
      model.dL dw[layer] = dL dw
      model.dL_db[layer] = dL_db
      if layer > 1:
         # Derivative of the loss with respect to the output of the previous
layer
         dL_da = torch.mm(dL_dz, model.fc[str(layer)].weight).sum(0)
```

- Explanation:

We followed the formulas given in the theory to compute the algorithm. The explanations of each step are commented in the given code.

### **Gradient descent**

We first loaded the database

Then filter by the categories that we were asked

Then we created the neural network with the layers specified

```
class MyMLP(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self):
        super(MyMLP, self).__init__()
        self.fc1 = nn.Linear(3072, 512)  # Fully connected layer 1
        self.fc2 = nn.Linear(512, 128)  # Fully connected layer 2
        self.fc3 = nn.Linear(128, 32)  # Fully connected layer 3
        self.fc4 = nn.Linear(32, 2)  # Fully connected output layer

    def forward(self, x):
        # Forward propagation via ReLU
        x = torch.relu(self.fc1(x))
        x = torch.relu(self.fc3(x))
        x = self.fc4(x)  # No activation function at the output
        return x
```

#### The train function

```
Train function

def train(n_epochs, optimizer, model, loss_fn, train_loader):
    for epoch in range(1, n_epochs+1):
        running_loss = 0.0
        for inputs, labels in train_loader:
            optimizer.zero_grad() # Reset gradients in each iteration

            outputs = model(inputs) # Forward propagation
            labels = torch.Tensor([[1.0, 0.0] if i.item() == 0 else [0.0, 1.0] for i in labels])
            loss = loss_fn(outputs, labels) # Loss calculation

            loss.backward() # Back propagation
            optimizer.step() # Update parameters

            running_loss += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)

            epoch_loss = running_loss / len(train_loader.dataset)
            print(f'Epoch [{epoch}/{n_epochs}], Loss: {epoch_loss:.4f}')
```

And then we created the Manual train function. We made the function return the final loss parameter in order to be able to compare afterwards which approach gets the best loss. We did it to generalize the code and make it more flexible for comparing.

```
Manual Train Function
The function returns the last loss to be able to afterwards compare the results
    def train_manual_update(n_epochs, model, loss_fn, train_loader, lr, weight_decay=0.0, momentum=0.0):
        velocities ={i: 0 for i, p in enumerate(model.parameters())}
        for epoch in range(1, n_epochs+1):
           running_loss = 0.0
           for inputs, labels in train_loader:
               model.train() # Make sure the model is in train
               outputs = model(inputs) # Forward Propagation
               labels = torch. Tensor([[1.0, 0.0] if i.item() == 0 else [0.0, 1.0] for i in labels])
               loss = loss_fn(outputs, labels) # Loss Calculation
               loss.backward()
               with torch.no_grad():
                   for i, param in enumerate(model.parameters()):
                       gradient = param.grad
                       if weight decay != 0:
                           gradient = gradient.add_(param.data, alpha=weight_decay)
                        if momentum != 0:
                           velocities[i] = velocities[i] * momentum + gradient
                           gradient = velocities[i]
```

```
new_param = param.data.add_(gradient, alpha=-lr)
    param.copy_(new_param)
    param.grad.zero_()

# Reset gradients
    model.zero_grad()

running_loss += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)

# Average loss per epoch
    epoch_loss = running_loss / len(train_loader.dataset)
    print(f'Epoch [{epoch}/{n_epochs}], Loss: {epoch_loss:.4f}')

# We return the final loss value in able to afterwards know the best aproach
    return epoch_loss
```

We proceed to train both models.

#### And evaluate the results

```
model.eval()
   model_manual.eval()
   epoch_loss_train = 0.0
   epoch_loss_manual = 0.0
   with torch.no grad():
       for inputs, labels in train_loader:
           outputs = model(inputs)
           labels = torch.Tensor([[1.0, 0.0] if i.item() == 0 else [0.0, 1.0] for i in labels])
           loss = criterion(outputs, labels)
           epoch_loss_train += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)
           outputs manual = model manual(inputs)
           loss manual = criterion(outputs_manual, labels)
           epoch_loss_manual += loss_manual.item() * inputs.size(0)
   epoch_loss_train /= len(train_loader.dataset)
   epoch_loss_manual /= len(train_loader.dataset)
  print("Loss (train):", epoch_loss_train)
print("Loss (train_manual_update):", epoch_loss_manual)
Loss (train): 0.6526539619248303
Loss (train_manual_update): 0.5233056174851183
```

Now we train the manual train with some different parameters

Then we analyze the one that provided the best results

```
Best performance model
   bestModelIndex = performance.index(min(performance))
   print("The model", bestModelIndex+1, "had the best approach")
   bestModel = models[bestModelIndex]
   # Model 4 on unseen data
   bestModel.eval()
   epoch_loss_test = 0.0
   with torch.no_grad():
       for inputs, labels in testloader:
           outputs = bestModel(inputs)
           labels = torch.Tensor([[1.0, 0.0] if i.item() == 0 else [0.0, 1.0] for i in labels])
           loss = criterion(outputs, labels)
           epoch_loss_test += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)
    epoch_loss_test /= len(testloader.dataset)
    print("Loss validation (test):", epoch loss test)
 The model 4 had the best approach
 Loss validation (test): 0.41951453106993797
```

And the best model between the four selected was the one with these parameters: Ir=0.01, weight\_decay=0.0, momentum=0.9

### **Questions**

a) Which PyTorch method(s) correspond to the tasks described in section 2?

In section 2 we do all the calculations manually but the pytorch method that is used to compute the backpropagation automatically is loss.backward()

b) Cite a method used to check whether the computed gradient of a function seems correct. Briefly explain how you would use this method to check your computed gradients in section 2.

Pytorch provides a method autograd that can check that the gradients are correct.

It is also possible to do it mathematically following the formula: (f(x+h) - f(x-h))/2h

c) Which PyTorch method(s) correspond to the tasks described in section 3, question 4.?

In pytorch it is equivalent to manually modify the parameters of the model. Pytorch uses optimizer in order to do so.

d) Briefly explain the purpose of adding momentum to the gradient descent algorithm

Adding momentum accelerates convergence and it improves stability by incorporating past gradients into updates, reducing oscillations and potentially escaping local minima faster.

e) Briefly explain the purpose of adding regularization to the gradient descent algorithm.

The main advantage it provides is to avoid overfitting, making a more general answer that can work better with unseen data

f) Report the different parameters used in section 3, question 8., the selected parameters in question 9. as well as the evaluation of your selected model.

These are the values we selected and we could see clearly which approach had the best result.

Learning Rate	Weight Decay	Momentum	Final Loss
0.001	0	0	0.6469
0.01	0	0	0.4616
0.001	0.1	0.9	0.5427
0.01	0	0.9	0.4162

g) Comment your results. In case you do not get expected results, try to give potential reasons that would explain why your code does not work and/or your results differ.

The results make sense as the learning rate 0.01 is much better than 0.001 because 0.001 seems to be too small that the updates are not very significant. The momentum helps to get out of local minimums and therefore makes sense that improves the results.