Avoiding deadlock: Non blocking communication


Joachim Hein (LUNARC, Lund University)
Xin Li (PDC, KTH)

Overview

- Eager vs. rendezvous protocol
- Deadlock
- Non-blocking communication
- Performance implications from non-blocking communication

Small messages: Eager protocol


- Eager sending:
- When send is issued, data transferred to buffer on receiver
- There it gets buffered until matching receive is posted
- After receive is posted, copied into process memory



- Eager sending is not suitable for large messages:
- Receiver or Sender: not enough buffer memory to hold transferred data


Large messages: Rendezvous protocol

- When send is issued, meta-data transferred, e.g. N integers to come
- Matching receive is posted
 - Memory on receiver available (arg. of recv)
 - Data request is sent to sender
- Sender sends actual data
 - Sender must not overwrite send buffer until copied
 - Sender will wait forever if no matching receive is posted



Deadlock

- Both tasks use a send operations which goes "rendezvous"
- Both tasks wait for ever for the request to send data
- MPI_Send can not return, buffer can not be overwritten
- You have to assume that any
 MPI Send waits for the receive
 - · Different system in future
 - · Future use more data



Synchronous send

In C:

int MPI_Ssend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype
 datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm)

In Fortran 90:

MPI_SSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, &
 COMM, IERROR)

In Python:

comm.ssend(obj, dest=dest, tag=tag)

- Same arguments as MPI Send
- Call returns only after receive has been posted
- Always uses rendezvous or similar protocol
- Useful for testing for deadlock problem in a code

Non-blocking communication (overview)

- Avoid deadlock by using non-blocking send and/or receive
- Non-blocking calls return directly, in general:
 - · Not safe to overwrite a send buffer
 - Receive buffer does not contain data
- Additional calls: MPI_Wait, MPI_Test, MPI_Waitall to check communication has finished
- A "checker" has to be called, otherwise
 - nasty memory or resource leak
 - code may behave **non-deterministic**

No deadlock by non-blocking receive

- 1. Both tasks issue non-blocking receive
 - Receive buffer becomes available
 - · Program continues executing
- 2. Both tasks post send "rendezvous" operations
 - Since receive buffers available, send transfers data & returns
- Both tasks check on receive (e.g. MPI Wait):
 - Ensures data has arrived in receive buffer
 - Checking operation required it completes operation
- 4. Continue with calculation and use received data
- Rem: The non-blocking call continues work, when program is doing other work

Non-blocking receive in C

int MPI_Irecv(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype
 datatype, int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm,
 MPI Request *request)

buf: address of receive buffer (output)
 count: number of elements to be received

• datatype: date type of buffer (explained further down)

• source: rank of sender (data origin)

• tag: message tag (needs to match the send!)

• comm: communicator

• request: request handle (output), pass into "checker"

Non-blocking receive in Fortran 90

MPI_IRECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG,&
COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)

<TYPE>:: BUF

INTEGER:: COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG,&
 COMM, REQUEST, IERROR

BUF: address of receive buffer (output)
 COUNT: number of elements to be received

• DATATYPE: date type of buffer (explained further down)

SOURCE: rank of sender (data origin)

• TAG: message tag (needs to match the send!)

• COMM: communicator

REQUEST: request handle (output), pass into checker

Non-blocking receive in Python

req = comm.irecv(source=source, tag=tag)

• source: rank of sender (data origin)

tag: message tag (needs to match the send!)

- A Request object is returned
- Python object is returned by the wait method of Request object
- Example:

```
req = comm.irecv(source=0, tag=0)
obj = req.wait()
```

Non-blocking receive in Python (large data)

```
req = comm.irecv(buf, source=source, tag=tag)
```

- When sending large data via irecv, you may see the "message truncated" error.
- In such case a buffer will be needed by irecv
- Example:

```
# 1 MB buffer; make it larger if needed
buf = bytearray(1<<20)
req = comm.irecv(buf, source=0, tag=0)
obj = req.wait()</pre>
```

Non-blocking send in C: MPI_Isend

int MPI_Isend(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype
 datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm,
 MPI Request *request)

• buf: address of send buffer

• count: number of elements to be send

• datatype: date type of buffer (explained further down)

• dest: rank of receiver

tag: message tag (put 0 if you don't need)

• comm: communicator

• request: request handle (output), pass into checker

Non-blocking send Fortran 90: MPI_ISEND

MPI_ISEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, &
 COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)

<TYPE>:: BUF

INTEGER:: COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM

INTEGER:: REQUEST, IERROR
• BUF: address of send buffer

• COUNT: number of elements to be send

DATATYPE: date type of buffer (explained further down)

• DEST: rank of receiver

TAG: message tag (put 0 if you don't need)

• COMM: communicator

• REQUEST: request handle (output), pass into checker

Non-blocking send in Python: isend

req = comm.isend(obj, dest=dest, tag=tag)

• obj: the Python object to be sent

• dest: rank of receiver

• tag: message tag (optional; default is 0)

- A Request object is returned
- The Request object has the wait method
- Example:

```
req = comm.isend(data, source=0, tag=0)
req.wait()
```

Waiting on non-blocking communication

```
• In C:
```

```
int MPI_Wait(MPI_Request *request, MPI_Status *status)
```

• In Fortran 90:

```
MPI_WAIT(REQUEST, STATUS, IERROR)
```

INTEGER:: REQUEST, STATUS (MPI STATUS SIZE)

INTEGER:: IERROR

- request: handle from MPI_Irecv or MPI_Isendstatus: status info from complete operation (recv)
- In Python:

req.wait()

• Call waits (blocks) until communication has finished

Example in C

```
int b, a=17;
MPI_Request s_req, r_req;
MPI_Status cstat;

MPI_Irecv(&b, 1, MPI_INT, npr, 0, myc, &r_req);
MPI_Isend(&a, 1, MPI_INT, npr, 0, myc, &s_req);

/* don't touch a or b here, can do other work */

MPI_Wait(&s_req, &cstat);
a = 9;    /* now safe to change a */
MPI_Wait(&r_req, &cstat);
b = 2*b;    /* b can now be used */
```

Example in Fortran 90

```
integer:: b, a=17
integer:: s_req, r_req, ierror
integer, dimension(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) :: cstat

MPI_Irecv(b, 1, MPI_INTEGER, npr, 0, myc, &
    r_req, ierror)

MPI_Isend(a, 1, MPI_INTEGER, npr, 0, myc, &
    s_req, ierror)
! don't touch a or b here, can do other work

MPI_Wait(s_req, cstat, ierror)
a = 9 ! now safe to change a

MPI_Wait(r_req, cstat, ierror)
b = 2*b ! b can now be used
```

Example in Python

```
from mpi4py import MPI


comm = MPI.COMM_WORLD
a = 17

r_req = comm.irecv(source=0, tag=myc)
s_req = comm.isend(a, dest=0, tag=myc)

s_req.wait()
a = 9
b = r_req.wait()
b = 2*b
```

Example: resolving deadlock: MPI_Isend

- Both tasks use an MPI Isend operation
- **Isend** publishes the send operation, e.g. transfers meta data
- MPI_Isend calls return
- Don't modify sendbuffer yet!!
- Both task post MPI_Recv
 - Publish recv-buffers
 - · Match of send & recv
 - Request data transfer
 - Receives data
- Tasks <u>must</u> call MPI Wait
 - Finalises the **Isend** and frees resources



request: handle from MPI Irecv or MPI Isend

flag: returns true, if call has finished

status: status info from complete operation (recv)

• In Fortran:

MPI TEST (REQUEST, FLAG, STATUS, IERROR)

INTEGER:: REQUEST, STATUS (MPI STATUS SIZE)


LOGICAL:: FLAG
INTEGER:: IERROR


Tests whether communication finished

• De-allocates request handle when true

Non-blocking communication and performance

- Non blocking communication can be used to hide communication time:
 - Issue communication calls early
 - Do other work, not associated with the communication buffers
 - Delay the Wait/Test for the communication calls until data is needed
 - Particular useful on modern RDMA hardware (communication not done by processor)
- Pre-posting non-blocking receives can reduce data traffic
 - Data goes directly into application buffer instead of buffer in MPI library






Summary

- Explained the danger of deadlock in p-2-p communication
- Non-blocking communication
 - to avoid deadlock
 - to overlap communication and calculation
 - preposted receives: performance boost on certain architectures
- Important: Every non-blocking call (MPI_Isend, MPI_Irecv,
 - ...) requires a matching MPI_Wait or a true MPI_Test

Exercise 1



Ping-Ping code

- Two processes exchange data between them simultaneously
- Each processor should
 - set up a data array of variable length (up to 1 MB of data)
 - send its data to the other processor
 - receive the data from the other processor
 - · check received data is correct
- You can use MPI_Ssend or MPI_Issend to make sure it is not deadlocking
- Time your code using standard and synchronous send

Exercise

Messages around a ring

- Each processor should
 - Initialise 2 integer arrays
 - set one to rank number
 - other to 1000x rank number
 - Send one up and down
 - Receive from neighbours
 - Sum up received data in separate (up/down) sums
 - Pass the received data on, to continue in the direction they came
- Checks after final exchange:
 - Last receive (up & down) equal 1x and 1000x own rank
 - The two sums equal: ½n(n-1) and 500n(n-1)

