

analysis, research results	15%	methods available in the field	in de field	methods available in the field	previously available in the field	
conclusion recommendation	15%	Has no scientific conclusion and judgement of own results. Recommendations are not adequate	Limited scientific conclusions and judgement of own results. Recommendations are just adequate	Sufficient scientific conclusion and judgement of own results, limited critical attitude towards literature and specialists. Recommendations are adequate	Good scientific conclusions and judgement of own results, literature and specialists. Recommendations are good and sound	
references	5%	Sources of information are absolutely not clear	Sources of information and scientific references are provided but are not complete	Sources of information and scientific references are provided but not in a adequate way	Sources of information and scientific references are clear and used in a consistent manner	e
Presentation	20%					
written report	5%	Written report has bad structure, consistency and clarity. Significant errs	Written report has just right with structure, consistency and clarity. Significant corrections needed to be appointed out by supervisors	Written report has adequate structure, consistency and clarity. Important corrections needed to be appointed out by supervisors	Written report has good structure, consistency and clarity. limited corrections needed to be appointed out by supervisors	W c n
oral (answering questions)	10%	Bad speaker. Cannot answer questions	As a speaker just adequate. Has difficulties answering questions	Adequate speaker. Can answer questions. Not all answers are good	Good speaker, give a clear presentation. Answers questions well. Answers are correct	v
graphics and demo presentation	5%	Bad presentation material	Presentation material just adequate	Adequate presentation material. No specific demos	Good presentation material. Appropriate demos	
Project	10%					
originality and scientific level	5%	Has not made any original contribution to the project	Has made a contribution to the project, but not really original	Has made a partial original contribution to the project	Has had at least one original contribution to the project not initiated or thought of by the supervisor	h
independence and own initiative, planning	5%	Was not able to execute methods and approaches suggested by the supervisor(s). Difficulties with planning	Showed little initiative and executed methods and approaches suggested by the supervisor(s). Difficulties with planning	Took occasionally initiative to extend and modify methods and approaches suggested by the supervisor(s). Adequate planning	Significant own initiative and input into methods and approaches. Good planning	M
Process	20%					
skills, academic attitude	10%	Should improve considerably on analytical, logical or integration skills, non-responsive to feedback	Just sufficient analytical, logical and integration skills, responds to feedback in a defensive way, or gets demotivated by feedback	Sufficient on analytical, logical and integration skills, responds to feedback, but can get demotivated by feedback	Good analytical, logical and integration skills, can handle feedback in a positive way	
reflection	10%	Suffers from lack of self-reflection, or persists in incorrect understanding or approach in spite of being advised otherwise	Just sufficient level of self-reflection, but should be more open to advise and feedback	Sufficient level of self-reflection, but could be more open to advise and feedback	Good balance between independent opinion, self-reflection and openness to advise and feedback from specialist	t