

Xinyue Zhang Abstract Feedback

I found that your abstract was very well structured. The problem statement in your first section was well defined, and as a result led the rest of your abstract to be well structured.

Your introduction featured a good amount of motivation as to why you are motivated in your research, which is helpful to make the readers interested in it as well. It might be good to expand on why it is important to get the different versions of Haskell collaborate. Perhaps detail, though maybe not in this section, how this could benefit the programming language.

As for your background and related work section, I believe that your overview of the language is suitably clear and concise. That being said, defining some of the Haskell-specific terms will help readers with no familiarity with the language understand what the differences are. While I know what a GADT is from class, others may not, which will prevent them from understanding your research.

The approach and uniqueness section definitely helps to communicate your role in the project, as well as clarify what steps you are taking in addressing your problem statement. This section is extremely important, and I think your clarity here is excellent and will help you out in the future.

On the other hand, the current results section is confusing because of all the terms that are thrown around. The reader may not be familiar with Haskell, and as a result may not fully understand what you have discovered. In a full draft it may be best to go into detail about each thing you have found.