

Abstract Feedback

Starting off with your introduction, it feels a bit clunky. All the information is needed, but I wonder if there is a way to restructure to emphasize what your actual problem statement is. It may be better to start out with a brief outline of the two languages and how you plan to compare them, then later on go more in depth about program verification. Your writing flows pretty naturally and it sounds like you're having some fun writing it which is good, but I wonder if the language is maybe too flowery. That can take away from immediate understanding for the reader.

For your motivation section, I think it would be helpful if you detailed a bit about why the comparison is important. Why should people who don't know anything about Dependent Haskell and F* care? And also, why do you specifically care about this project?

Your methodology and related works are very thorough, which is a huge benefit. I see that you made some of the changes from the previous suggestions, especially in definitions. That being said, I think you still use a lot of terms throughout the paper that people without familiarity won't understand. Those terms are fine, but if it's something that you are specifically going to be examining in your comparison, consider ways to talk about what it is. Otherwise I think those sections are very well done so far.