

PEER FEEDBACK

Christian Carstensen

Vision: The projects you hand-in should be understandable to your fellow students, and you should be able to understand other students' projects. You are therefore required to provide peer feedback on the projects of two other groups. **Realize that** the real benefit of this exercise is not that you get some feedback from others. The real benefit is that you learn a lot from investigating how others have attacked a task similar to yours.

You must provide the feedback directly on GitHub using the Issues function.

The feedback should focus on:

1. Is it straightforward to run the code?
2. Is it easy to understand what the code is doing?
3. Are the results clearly explained?
4. Do you have some ideas for improvements or extensions?

Specific guidelines:

1. Find the 2 groups for whom you need to provide peer feedback. You can look at the file `Inaugural project - peer assignment.xlsx` in the Files tab of our UCPH_Lectures - Introduction to Programming team for the Inaugural project. `Data project - peer assignment.xlsx` will contain the corresponding assignment for the data project. These files are produced by `PeerFeedbackAssignments.ipynb`, which is located in projects folder of Lectures2022. Each team who has committed something to the relevant project folder, the first column, has a `peer_group_1` and `peer_group_2` to whom they must give feedback. The assignment of peers to teams is randomized, and you will get independent draws for the data project and the model project.
2. Go to their repositories:
<https://github.com/NumEconCopenhagen/projects-2022-GROUPNAME>
Otherwise, try to search for the groups here:
<https://github.com/NumEconCopenhagen>
3. Clone their repositories (download them to your own computer).
4. Try to run their notebooks.
5. Create new issues in their repositories (click on the "Issues" tab), where you provide feedback.

The issues should follow the template below and all comments should be as constructive as possible:

1. **The best part of the project was:** (explain what and why)
2. **The hardest part of the project to understand was:** (explain what)
3. **This part of the project could be better documented:** (explain what)
4. **An idea for an improvement/clarification could be:** (explain what and why)
5. **An idea for an extension could be:** (explain what and why)

Deadlines:

1. Inaugural project: 27th of March 23:59.
2. Data project: 17th of April 23:59.