TP2 - ROB311 - Learning for Robotics

Sarah Curtit Caroline Pascal

September 2019

1 Question 1

All the possible policies are:

$$\Pi(S_0) = \begin{cases} a_1 : P(S_1) = 1 \\ a_2 : P(S_2) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Pi(S_1) = \begin{cases} a_0 : P(S_1) = 1 - x, P(S_3) = x \\ \Pi(S_2) = \begin{cases} a_0 : P(S_0) = 1 - y, P(S_3) = y \\ \Pi(S_3) = \end{cases}$$

$$\Pi(S_3) = \begin{cases} a_0 : P(S_0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

2 Question 2

The optimal value functions for each state are given by the following formulas:

$$V^*(S_0) = R(S_0) + \max_{a} \gamma \sum_{S'} T(S, a, S') V^*(S')$$

$$= \max \gamma (V^*(S_1), V^*(S_2))$$

$$V^*(S_1) = R(S_1) + \max_{a} \gamma \sum_{S'} T(S, a, S') V^*(S')$$

$$= \gamma \Big((1 - x) V^*(S_1) + x V^*(S_3) \Big)$$

$$V^*(S_2) = R(S_2) + \max_{a} \gamma \sum_{S'} T(S, a, S') V^*(S')$$

$$= 1 + \gamma \Big((1 - y) V^*(S_0) + y V^*(S_3) \Big)$$

$$V^*(S_3) = R(S_3) + \max_{a} \gamma \sum_{S'} T(S, a, S') V^*(S')$$

$$= 10 + \gamma V^*(S_0)$$

2.1 Question 3

Let x, y and γ be y, x, $\gamma \in [0,1]$. We have the following iterative relation on the utility of state S_2 , $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$V_{t+1}(S_2) = \gamma(1-y)V_t(S_0) + \gamma yV_t(S_3) + 1$$

= $\gamma(1-y)V_t(S_0) + 10\gamma y + \gamma^2 yV_{t-1}(S_0) + 1$
$$V_{t+1}(S_2) > \gamma(1-y)V_t(S_0) + \gamma^2 yV_{t-1}(S_0)$$

We also know that, $\forall t \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$V_{t+1}(S_0) = \gamma \max_{a}(V_t(S_1), V_t(S_2)) \ge \gamma V_t(S_1)$$

So, the previous inequality becomes:

$$V_{t+1}(S_2) > \gamma^2 (1-y) V_{t-1}(S_1) + \gamma^3 y V_{t-2}(S_1)$$
(1)

On the other hand, we also have the following iterative relation on the utility of state $S_1, \forall t \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$V_{t+1}(S_1) = \gamma(1-x)V_t(S_1) + \gamma x V_t(S_3)$$

In the specific case where x = 0, this relation becomes:

$$V_{t+1}(S_1) = \gamma V_t(S_1)$$

We can therefore re-write the inequality (1):

$$V_{t+1}(S_2) > (1-y)_t(S_1) + y_t(S_1)$$

 $V_{t+1}(S_2) > V_{t+1}(S_1)$

Therefore, knowing that $\lim_{x\to 0} V_t = V^*$, by reaching the limit, we finally have :

$$V^*(S_2) > V^*(S_1)$$

Meaning that:

$$\Pi^*(S_0) = \arg\max_{a} V^*(S_0) = a_2$$

This final equality shows that for any value of $y \in [0,1]$ and $\gamma \in [0,1]$, the choice x = 0 ensures that $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_2$.

2.2 Question 4

Let's first suppose that $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1$, and so that $V^*(S_0) = \gamma V^*(S_1)$, as $V^*(S_1) > V^*(S_2)$. In this case, the formulas of the second questions are turning into a linear system of 4 equations, with 4 unknowns, that can be easily solved:

$$\begin{cases}
V(S_1) &= \frac{10\gamma x}{1-\gamma(1-x)-\gamma^3 x} \\
V(S_2) &= \gamma^2(1-y)V(S_1) + 10\gamma y + \gamma^3 yV(S_1) + 1 \\
V(S_0) &= \gamma V(S_1) \\
V(S_3) &= 10 + \gamma^2 V(S_1)
\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The assumption $V^*(S_1) > V^*(S_2)$ is then translated as:

$$V(S_1) > \gamma^2 (1 - y)V(S_1) + 10\gamma y + \gamma^3 y V(S_1) + 1$$
$$V(S_1) \left(1 - \gamma^2 (1 - y) - \gamma^3 y \right) > 1 + 10\gamma y$$

We have $1 - \gamma^2(1 - y) - \gamma^3 y = (1 - \gamma)(1 + \gamma(1 + y)) > 0$, so, the inequality becomes:

$$10\gamma x \Big(1 - \gamma^2 (1 - y) - \gamma^3 y \Big) > \Big(1 + 10\gamma y \Big) \Big(1 - \gamma (1 - x) - \gamma^3 x \Big)$$
$$10\gamma y (\gamma - 1)(1 + \gamma x) > (1 - \gamma)(1 + 9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x)$$

As $\gamma - 1 < 0$, we finally get :

$$y < \frac{9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)}$$

As a matter of fact, the same procedure carried with the opposite assumption, $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_2$, with $V^*(S_0) = \gamma V^*(S_2)$, and $V^*(S_2) > V^*(S_1)$, leads to the opposite result.

Since the equivalence hasn't been broken during our calculations, we finally have the following relations:

$$\begin{cases}
\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1 & \iff y < \frac{9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)} \\
\Pi^*(S_0) = a_2 & \iff y > \frac{9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)}
\end{cases}$$

Therefore, \forall x, $\gamma \in [0,1]$, if $y < \frac{9\gamma^2x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)}$, we will have $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1$.

Unfortunately, we can show that the minimum value reached by $y_{crit} = \frac{9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)}$ is $\frac{-1}{10} < 0$, meaning that there are values of x and γ for which y_{crit} is negative, and in such case, there is no possible value of y leading to $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1$.

For example, with x = 0.1 and $\gamma = 0.1$,

$$y_{crit} = \frac{9\gamma^2 x + 9\gamma x - 1}{10\gamma(\gamma x + 1)} \simeq -0.89$$

which cannot be reached for $y \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore, there's no value of y for which $\Pi(S_0) = a_1 \ \forall x, \gamma \in [0, 1]$

N.B. We could have used the very same method to answer the previous question. For the value of x, we have the following relations:

$$\begin{cases}
\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1 & \iff x > \frac{10\gamma y + 1}{\gamma(-10\gamma y + 9\gamma + 9)} \\
\Pi^*(S_0) = a_2 & \iff x < \frac{10\gamma y + 1}{\gamma(-10\gamma y + 9\gamma + 9)}
\end{cases}$$

In this case, the minimum value of $x_{crit} = \frac{10\gamma y + 1}{\gamma(-10\gamma y + 9\gamma + 9)}$ is $\frac{1}{18} > 0$, as a consequence, if x = 0, we will be always in the case where $x < x_{crit}$, regardless of the values of γ and y, implying that $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_2$, as shown previously.

2.3 Question 5

Running our value iteration implementation, we obtain, for x = y = 0.25 and $\gamma = 0.9$:

$$\begin{cases} V^*(S_0) & \simeq & 14.19 \\ V^*(S_1) & \simeq & 15.76 \\ V^*(S_1) & \simeq & 15.70 \\ V^*(S_1) & \simeq & 22.77 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\Pi^*(S_0) &= a_1 \\
\Pi^*(S_1) &= a_0 \\
\Pi^*(S_1) &= a_0 \\
\Pi^*(S_1) &= a_0
\end{cases}$$

We could have computed these results by ourselves, noticing that for these values, $y_{crit} \simeq 0.258 > y$, meaning that $\Pi^*(S_0) = a_1$.

Knowing this, we could have simply used the equations (2) established at the beginning of the question 4, with the proper values of x, y and γ . After verification, the values obtained by the two methods are the same!